# Highly-Efficient Overall Water Splitting Driven by All-Inorganic

## **Perovskite Solar Cells and Promoted by Bifunctional Bimetal**

### **Phosphide Nanowire Arrays**

#### Authors:

Lianbo Ma,<sup>a#</sup> Wenjun Zhang,<sup>a#</sup> Peiyang Zhao,<sup>a#</sup> Jia Liang,<sup>a#</sup> Yi Hu,<sup>a</sup> Guoyin Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Renpeng Chen,<sup>a</sup> Zuoxiu Tie,<sup>a\*</sup> Jie Liu,<sup>ab</sup> and Zhong Jin<sup>a\*</sup>

### Affiliations:

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry of MOE, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210023, China.
<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA.
<sup>#</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

\*Address correspondence to: <u>zxtie@nju.edu.cn</u> (Dr. Z. Tie); <u>zhongjin@nju.edu.cn</u> (Prof. Z. Jin).

	Electrocatalysts $\eta_{onset}$ $\eta_{10}$ Tafel slope Stability		Stability				
Ref.	(morphology)	(mV)	(mV)	(mV/dec)	(Activity retention)	Electrolyte	
This work	Ni <sub>0.5</sub> Co <sub>0.5</sub> P nanowire arrays/carbon paper	10	43	46.4	Stable for 24 h	1.0 M KOH	
46	CoP nanowires/carbon cloth	38	110	129		1.0 M KOH	
47	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> nanowires/carbon cloth	230		141	Almost stable for 12 h	1.0 M KOH	
48	WC nanoparticles/CNTs	16	137	106	Almost stable for 1000 cycles	1.0 M KOH	
49	NiSn@carbon	100		145	Slightly decreased after 100 cycles	1.0 M NaOH	
50	WN nanowires/carbon cloth	100	285	170		1.0 M KOH	
51	Carbon paper/carbon tubes/Co-S	50	190	131	Slightly decreased after 10,000 seconds	1.0 M KOH	
52	NiCo-P hollow nanocubes		150	61	Slightly decreased after 100 cycles	1.0 M KOH	
53	Ni-Fe/nanocarbon		219	110	Stable for 1,200 seconds	1.0 M KOH	
54	Co-Mo/Ti		75		Almost stable for 18 h	1.0 M KOH	
55	Co/N-doped carbon	~45	260	91.2	Almost stable for 10 h	1.0 M KOH	

**Table S1**. HER performance comparison of  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  and other representative electrocatalysts in alkaline solutions.

Ref.	Electrocatalyst	$\eta_{\mathrm{onset}}$	$\eta_{10}$	Tafel slope	Stability	Electrolyte
itten	(morphology)	(mV)	(mV)	(mV/dec)	(Activity retention)	
This	Ni <sub>0.5</sub> Co <sub>0.5</sub> P nanowire	240	2(0	50.2	04-11-0-241	
work	arrays/carbon paper	240	260	58.3	Stable for 24 h	1.0 M KOH
58	Graphene-like holey	230	300	66	Almost stable after	0.1 M KOH
	Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanosheets				2,000 cycles	
59	Ni <sub>1</sub> Co <sub>4</sub> S@N-doped carbon	200	280	64	Decrease obviously	0.1 M KOH
	frameworks	200			after 1,000 cycles	
60	NiCo-layered double	270	310	64	Decrease after 20 h	1.0 M KOH
	hydroxides/carbon fiber	270				
61	Phosphorus-doped few-layer	250	330	62	Slightly decreased	1.0 M NaOH
01	graphene	230			after 2,000 cycles	
62	CoSe <sub>2</sub> /CFC	310	356	88		1.0 M KOH
	NiFe layered double				Slightly, deemagaad	
63	hydroxide/reduced graphene	240	250	91	Slightly decreased after 9 h	1.0 M KOH
	oxide nanohybrid				aner 9 n	
64	Core-Shell Ni-Co Nanowire	250	302	44	Decreased after 10 h	1.0 M KOH
64	Network	250				
65	Ni <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>3-x</sub> O <sub>4-y</sub> Nanocages	300	320	53		1.0 M KOH
66	Porous carbon cloth	300	360	96	Almost stable for 27 h	1.0 M KOH
67	Porous nickel-iron bimetallic	220	260	47	Almost stable for 28 h	1.0 M KOH
	selenide nanosheets	~230				

**Table S2**. OER performance comparison of  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  and other representative electrocatalysts in alkaline solutions.

**Table S3**. Overall water splitting performance comparison of  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  and other electrocatalysts reported in the literatures.

