Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

## **Supporting Information**

Micropores of Pure Nanographite Spheres for Long-Cycle and High-Rate Lithiumsulfur Batteries

Kexuan Liao, Shuting Chen, Huanhuan Wei, Jinchen Fan<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Qunjie Xu<sup>a,b\*</sup>, Yulin Min<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Materials Protection and Advanced Materials in Electric Power, Shanghai University of Electric Power, Shanghai 200090, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Shanghai Institute of Pollution Control and Ecological Security, Shanghai200092, P.R. China E-mail: Jinchen.fan@shiep.edu.cn; xuqunjie@shiep.edu.cn; ahaqmylin@126.com;



*Figure S1.* a) Photos of the hydrogels formed by adding nickel acetate solution of different concentration to SAP, b) photos of the freeze drying hydrogels before pyrolysis.



*Figure S2.* TEM images of HNG with different number of micropore. According to concentration of Ni in the precursor, a) HNG-0.01, HNG-0.02, HNG-0.03, HNG-0.04 and HNG-0.05.



Figure S3. TG curves of S@HNG-0.01, S@HNG-0.02, S@HNG-0.03, S@HNG-0.04 and S@HNG-0.05



*Figure S4.* Cycling performance of S@HNG-0.01, S@HNG-0.02, S@HNG-0.03, S@HNG-0.04 and S@HNG-0.05 electrode at 1C over 100 cycles with 2.1mg cm<sup>-2</sup> sulfur loading.



Figure S5. TG curves of the pyrolysis of DCN and HNG.



*Figure S6.* a) SEM image of DCN, b) TEM image of DCN, c) and d) TEM images of S@DCN, e) STEM image of S@DCN and f) corresponding EDS elemental mapping images of C, S, O and N.



*Figure S7.* SEM images of Ni@HNG and the energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) corresponding the proportion of elements as well as elemental mapping images for C, O and Ni



*Figure S8.* UV-vis absorption spectra of Li2S4 solution before and after adding DCN or HNG and inset is polysulfide entrapment by the DCN and HNG.



*Figure S9.* a) and b) the bond length of molecules of  $Li_2S_4$  and  $Li_2S_6$ , c) and d) the vander waals radius of molecules of  $Li_2S_4$  and  $Li_2S_6$ 

| Molecule               | Li <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> | $Li_2S_6$ |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| Molar volume (Å/mol)   | 111.9                          | 221.0     |
| Farthest distance (Å)  | 3.736                          | 4.753     |
| Diameter of system (Å) | 7.336                          | 8.353     |

Table S1. Molar volume, farthest distance and diameter of  $Li_2S_4$  and  $Li_2S_6$ .

Table S2, Electrochemical performance comparison of S@HNG with the

| Sulfur hosts   | Sulfur<br>content<br>/ wt% | Sulfur<br>loading<br>/mg cm <sup>-2</sup> | Rate performance |                                  | Cycling performance |                                  | Pof          |
|--|----------------------------|---|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
|  |                            |   | Rate<br>/C       | Capacity<br>/mAh g <sup>-1</sup> | Cycle<br>No.        | Capacity<br>/mAh g <sup>-1</sup> |              |
| HNG  | 84.2                       | 2.1~5.0                                   | 10C<br>5C        | 450<br>626                       | 1000                | 658                              | This<br>work |
| N-doped carbon sheets<br>with additional cathodic<br>coating | 60                         | 2.0                                       | 3                | 615                              | 1000                | 472                              | S1           |
| Microporous carbon sheets                                    | 70                         | 0.7~1.0                                   | 4                | 652                              | 500                 | 612                              | S2           |
| Honeycomb-like carbon sheets                                 | 70                         | 0.7~0.84                                  | 2                | 580                              | 500                 | 505                              | S3           |
| Graphene-backboned carbon sheets                             | 64                         | 0.4~0.6                                   | 4                | 430                              | 400                 | 650                              | S4           |
| Amino-functionalized<br>rGO                                  | 60                         | -   | 4                | 480                              | 350                 | 650                              | S5           |
| Carbon nanocages   | 79.8                       | 1.0~1.5                                   | -                | -                                | 300                 | 810                              | S6           |
| N-doped hollow carbon nanospheres                            | 85                         | 0.5~0.7                                   | 2                | 250                              | -                   | -                                | S7           |
| 3D grapheme<br>nanosheet@carbon<br>nanotube                  | 70                         | 1.1~1.5                                   | 2                | 458                              | 500                 | 364                              | <b>S</b> 8   |
| MWNTs into hollow<br>porous carbon<br>nanotubes              | 71                         | -   | 3                | 550                              | 200                 | 647                              | S9           |
| Activated porous carbon nanotube                             | 75                         | 2.2                                       | 5                | 857                              | -                   | -                                | S10          |

representative pure carbon sulfur host in literatures

## Supporting Reference

- [1] S. Z. Niu, W. Lv, G. M. Zhou, H. F. Shi, X. Y. Qin, C. Zheng, T. H. Zhou, C. Luo, Y. Q. Deng, B. H. Li, F. Y. Kang, Q. H. Yang, *Nano Energy* **2016**, *30*, 138.
- [2] B. He, W. C. Li, C. Yang, S. Q. Wang, A. H. Lu, ACS Nano 2016, 10, 1633.
- [3] S. K. Park, J. Lee, T. Hwang, B. Jang, Y. Piao, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter. 2017, 9, 2430.
- [4] Y. Dong, S. Liu, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Zhao, J. Qiu, Nanoscale 2015, 7, 7569.
- [5] Z. Wang, Y. Dong, H. Li, Z. Zhao, H. B. Wu, C. Hao, S. Liu, J. Qiu, X. W. Lou, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 5002.
- [6] Z. Y. Lyu, D. Xu, L. J. Yang, R. C. Che, R. Feng, J. Zhao, Y. Li, Q. Wu, X. Z. Wang, Z. Hu, *Nano Energy* 2015, 12, 657–665.
- [7] W. D. Zhou, C. M. Wang, Q. L. Zhang, H. D. Abruna, Y. He, J. W. Wang, S. X. Mao, X. C. Xiao, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2015, 5, 1401752.
- [8] Z. Li, L. Yuan, Z. Yi, Y. Sun, Y. Liu, Y. Jiang, Y. Shen, Y. Xin, Z. Zhang, Y. Huang, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2014, 4, 1301473.
- [9] Y. Zhao, W. L. Wu, J. X. Li, Z. C. Xu, L. H. Guan, Adv. Mater. 2014, 26, 5113– 5118.
- [10] J. S. Lee, J. Jun, J. Jang, A. Manthiram, Small 2017, 13, 1602984.