Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry B. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Supporting information

Real-time noninvasiveness monitoring cell mortality using a nucleic acid 'turn-on' quaternary ammonium probe with two-photon absorption

Mingzhu Zhang^{a‡}, Wei Du^{a‡}, Xiaohe Tian^{b*}, Ruilong Zhang^c, Meng Zhao^a, Hongping Zhou^a, Yaqi Ding^d, Lin Li^d, Jieying Wu^a, Yupeng Tian^{a,e*}

^a Department of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Functional Inorganic Material Chemistry of Anhui Province, Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

^b School of Life Science. Anhui University, Hefei 230039, China

^c School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Anhui University, Hefei 230601, P. R. China

^d Key Laboratory of Flexible Electronics & Institute of Advanced Materials, Jiangsu National

Synergistic Innovation Center for Advanced Materials (SICAM), Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing 211816, China

^e ChinaState Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China
‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: <u>xiaohe.t@ahu.edu.cn; yptian@ahu.edu.cn</u>

Content

Methods and detailed experimental technique	5
Materials and apparatus	5
Spectroscopic measurements	5
Crystal analysis and theory calculation	5
Molecular docking with DNA and RNA	5
Cell culture	5
MTT assay	7
Induced cell apoptosis and fixed	7
DNase and RNase treatment	3
Fluorescence imaging	3
Flow cytometry analysis	3
Tissue staining)
Synthetic routes)
Table S1. Crystal data collection and structure refinement of QN1	l
Table S2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (°) of QN1 13	3
Table S3. Linear photophysical properties of QN1 and QN2 in different solvents.	1
Table S4. Calculated triplet transitions and the frontier orbitals of QN1 and QN2.	1
Scheme S1. Schematic representation of the synthesis procedures of QN1 and QN215	5
Figure S1. Side view of crystal structure of QN115	5

Figure S2. The optimized structures of QN1 and QN2	16
Figure S3. UV-vis absorption and Fluorescence emission spectra of QN2 (b), (d) in different solvents.	QN1 (a), (c) and16
Figure S4. Fluorescence decay of QN1 and QN2	16
Figure S5. (a) UV-vis absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of 10 μM DMSO solution. (b) Open aperture Z-scanning of DMSO.	QN1 and QN2 in QN1 and QN2 in17
Figure S6. Representation of calculated HOMO and LUMO orbitals of	QN1 and QN2 .17
Figure S7. (a)Absorption spectra of QN2 in H ₂ O buffered with HE intensity against the concentration	PES. (b) Plot of
Figure S8. The MTT assay using HeLa for 24 hours treated with QN1 as	nd QN2 18
Figure S9. HeLa cells stained with and QN2 for 24 h and then co-stain bar is 20 μm.	ed with PI. Scale
Figure S10. HeLa cells treated by apoptosis inducer for 12 h to trigger the Flow cytometry results of normal HeLa cells and apoptostained by AnnexinV-FITC and PI.	he cell apoptosis. totic HeLa cells 18
Figure S11. (a) HeLa cells after treatment with 0.01% TritonX-100 for	or 20min and co-
localisation studies of QN2 with PI .Scale bar is 25 μ m. (damage agent on cellular uptake of QN2 after induce concentration TritonX-100 for 20min, Scale bar is 20 μ m	b) Effects of cell d with different
Figure S12. HeLa cells stained with QN2 (5 μM, 15 min) after paraformaldehyde (15 min). (a) Fluorescence images of field. (c) Merge image. (d) The enlarged image from the s image (a).	being fixed by QN2. (b) Bright square marked in
Figure S13. Fixed HeLa cells stain with QN2 (5 μ M,15 min) and	co-localized with
DAPI (a) and Syto 9 (b). Scale bar is 20 µm.	19

- Figure S18. The fluorescence intensity decays for the cell images of QN2......21

- Figure S22. HeLa cells uptake QN2 after treatment with 50 μM cisplatin for 12 h. (a) Fluorescence images of QN2. (b) Brightfield. (c) Merge image. (d) The 3D STED apoptotic body micrographs showing apoptosis-induced DNA fragmentation the marked in image (c). The scale bar represents 10 um.22
- Figure S23. (a) The cell images of HeLa cells stain with QN2 and PI after different irradiation times. (b) The fluorescence intensity decays for the cell images

after different irradiation times.	Scale bar is 10um	
------------------------------------	-------------------	--