Ref.	Electrocatalyst (morphology)	η <sub>10</sub> (V)	Stability (Activity retention)	Electrolyte
This work	3D Ni <sub>0.50</sub> Co <sub>0.50</sub> P nanowire arrays/carbon paper	1.61	Extremely stable for 28 h	1.0 M KOH
33	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> nanowire arrays/Ni foam	1.63	Decreased after 50 h	1.0 M KOH
34	Porous Co-P/NC nanopolyhedrons	1.71	Decreased after 24 h	1.0 M KOH
68	Cu <sub>0.3</sub> Co <sub>2.7</sub> P/nitrogen-doped carbon (NC)	1.74	Negligible catalytic deactivation after 50 h	1.0 M KOH
69	CoSe <sub>2</sub> nanoparticles/carbon fiber	1.63	Stable for 30 h	1.0 M KOH
70	CoO nanoparticle-doped CoSe <sub>2</sub> nanobelts	2.18	Increased for 10 h	1.0 M KOH
71	Hierarchical NiCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> hollow microcuboids	1.65	Stable for 36 h	1.0 M KOH
72	NiSe <sub>2</sub> film/Ti foil	1.66	Decreased for 16 h	1.0 M KOH

**Table S4**. Performance comparison of the overall water splitting system driven by allinorganic PSCs with the existing photocatalysis systems.

Ref.	Photocatalysts	Solar to hydrogen efficiency (%)	
73	Te/SnS <sub>2</sub> /Ag	0.49	
74	In <sub>0.33</sub> Ga <sub>0.67</sub> N	3.5	
75	Hexagonal CdS	3.2	
76	GaN-based nanowire arrays	1.9	
77	Mo-doped BiVO <sub>4</sub> /Au layer	1.1	
78	Carbon nitride	0.12	



**Fig. S1**. Morphologic characterizations of control samples. SEM images of (a-c) CoP/CP and (d-f) NiP/CP.



Fig. S2. XRD patterns of control samples (CoP/CP and NiP/CP).



**Fig. S3**. Survey XPS spectrum of  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$ . The molar ratio of Ni and Co elements is 1:0.98, consistent well with the initial molar ratio of Ni and Co source materials.



**Fig. S4**. (a) SEM image of pristine CP. (b) HER and (c) OER performances of pristine CP in 1.0 M KOH solution.



**Fig. S5**. LSV polarization curves of CoP/CP, Ni<sub>0.5</sub>Co<sub>0.5</sub>P/CP and NiP/CP catalysts for (a) HER and (b) OER processes.



Fig. S6. LSV polarization curves of (a) Pt/C for HER and (b) IrO<sub>2</sub> for OER processes.



Fig. S7. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) spectra of CoP/CP,  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  and NiP/CP electrocatalysts for (a) HER and (b) OER processes.



Fig. S8. (a, b) SEM images of  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  electrode after long-duration overall water splitting. The  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  well retains its initial morphology and nanostructure, suggesting the high structural integrity.



**Fig. S9**. (a) LSV polarization curves of the overall water splitting system based on  $Ni_{0.33}Co_{0.67}P/CP$  and  $Ni_{0.67}Co_{0.33}P/CP$  control samples with  $Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCo_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCO_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCO_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCO_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCO_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCO_{1-x}P/CP||Ni_xCO_{1-x$ 



**Fig. S10**. The amount of generated H<sub>2</sub> during the long-term overall water splitting process of  $Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP || Ni_{0.5}Co_{0.5}P/CP$  electrolyzer driven by all-inorganic PSCs.

The overall energy conversion efficiency was calculated as follows:

$$\eta_{\text{overall}} = E_{\text{H2}} / E_{\text{light}}$$

where  $E_{\text{H2}}$  represents the energy of generated H<sub>2</sub> driven by all-inorganic PSCs,  $E_{\text{light}}$  stands for the total energy of simulated sunlight. The  $\eta_{\text{overall}}$  also can be calculated below:

$$\eta_{\text{overall}} = \text{UQ} * F_{\text{electric-to-H2}} / P_{\text{sunlight}} \text{St} = \text{UIt} * F_{\text{electric-to-H2}} / P_{\text{sunlight}} \text{St}$$

During the tests, after running 6 h, the detected working voltage is about 2.21 V and the Q is about 27.93 C.  $P_{sunlight}$  is 100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>, S is the total active area of all-inorganic PSCs for absorbing sunlight (2 × 0.18 cm<sup>-2</sup>).

According to Fig. S10, the mole number of generated H<sub>2</sub> (n) after 6 h is about 0.0549 mmol. Thus, the  $\eta_{\text{overall}}$  can be obtained:

 $\eta_{\text{overall}} = \text{UQ} \times (2 \times 6.02*10^{23} \times 54.9*10^{-6} \times 1.66*10^{-19}/\text{Q})/\text{P}_{\text{sunlight}}\text{St}$ Therefore, the  $\eta_{\text{overall}}$  can be calculated to be 3.12%.