Electronic Supplementary Material

Self-Healing and Superwettable Nanofibrous Membranes for Efficient Separation of Oil-in-Water Emulsions

Yahui Cai, Dongyun Chen,* Najun Li, Qingfeng Xu, Hua Li, Jinghui He and Jianmei Lu*

College of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215123, China

Table of Contents

S1 Surface structure and performance of the prepared PAN@PPH composite

membranes

S1.1 SEM image of the PAN@PPH composite membranes.

S1.2 The strength and flexibility test of the as-prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes.

S2 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of the prepared PAN@PPH

composite membranes

S2.1 XPS analysis of the composite membranes.

S2.2 The elemental content analysis of the composite membranes.

S3 Energy dispersive spectra (EDS) elemental mapping images

S3.1 EDS elemental mapping images of the composite membrane.

S4 Infrared spectrum (IR) analysis of the prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes

S4.1 IR analysis of composite membrane.

S5 Studying the effect of composite membrane composition on various properties

S5.1 Influence of LbL-assembled number

S6 Emulsion separation process and its cycle performance test

S6.1 Separation performance test.

S1 Surface structure and performance of the prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes

S1.1 SEM image of the PAN@PPH composite membranes.



Figure S1. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of: a, b and c, d are the asprepared PAN@PPH composite membranes prepared by adopting the layer-by-layer (LbL)-assembly method and subjecting the membranes to three and seven dip-coating processes, respectively.

9



Figure S2. SEM cross section image of the prepared composite membrane. The thickness of the composite membrane about 50 μ m five dip-coating processes.

S1.2 The strength and flexibility test of the as-prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes.



Figure S3. Optical microscopy images of the as-prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes.



Figure S4. The strength and toughness of the PAN@PPF composite membranes Optical microscopy images a) the pure PAN membrane; b) the prepared PAN@PPH composite membrane.

S2 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis of the prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes

S2.1 XPS analysis of the composite membranes.



Figure S5. XPS survey spectrum of the pure PAN membrane and PAN@PPH composite membrane.

а	Element	At (%)
	С	67.41
	Ν	19.48
	0	13.11
b	Element	At (%)
	С	68.10
	Ν	20.73
	0	12.17
С	Element	At (%)
	С	64.61
	Ν	22.01
	0	14.38
d	Element	At (%)
	С	65.28
	Ν	24.93
	0	11.79

S2.2 The elemental content analysis of the composite membranes.

Figure S6. The elemental content by XPS of the PAN@PPH composite membrane subjecting the membranes to one and five dip-coating processes, respectively.

S3 Energy dispersive spectra (EDS) elemental mapping images

S3.1 EDS elemental mapping images of the composite membrane.



Figure S7. SEM mapping images of the PAN@PPH composite membrane.

S4 Infrared spectrum (IR) analysis of the prepared PAN@PPH composite membranes

S4.1 IR analysis of composite membrane.



Figure S8. IR spectra of the PAN@PPH composite membrane.

S5 Studying the effect of composite membrane composition on various properties

S5.1 Influence of LbL-assembled number.

Lbl. accombled number	Properties of modified membrane		
LDL-assembled humber	Flux (L m ⁻¹ h ⁻¹)	Efficiency (%)	Self-healing
0	3215	85.30	No
1	2682	90.30	No
3	1983	95.65	No
5	1850	99.98	Yes
7	885	99.99	Yes

Table S1. Effect of composite membrane composition on various properties.

S6 Emulsion separation process and its cycle performance test





Figure S9. a) Digital photos showing the process of emulsion separation under the driving of gravity and external pressure. b) Digital photos showing the emulsions have excellent stability. c, d) The cycling separation performance of the membrane for corresponding emulsifier-stabilized emulsion.