Figure S24. (a) The fluorescence intensity decays for the cell images in panel (right)
after different irradiation times. Scale bar is 10um. Confocal micrographs of
the 3D multicellular spheroids of SH-SY5Y cells, then stain with $\mathbf{QN2}$ (a)
and PI (b). 3D rendering of MCs showing detailed uptake of QN2, insert:
the merge micrograhs of fluorescence and brightfield images, respectively.
Scale bar is 100um. (c). 3D Z-stack confocal image of the rat kindney, brain,
heart slices stain with QN2 and PI23
Video S1. The radio of real-time uptake of QN2 in HeLa after apoptosis inducer trigger
for 30 min23
Reference

Methods and detailed experimental technique

Materials and apparatus

All chemicals and solvents were dried and purified by usual methods. DNA employed was Calf thymus DNA (ct-DNA) and RNA employed was ribonucleic acid diethylaminoethanol salt Type IX from Sigma-Aldrich for the in vitro experiments. IR spectra (4000–400 cm⁻¹), as KBr pellets, were recorded on a Nicolet FT–IR 170 SX spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained on a Micromass GCT-MS Spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 400 spectrometer. UV-vis absorption spectra were performed by the UV-265 spectrophotometer. Fluorescence measurements were recorded on a Hitachi F-7000 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Before fluorescence experiments were performed, the fluorescence spectra were corrected by standard method. When the fluorescence measurements were taken, the concentration of samples was 1×10^{-5} M with quartz cuvette (path length = 1 cm). In the measurements of emission spectra, the slit width was 5 nm. The exciting voltage of emission spectrum was 400 V. For time-resolved fluorescence measurements, the fluorescence signals were collimated and focused onto the entrance slit of a monochromator with the output plane equipped with a photomultiplier tube (HORIBA HuoroMax-4P). The decays were analyzed by 'leastsquares'. The quality of the exponential fits was evaluated by the goodness of fit (χ^2).

Spectroscopic measurements

The fluorescence quantum yields (Φ) were measured by using quinine sulfate as the reference, and the equation was shown as below:

$$\Phi_{\rm s} = \Phi_{\rm r} \frac{\int F_{\rm s}}{\int F_{\rm r}} \frac{A_{\rm r}}{A_{\rm s}} \frac{n_{\rm s}^2}{n_{\rm r}^2}$$

Where the s and r indexed designate the sample and reference samples,

respectively, A was the absorbance at λ_{exc} . n was the average refractive index of the appropriate solution. F was the integrated area under the corrected emission spectrum. Φ was the quantum yield.

Crystal analysis and theory calculation

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out on a Bruker Smart 1000 CCD diffractometer equipped with a graphite crystal monochromator situated in the incident beam for data collection at room temperature. The determination of unit cell parameters and data collections were performed with Mo-K_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Unit cell dimensions were obtained with least-squares refinements, and all structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXL-97 program package. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and the hydrogen atoms were added theoretically and riding on the concerned atoms. The final refinement was performed by full-matrix least-squares methods with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms on F2. The calculations were carried out with the Gaussion 09 software package. The optimizations of the complex structures were performed using B3LYP density functional theory. On the basis of ground- and excited- state electronic properties.

Molecular docking with DNA and RNA

Construct the strand DNA and RNA model, and the DNA single strand is 5' ATCGATCGAT. In the same way, the construction of RNA duplex was specified using the nucleotide sequence of 5' AUGCAUGCAU. The ligand of **QN2** is docked into the duplex DNA and duplex RNA using the method of CDOCK, respectively.

Cell culture

Cells were cultured in 25cm² culture flasks in DMEM, supplemented with fetal bovine serum (10 %), penicillin (100 units/mL) and streptomycin (50 units/mL) at 37 °C in a CO₂ incubator (95 %relative humidity, 5 % CO₂).Cells were seeded in 35 mm

glass bottom cell culture dishes, at a density of 1×10^5 cells and were allowed to grow when the cells reached more than 60 % confluence. The two compounds were dissolved in DMSO with concentration of 1 mM as stock solution, and the commercial dyes were prepared as 1 mM PBS solution and diluted to working concentration as protocol required.

