Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry B. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018



**Support information** 

Fig. S1. (A) Size distribution of SeNPs and SeNPs-PEG-RGD@Ru based on dynamic light scattering. (B) Zeta-potential of SeNPs, SeNPs-PEG, SeNPs-PEG-RGD and SeNPs-PEG-RGD@Ru in 10 mM pH 7.4 HEPES buffer. (C) FT-IR spectra of RGD, PEG and SeNPs-PEG-RGD.



[Ru(phen)<sub>2</sub>(4idip)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

Fig. S2.The nitric oxide induction properties of three ruthenium complexes were examined. The three ruthenium complexes are  $[Ru(iP)_3](ClO4)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ , Na[trans-Ru(DMSO)\_2Cl\_4] and  $[Ru(Phen)_2(4idip)](ClO_4)_2$ . The structure of ruthenium complexes with the best performance of nitric oxide inducation is shown in the Fig. above.



Fig. S3. The Excitation and emission fluorescence spectra of [Ru(Phen)<sub>2</sub>(4idip)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>



Fig. S4. (A) flow cytometry assays the fluorescent intensity among cells, which incubated with Ru, Non-targNPs, Se@RuNPs for 12 h. (B) Confocal fluorescence microscopy of HUVEC, which incubated with Ru, Non-targNPs, Se@RuNPs for 12 h. Ru, Non-targNPs, Se@RuNPs is labeled by Ru in green; endosome lysosomes are labeled by Lysotracker in red.



Figure S5. Ex vivo fluorescence images of major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, kidney) dissected from at 48 h post-injection of Se@RuNPs. (B) Quantitative analysis of the fluorescence intensity based on Figure A. \*\*P < 0.01, The error bars represent the standard error of mean (n = 5).