## **Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)**

Simple-Structured Small Molecule Acceptors Constructed by a Weak

Electron-Deficient Thiazolothiazole Core for High-Efficiency Non-

fullerene Organic Solar Cells

Wenhong Peng,<sup>a</sup> Guangjun Zhang,<sup>b</sup> Lin Shao,<sup>a,d</sup> Chao Ma,<sup>a</sup> Bin Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Weijie Chi,<sup>c\*</sup>

Qiang Peng<sup>b\*</sup> and Weiguo Zhu<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Jiangsu Collaboration Innovation Center of Photovoltaic Science and Engineering, Jiangsu Engineering Laboratory of Light-Electricity-Heat Energy-Converting Materials and Applications, National Experimental Demonstration Center for Materials Science and Engineering, Changzhou University, Changzhou 213164, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Technology of Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, and State Key Laboratory of Polymer Materials Engineering, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> Fluorescence Research Group, Singapore University of Technology and Design, 8 Somapah Road, Singapore 487372, Singapore

<sup>d</sup> College of Chemistry, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, P. R. China

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email:

(W. Z.) <u>zhuwg18@126.com</u>

(Q. P.) qiangpengjohnny@yahoo.com

# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Experimental Section
- 2. Supplementary Figures S1-23
- **3.** Supplementary Table S1-8
- 4. References

### **1. Experimental Section**

The synthetic route to **TT0 and TTz0** was displayed in Scheme S1, compound **6** and **7** were synthesized by following the literature method.<sup>1-2</sup> The detailed synthetic procedures as follows:

Synthesis of compound 8: To a solution of anhydrous THF (45 mL) and 2 (600 mg, 0.93 mmol), n-BuLi (2.5 M, 1.12 mL, 2.80 mmol) was added dropwise over the period of 5 min at -78 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 120 min and DMF (3 mL) was added into the flask in one portion. The reaction was then stirred for 12 h at room temperature, and then was quenched by 50 mL water and was extracted by  $CH_2Cl_2$ , the combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>. After concentration, the crude product was purified by a silica gel column (petroleum ether /  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 1: 4 v/v) to afford 8 as a yellow solid (208 mg, yield: 32%).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.93 (s, 1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 2.97 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 1.84 - 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.42 - 1.13 (m, 16H), 0.90 - 0.85 (m, 6H).

Synthesis of compound TT0: A mixture of 7 (557 mg, 0.80 mmol), malononitrile (528 mg, 8.0 mmol), and alkaline aluminum oxide (400 mg) in anhydrous toluene (50 mL) was heated to 85 °C and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the crude product was purified by a silica gel column (petroleum ether /  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 5: 1 v/v) to give **TT0** as a red-orange sticky solid (220 mg, yield: 35%).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (s, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 2.95 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.84 - 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.44 - 1.18 (m, 16H), 0.91 - 0.85 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.22, 151.08, 149.05, 144.54, 135.58, 130.75, 114.55, 113.30, 40.27, 33.96, 33.27, 32.96, 31.79, 29.54, 28.69, 26.46, 22.93, 22.63, 14.09.

Synthesis of compound TTz0: A mixture of 8 (180 mg, 0.26 mmol), malononitrile (170 mg, 2.60 mmol), and alkaline aluminum oxide (200 mg) in anhydrous toluene (50 mL) was heated to 85 °C and stirred for 12 h. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature, the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, the crude product was purified by a silica gel column (petroleum ether /  $CH_2Cl_2$ , 1:2 v/v). Then, the resulting product was recrystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub> and acetone to give **TTz0** as a red solid with metallic luster (166 mg, yield: 81%).<sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (s, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 2.95 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.84 - 1.79 (m, 1H), 1.44 - 1.18 (m, 16H), 0.91 - 0.85 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  160.45, 152.53, 149.78, 143.63, 142.07, 141.40, 135.29, 113.70, 112.80, 79.65, 38.43, 34.80, 33.39, 33.10, 31.85, 29.67, 28.58, 26.36, 23.04, 22.67, 14.12. MS (MALDI-TOF): calcd. for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>54</sub>N<sub>6</sub>S<sub>4</sub>[M]<sup>+</sup> 795.20; found 795.37.



Scheme S1 Synthesis routes of simplified small molecules TT0 and TTz0.

### 2. Supplementary Figures S1-23



Fig. S1 Normalized UV-Vis absorption spectra of TT0 and TTz0 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution and film.