MTT assay

The cytotoxicity of the **QN1**, **QN2** toward adherent cells was studied by MTT assay. HeLa cells were detached with trypsin, and seeded into 96-well plates (100 μ L/well) at density of 5× 10⁴ cells/m land incubated in a humidified incubator at 37 °C for 24 h. Then adherent HeLa cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the compound (2.5×10⁻⁷ - 1×10⁻⁴ M) in the growth medium at 37 °C in 96-well plates. After 24 h incubation, MTT (5 mg/ml, 10 μ l) was added to each well and incubated for additional 4 h. The supernatant was then removed and 100 μ l of DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. And the cell culture plate was shaken for10 min until no particulate matter was visible. Absorbance in each well was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Biotek, USA).The cell viability (%) was calculated according to the following equation: cell viability % = A/B ×100, where A represents the optical density of the wells treated with various concentration of the compounds and B represents that of the wells treated with medium.

Induced cell apoptosis and fixed

HeLa cells were chosen to use in confocal microscopy imaging. The cells were plated in 15 mm glass-bottom dish (NEST) and cultured for 48 h. For living cell staining experiments, cultured cells were stained with 1 μ M QN1, QN2 in culture medium for 20 min at 37 °C and then imaged with fluorescence microscopy. For fixed cell staining experiments, cultured cells were pretreated according to the following procedure: cells were first fixed by 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature and then with 1 μ M QN2 in culture medium for 20 min at 37 °C. For the investigating of the **QN2** staining effect, First, cells treated with 100 μ M cisplatin, 100 μ M 5-Fu and 20% DMSO for 4 h. Second, HeLa cells were induced **QN2** after triggered apoptosis with 10 μ M 5-Fu for 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, then stained with AnnexinV-FITC/PI. Or cells treat with different content of DMSO for 5 h, then stain with **QN2**. Third, cell apoptosis was also induced by a commercially available apoptosis inducer A (1:2000, v/v) in culture medium for incubation of 12 h. confocal micrographs co-localized with PI. The excess complexes were washed away by PBS for 3 times, after that the confocal microscopy imaging was carried out. For other co-localization experimental, the triggered HeLa cells were incubated with DAPI, SYTO 9 for 10 min after washed way the excess tracker by PBS for 3 times.

DNase and RNase treatment

For DNase and RNase digest test, two sets of fixed HeLa cells were stained with 1 μ M **QN2** in PBS (pH = 7.4) for 30 min. After washing with PBS twice, a total 1 mL PBS (as control experiment) was added into a set of cells and 25 mg/mL DNase-Free RNase or RNase-Free DNase was added into the other set of cells, and then two sets of cells were incubated at 37 °C in 5 % CO₂ for 2 h. In addition, the DNase and RNase digest tests of cells stained with 1 μ M **QN2** was also carried out for comparison.

Fluorescence imaging

Confocal microscopy imaging was acquired with a Leica SP8 confocal microscopy and $100/63 \times \text{oil-immersion}$ objective lens. The incubated cells were excited at 470 nm for compounds, 405 nm for DAPI, 488 nm for SYTO 9, and 550 nm for PI with a semiconductor laser, and the emission signals were collected at 600 \pm 30 nm for compounds, 450 \pm 30 nm for DAPI, 500 \pm 15 nm for SYTO9, and 615 \pm 20 nm for PI 7, respectively. Two-photon confocal microscopy imaging of the compounds was excited at 860 nm, while the emission signals were detected in the region of 550-650 nm. Quantization by line plots was accomplished by using the

software package provided by Carl Zeiss instrument.

Flow cytometry analysis

HeLa cells were seed in 6-well as described above. Cell apoptosis was also induced by a commercially available apoptosis inducer A (1:2000, v/v) in culture medium for incubation of 12 h. Cells were then stained with AnnexinV-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The another apoptosis triggered treat group stain with 1 μ M **QN2** in culture medium for 20 min at 37 °C, After that, the cells were trypsinized and washed with cold PBS twice. Then the samples were quantified by flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter, Inc.USA)

Animals: All procedures involving animals were approved by and conformed to the guidelines of the Anhui University Animal Care Committee, School of life science. We have taken great efforts to reduce the number of animal used in these studies and also taken effort to reduce animal suffering from pain and discomfort.

