Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for

Highly stable and efficient carbon electrode-based perovskite solar cell *via* interfacial growth of 2D perovskite

Kisu Lee^a[‡], Jungwon Kim^a[‡], Haejun Yu^a, Jong Woo Lee^b, Chang-Min Yoon^a, Seong Keun Kim^b, and Jyongsik Jang^a^{*}

^a School of Chemical and Biological Engineering, College of Engineering, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea
Fax: +82-2-888-1604; Tel: 82-2-880-7069; E-mail: jsjang@plaza.snu.ac.kr
^b Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Figure S1. Cross-sectional FE-SEM image of the carbon electrode.



Figure S2. XRD patterns of the perovskite films w/o and with PEAI treatment. Note that the carbon layer was peeled back to reveal the perovskite layer underneath for measurement.



Figure S3. Steady-state PL spectra of the perovskite films w/o and with PEAI treatment. Samples were excited from the glass side by light irradiation at 430 nm. The carbon layer was peeled back to eliminate its quenching effect.



Figure S4. *J*–*V* characteristic comparison for carbon-based PSCs (a) with MAI treatment and (b) PEAI pre-treatment.



Figure S5. Distribution of photovoltaic parameters (V_{OC} , FF, J_{SC} , and PCE) of carbon-based PSCs w/o and with PEAI treatment. The mean values are marked as solid line in the boxes.



Figure S6. Incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) spectra for carbon-based PSCs w/o and with PEAI treatment. The integrated *J*sc are 20.54 and 21.56 mA cm⁻², respectively.



Figure S7. Current–voltage (I-V) characteristics for carbon electrodes w/o and with PEAI treatment.



Figure S8. Nyquist plots for PSCs (a) w/o and (b) with PEAI treatment measured under illumination at different applied bias ranged from 0 V to 1.0 V. The scanning frequency ranged from 1 Hz to 1 MHz. The inset shows the equivalent circuit.



Figure S9. J-V characteristic for a conventional PSC with FTO/TiO₂/3D perovskite/spiro-OMeTAD/Au structure.



Figure S10. A digital photograph of our carbon-based PSC with PEAI treatment.

Sample	τ_1 (ns)	τ_2 (ns)	$^{a)}\tau _{avg}\left(ns\right)$	\mathbf{A}_{1}	A_2
Glass/3D perovskite	3.1	83.0	81.7	0.21	0.47
Glass/3D perovskite/carbon	4.8	43.3	41.3	0.30	0.60
Glass/3D perovskite/2D interlayer	2.4	95.6	95.2	0.09	0.46
Glass/3D perovskite/2D interlayer/carbon	4.8	39.2	36.9	0.32	0.55
$a) = -\sum A = \frac{2}{\sum} A = \frac{2}$					

Table S1. Time-resolved PL decay parameters for the perovskite films w/o and with PEAI treatment. The bi-exponential decay equation was used for fitting the curves.

^{a)} $\tau_{avg} = \Sigma_i A_i \tau_i^2 / \Sigma_i A_i \tau_i$

Device	$V_{\rm app}$ (V)	$R_{\rm S}$ (ohm)	$R_{\rm CT}$ (ohm)	$R_{\rm REC}$ (ohm)
Control	0	7.46	1.55×10^2	2.09×10^2
	0.2	7.37	1.41×10^2	1.71×10^2
	0.4	7.41	1.17×10^2	1.41×10^2
	0.6	7.43	0.97×10^2	1.05×10^2
	0.8	7.39	0.62×10^2	0.88×10^2
	1.0	10.4	0.52×10^2	0.25×10^2
PEAI-treated	0	11.8	1.08×10^2	2.62×10^2
	0.2	8.53	0.86×10^2	2.51×10^2
	0.4	11.8	0.84×10^2	2.12×10^2
	0.6	12.0	0.72×10^2	1.65×10^2
	0.8	12.3	0.57×10^2	1.10×10^2
	1.0	14.3	0.45×10^2	0.35×10^2

Table S2. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) parameters for the PSCs w/o and with PEAI treatment, obtained from the Nyquist plots in Figure S8.