Fig. S2 Cyclic voltammogram curves of TT0 and TTz0 pristine films.



Fig. S3 Views of surface contact measurements with the corresponding films.



Fig. S4 TGA plots of TTz1 and TTz2.



Fig. S5 Cyclic voltammogram curves of TTz1 and TTz2 pristine films.



**Fig. S6** (a) Normalized UV-Vis absorption spectra of TTz1and TTz2 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution and film; (b) the absorption coefficients of TTz1and TTz2 in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution.



**Fig. S7** The *J-V* curves of the inverted structured OSCs based on J71: TTz1/TTz2 in different ratios.



**Fig. S8** The *J-V* curves of the inverted structured OSCs based on J71: TTz1/TTz2 in different additive ratios.



**Fig. S9** (a) PL spectra of J71, TTz1and TTz2 at the same concentrations; (b) PL spectra of the thin films with J71, or the J71/TTz1 and J71/TTz2 blends (1: 2, wt/wt).



**Fig. S10** <sup>1</sup>H NMR plot of compound 1.









### 2.9355 2.9355 2.9744 2.8274 1.8102 1.1.5990 1.1.5990 1.1.5990 1.1.5990 1.1.5990 1.1.5990 1.1.5990 1.1.2350 0.9000 0.9000 0.9000 0.3305





7.3494 7.3324 7.2599 6.9547 6.9375



Fig. S13  $^{1}$ H NMR plot of compound 5.



-9.9252

2.9798 2.9617 2.9617 2.9617 1.5258 1.5553 1.55553 1.55553 1.55553 1.55553 1.55553 1.55553 1.55553 1.55553 1



**Fig. S14** <sup>1</sup>H NMR plot of compound 8.





7.7757 7.6033 7.2630

### 





### 2.9898 2.9673 2.9673 2.9673 1.9257 1.3526 1.3526 1.3526 1.3321 1.3528 0.9213 0.9213 0.9213 0.9213 0.9213 0.9213 0.8871

8.7354 8.7265 8.7365 8.1380 8.1327 8.0332 8.0152 7.9966 7.9887

















**Fig. S20** <sup>13</sup>C NMR plot of TTz0.



Fig. S21 MS plot of TTz0.



Fig. S22 MS plot of TTz1.



Fig. S23 MS plot of TTz2.

### 3. Supplementary Table S1-8

Table S1 Optical and electrochemical properties of TT0 and TTz0.

Compounds	$\lambda_{max}(nm)$		$\lambda_{onset}$	$E_{g}^{opt}$	$E_{\rm ox}/E_{\rm red}$	E <sub>HOMO</sub> /	$E_{g}^{ec}$
Compounds	solution <sup>a</sup>	$\operatorname{film}^b$	(nm) <sup>c</sup>	$(eV)^d$	$(V)^e$	$E_{\rm LUMO}({\rm eV})^f$	$(eV)^h$
TT0	360, 480	362, 482	561	2.19	1.31/-1.26	-6.11/-3.54	2.57
TTz0	496, 525	535, 584	615	2.02	1.37/-1.11	-6.17/-3.69	2.48

<sup>*a*</sup>Measured in 10<sup>-6</sup> M CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution. <sup>*b*</sup> Measured as the neat film cast from 3 mg/mL CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution. <sup>*c*</sup>Obtained from the onset wavelength of the film. <sup>*d*</sup>Evaluated by  $E_g^{opt} = 1240/\lambda_{onset}$ . <sup>*e*</sup>The onset potentials of oxidation and reduction as referenced to ferrocene. <sup>*f*</sup>E<sub>HOMO</sub> =  $-(E_{ox} + 4.8 \text{ eV})$  and  $E_{LUMO} = -(E_{red} + 4.8 \text{ eV})$ . The formal potential for ferrocene vs. Ag/AgCl is 0.43 V. <sup>*h*</sup> Calculated according to  $E_{LUMO} - E_{HOMO}$ .

Acceptors	$2\theta_1(^{\circ})$	d(001)-spcing(Å)	2θ <sub>2</sub> (°)	<i>d</i> (010)-spcing (Å)
TTz1	5.77	15.304	25.73	3.460
TTz2	5.28	16.723	25.51	3.488

Table S2 20 and *d*-spacings calculated from XRD patterns.

