## **Supporting Information**

## An integrated transparent, UV-filtering organohydrogel sensor via molecular-level ion conductive channels

Xiaofeng Pan<sup>a</sup>, Qinhua Wang<sup>a</sup>, Runsheng Guo<sup>a</sup>, Yonghao Ni<sup>\*ab</sup>, Kai Liu<sup>\*a</sup>,

Xinhua Ouyang<sup>a</sup>, Lihui Chen<sup>a</sup>, Liulian Huang<sup>a</sup>, Shilin Cao<sup>a</sup> and Mingying Xie<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Material Engineering, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, No. 15 Shangxiadian Road, Cangshan District, Fuzhou City, Fujian Province 350002, China
<sup>b</sup> Limerick Pulp and Paper Centre, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick E3B5A3, Canada

## This material includes

 Table S1. Compositions of the ionic conductive supramolecular PVA-TA@talc

 organohydrogels.

Figure S1. Conductivity of different solvents after adding aluminum chloride.

**Figure S2.** (a) Color of the talc and TA@talc suspension. (b) SEM morphology of the TA and TA@talc particles.

**Figure S3.** High-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s for dried (a) talc particles and (b) TA@talc particles.

 Table S2. Statistical results of the Gauss-fitted peak compositions of the dried talc and

 TA@talc particles.

**Figure S4.** The nonconductive pure PVA organohydrogel (PVA-0) and the conductive PVA-TA@talc organohydrogel (PVA-4).

**Figure S5.** (a) The shielding efficiency of the 10-mm-thick PVA-talc-2 organohydrogel (without TA) to ultraviolet light (365 nm). (b) Transmittance of the organohydrogels with different thicknesses and TA @talc contents for visible light (550 nm) and UV light (365 nm). Red bars represent the test results for 3-mm-thick organohydrogels, and green bars represent the test results for 10-mm-thick organohydrogels. (The gel corresponds to the PVA-TA@talc organohydrogel without ions.)

Figure S6. The gauge factor (S) measurement approach.

 Table S3. Gauge factor (S) comparisons between the organohydrogel (PVA-1) sensor

 and other recently reported ionic hydrogel-based sensors.

Figure S7. EMG signals detected by a commercial Ag/AgCl electrode.

**Figure S8.** The T-pen can still control a smartphone after pressing or sliding the screen 10000 times.

Figure S9. The T-pen can operate the smartphone at -30°C.

**Movie S1.** The PVA-TA@talc organohydrogel can withstand multiple extrusions from a sport utility vehicle (SUV) weighing 1.7 tons without sustaining any damage.

**Movie S2.** The T-pen consisting of the PVA-1 organohydrogel and fine iron rods is able to act as a substitute for a finger and can slide and press the phone screen.

Code	TA@talc	H <sub>2</sub> O	EG	Anhydrous	PVA
	(ml)	(ml)	(ml)	aluminum	(g)
				chloride (g)	
PVA-0	0	10	10	0.2667	3.5294
PVA-1	2.5	7.5	10	0.2667	3.5294
PVA-2	5	5	10	0.2667	3.5294
PVA-3	7.5	2.5	10	0.2667	3.5294
PVA-4	10	0	10	0.2667	3.5294

organohydrogels.



Figure S1. Conductivity of different solvents after adding aluminum chloride.



Figure S2. (a) Color of the talc and TA@talc suspension. (b) SEM images of the morphology of the TA and TA@talc particles.



**Figure S3.** High-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s for dried (a) talc particles and (b) TA@talc particles.

Table S2. Statistical results of the Gauss-fitted peak compositions of the dried talc

Functional groups	Binding energy (eV)	Talc	TA@talc
		(%)	(%)
С-С (Н)	284.61	21.05	21.39
C-0	286.01	2.76	10.58
C=0	~288.5	9.18	11.8
π-π*	291.06	_	2.96

and TA@talc	particles.
-------------	------------



**Figure S4.** The nonconductive pure PVA organohydrogel (PVA-0) and the conductive PVA-TA@talc organohydrogel (PVA-4).



**Figure S5.** (a) The shielding efficiency of the 10-mm-thick PVA-talc-2 organohydrogel (without TA) to ultraviolet light (365 nm). (b)Transmittance of the organohydrogels with different thicknesses and TA @talc contents for visible light (550 nm) and UV light (365 nm). Red bars represent the test results of organohydrogels with a 3 mm thickness, and green bars represent the test results of organohydrogels with a 10 mm thickness. (The gel corresponds to the PVA-TA@talc organohydrogel without ions.)

The talc itself has a UV-reflecting function. In our work, TA has been oxidized into polymerized p-TA. Therefore, we think that the simple addition of TA powder may differ from the actual results. To this end, we prepared the PVA-talc-2 organohydrogel (without TA), this PVA-talc-2 organohydrogel has good UV shielding effect (Figure S5a), but much lower than the PVA-2 organohydrogel (with TA). Therefore, it should be that talc and TA work together to impart excellent UV shielding ability to the organohydrogel.



Figure S6. The gauge factor (S) measurement approach.

Table S3. Gauge factor (S) comparisons between the organohydrogel (PVA-1) sensor

Base gel	Conductive filler	Gauge factor (tensile strain)	Ref
PAA	Al <sup>3+</sup>	0.23(40%),	38
		7.8(2000%)	
PAAm	Li <sup>+</sup>	0.84 (40%)	50
PAA	Graphene/	1.32(500%)	51
	Fe <sup>3+</sup>		
HPAAm-HLPs/alginate	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	2.67(150%)	52
PVA/	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	0.478(200%)	53
Polyvinylpyrrolidone			
PVA	Al <sup>3+</sup>	9.17 (1.2%)	This work

and recently reported ionic gel-based sensors.



Figure S7. EMG signals detected by a commercial Ag/AgCl electrode.

In order to complete the durability test of the T-pen, two volunteers used the Tpen to manually complete the following operations: (1) The T-pen slides the screen of the mobile phone 10000 times. (2) The T-pen presses the screen 10000 times. The condition of the T-pen operating the mobile phone were analyzed.

Pressing the screen 10000 times



Sliding the screen 10000 times





The T-pen can still control a smartphone after pressing or sliding the screen 10000 times.

Figure S8. The T-pen can still control a smartphone after pressing or sliding the screen

10000 times.



Figure S9. The T-pen can operate the smartphone at -30°C.