Tissue staining

Specific pathogen Free (SPF) KM (Kunming) mouse (male, two month, 18-22 g) was terminally anaesthetised and transcardially perfused with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) 0.1 M pH 7.4. The brain was extracted and then under usual fixative conditions. The frozen organs from PBS-perfused animals were sectioned at 20 μ m and 200 μ m in the sagittal plane using a cryostat (Leica 1950). tissue incubated with 1 μ M **QN2** solution for 30 minutes at 37 °C in 95 % air 5 % CO₂, then washed with PBS buffer 3 times. Tissue was mounted cover-slipped using PI, and imaged directly using a Leica SP8 upright confocal system.

Synthetic routes

The synthesis of **QN1** and **QN2** presented in **Scheme 1**. The synthesis of **N1** presented in **Scheme 1** was according to the work previously.^[1]

Prepared of Q2. (7.15 g, 50.0 mmol) 2-methylquinoline and (21.3 g, 150.0 mmol)

iodomethane were dissolved in 50 mL acetonitrile, and refluxed over night, then the yellow powder of **1** was got by vacuum filtering. (5.24 g, 18.4 mmol) **1** and (3.04 g, 46.0 mmol) and malononitrile were dissolved in 35 mL absolute ethanol, then 20 mL ethanol solution including (2.86 g, 42.17 mmol) sodium ethoxide was dropwise added into reactive solution in ice bath. After stirring 1 h under low temperature, the reactive solution continued to stir for 4 h under room temperature. When the reaction was finished, the reactive solution was poured into ice water, the pH was adjusted to 7.4 by diluted hydrochloric acid. After filtering, the product was dried in vacuum and 3.17 g dark yellow powder was collected. yield: 78 %.

Prepared of 3. The 20 mL chloroformic solution including (20.87 g, 72.7 mmol) phosphorus oxybromide was dropwise added into (3.54 g, 48.47 mmol) dried DMF in ice-salt baths until appearing frozen salt, then (4.39 g, 24.23 mmol) 2,2'- (phenylazanediyl)diethanol was added, the reactive solution was heated to 85°C and stirred overnight. When the reaction was finished, the reactive solution was poured into ice water, the pH was adjusted to 7.4 by 1 mol/L NaOH solution, then product was extracted by dichloromethane, washed three times, the product obtained after evaporation under reduced pressure and was purified by column chromatography (petroleum ether : ethyl acetate = 50 : 1, v/v). 3.87 g white powder was got, yield: 62 %.

Preparation of N2. 3 (1.00 g, 3.9 mmol) with 20 mL acetonitrile and 60 mL 40 % aqueous trimethylamine were sealed in a 50 mL teflon reactor autoclave and heated to 90 °C for 10 h. After cooling to room temperature, trimethylamine, acetonitrile and water were removed under vacuum. The crude product was washed three times using dichloromethane and dried in vacuum. 0.87 g brown powder was got, yield: 71 %.

Preparation of QN1. (1.06 g, 3.62 mmol) **N1** and (0.88 g, 3.62 mmol) **Q2** were dissolved into 15 mL acetonitrile, then 0.5 mL piperidine was added into the stirring mixed solution, the reactive solution was refluxed 12 h. When the reaction was finished, the mixture was filtrated under reduced pressure and was purified by column

chromatography (petroleum ether : ethyl acetate = 10 : 1, v/v). 0.52 g yellow powder was got, yield: 29 %. C₂₉H₂₈N₄O₄, Calcd (%): C, 70.15; H, 5.68; N, 11.28. Found: C, 70.12; H, 5.67; N, 11.25. ¹H-NMR (d_6 -DMSO, 400 MHz, ppm) δ = 8.91 (d, J = 7.4Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.8Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 7.2Hz, 1H), 7.71 – 7.56 (m, 3H), 7.33 (s, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 8.9Hz, 2H), 4.30 (s, 4H), 4.14 (q, J = 7.1Hz, 4H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1Hz, 6H).¹³C-NMR (d_6 -DMSO, 100 MHz, ppm) δ = 170.04, 151.95, 150.50, 149.18, 139.69, 138.55, 133.15, 129.57, 124.75, 123.54, 120.50, 118.08, 115.91, 111.99, 105.96, 60.52, 52.56, 37.34, 14.09.FT-IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 2983(w), 2190(s), 2166(m), 1740(s), 1700(s), 1685(w), 1606(m), 1545(s), 1523(s), 1499(w), 1457(m), 1434(s), 1419(m), 1371(w), 1349(w), 1330(m), 1281(m), 1190(s), 1166(m), 1026(m), 968(m), 866(w), 834(w), 816(m), 771(m), 758(m). HRMS-ESI: *m/z, cal*: 497.22, *found*: 497.22 [M⁺]. M.p. = 227-230 °C.