J71: TTz1	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{ m sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)	$R_s$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )	$ m R_{sh}$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )
1: 1.5	0.90	11.84	53.03	5.65, (5.36) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	61.2	159.5
1:2	0.90	12.67	56.91	6.49, (6.20) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	52.3	165.2
1: 2.5	0.89	11.36	53.11	5.37, (5.05) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	64.9	154.2

**Table S3** The photovoltaic properties of J71: TTz1 blend device at different D/A ratios, measured under the illumination of AM 1.5G (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

<sup>*a*</sup> Average of 20 devices.

**Table S4** The photovoltaic properties of J71: TTz2 blend device at different D/A ratios, measured under the illumination of AM 1.5G (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

J71: TTz2	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)	$R_s$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )	$ m R_{sh}$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )
1:1.5	0.92	9.39	46.42	4.01, (3.81) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	70.2	145.5
1:2	0.92	9.72	49.59	4.43, (4.19) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	65.8	149.2
1:2.5	0.92	9.46	48.52	4.22, (4.02) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	67.9	146.1

<sup>a</sup> Average of 20 devices.

**Table S5** The photovoltaic properties of J71: TTz1(1: 2)blend device in different additive ratios, measured under the illumination of AM 1.5G (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

DIO	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)	$ m R_s$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )	$ m R_{sh}$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )
0.15%	0.90	13.20	59.06	7.02, (6.88) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	40.5	235.3
0.25%	0.90	15.43	63.18	8.77, (8.46) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	37.6	245.1
0.35%	0.89	13.36	61.1	7.26, (7.01) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	39.5	237.5

<sup>*a*</sup> Average of 20 devices.

DIO	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	$J_{\rm sc}$ (mA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	FF (%)	PCE (%)	$R_s$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )	$R_{sh}$ ( $\Omega \cdot cm^2$ )
0.15%	0.92	10.35	50.86	5.10, (4.98) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	62.2	158.1
0.25%	0.92	12.75	53.73	6.30, (6.05) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	56.3	150.6
0.35%	0.91	11.63	52.48	5.55, (5.26) <sup><i>a</i></sup>	58.5	155.2

**Table S6** The photovoltaic properties of J71: TTz2(1: 2) blend device in different additive ratios, measured under the illumination of AM 1.5G (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

<sup>*a*</sup> Average of 20 devices.

**Table S7** Charge mobilities and AFM of the J71: TTz1/TTz2 blends.

A stive lover	$\mu_{ m h}{}^{b}$	$\mu_{\rm e}{}^{b}$	/	RMS	RMS
Active layer	(cm <sup>2</sup> V <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	$(cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1})$	$\mu_{\rm h}/\mu_{\rm e}$	$(nm)^a$	$(nm)^b$
J71: TTz1	4.24×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.46×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.90	1.98	1.03
J71: TTz2	2.92×10 <sup>-4</sup>	8.74×10 <sup>-5</sup>	3.34	2.14	1.85

<sup>*a*</sup> without DIO ; <sup>*b*</sup> with 0.25% DIO.

Surface	$\theta_{\mathrm{H_{2}O}}\left(^{\mathrm{o}} ight)$	$\theta_{\mathrm{CH}_{2^{\mathrm{I}}2}}(^{\mathrm{o}})$	$\gamma^d (\mathrm{mN} \;\mathrm{m}^{-1})^a$	$\gamma^p (\mathrm{mN \ m^{-1}})$	$\gamma(mN m^{-1})^a$
H <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	21.80	51.00	72.80
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{I}_{2}$	-	-	49.50	1.30	50.80
TT0	43.5	33.5	33.77	24.95	58.72
11z0	81.5	43.0	35.17	3.89	39.06
TTz1	91.0	33.5	42.22	0.58	42.79
TTz2	87.0	37.0	39.57	1.56	41.14
J71	98.5	55.5	30.67	0.52	31.19

Table S8 The contact angle and surface energy parameters of the corresponding films.

 ${}^{a}\gamma^{d}$  and  $\gamma^{p}$  represent the surface free energies generated from the dispersion forces and the polar forces, respectively.

## 4. References

- M. O. Ahmed, C. M. Wang, P. Keg, W. Pisula, Y.-M. Lam, B. S. Ong, S.-C. Ng, Z.-K.Chen and S. G. Mhaisalkar, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 3449-3456.
- 2 S. Subramaniyan, H. Xin, F. S. Kim, S. Shoaee, J. R. Durrant, S. A. Jenekhe, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 854-860.