Preparation of QN2. (1.00 g, 2.20 mmol) **N2** and (0.49 g, 2.20 mmol) **Q2** were dissolved into 15 mL acetonitrile, then 0.5 mL piperidine was added into the stirring mixed solution, the reactive solution was refluxed for 12 h. When the reaction was cooled to room temperature, the mixture was filtrated under reduced pressure, the dark red powder was got. Then the dark red powder was dissolved into distilled water, the aqueous solution including (0.72 g, 4.40 mmol) NH₄PF₆ was added into the stirring solution, and continue to stir 2h at room temperature. The mixture was filtrated under reduced pressure, washed three times by diethyl ether and dried in vacuum. 0.52 g red powder was got, yield: 31 %. $C_{31}H_{40}F_{12}N_6P_2$, Calcd (%): C, 47.33; H, 5.13; N, 10.68. Found: C, 47.30; H, 5.14; N, 10.71. ¹H-NMR (*d*₆-DMSO, 400 MHz, ppm) $\delta = 8.92$ (d, J = 8.2Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.8Hz, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 8.0Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.7Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.8Hz, 1H), 7.40 (s, 2H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 6.91 (d, J= 8.7Hz, 2H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.90 (d, 4H), 3.49 (d, 4H), 3.18 (s, 18H). ¹³C-NMR (d_6 -DMSO, 100 MHz, ppm) $\delta = 152.55$, 150.41, 147.26, 139.38, 139.21, 133.36, 129.99, 125.05, 129.93, 124.79, 120.47, 118.59, 117.22, 112.88, 105.97, 60.55, 52.69, 46.08, 43.28, 37.37.FT-IR (KBr, v, cm⁻¹): 3041(w), 2196(s), 2173(m), 1605(m), 1587(s),

1549(s), 1523(s), 1490(m), 1481(m), 1442(m), 1416(w), 1354(m), 1336(m), 1293(w), 1278(s), 1236(w), 1192(s), 1174(w), 1155(w), 1121(w), 1078(w), 968(m), 920(m), 840(s), 772(w), 741(w), 559(s). HRMS-ESI: *m/z*, *cal*: 248.17, *found*: 248.16 [M⁺]. M.p. = 327-330 °C °C

Compound	QN1	
Empirical formula	$C_{29}H_{28}N_4O_4$	
Formula weight	496.55	
CCDC	1575888	
Temperature	296(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system, Space group	Triclinic, Pī	
a /Å	8.358(2) Å	
b /Å	9.923(2) Å	
c /Å	7.348(4) Å	
α	105.023(3) °	
β	93.779(3) °	
γ	109.005(3) °	

 Table S1. Crystal data collection and structure refinement of QN1

Volume	1296.0(5) °
Z, Calculated density	2, 1.272 g/cm ⁻³
Absorption coefficient	0.086 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	524
Crystal size	$0.190 \times 0.180 \times 0.170 \text{ mm}^3$
Theta range for data collection	4.482 to 49.996 °
Limiting indices	$-9 \le h \le 9, -11 \le k \le 11, -20 \le l \le 20$
Reflections collected	9278/23101
R (int)	0.0184
Data/restraints/parameters	4517/0/337
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.971
	$R_1 = 0.0499$
Final K indices	$wR_2 = 0.1255$

Table S2. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Bond Angles (°) of QN1

Selected bonds	Value(Å)	Selected angles	(°)
C6-N1	1.390(2)	С7-С9-С8	112.33(18)

C12-N1	1.359(3)	C27-O3-C28	117.6(3)
C13-N1	1.477(3)	C23-O4-C24	117.3(2)
C21-N4	1.381(3)	01-C27-O3	124.6(3)
C23-O2	1.183(3)	01-C27-C26	125.0(3)
C23-O4	1.320(3)	03-C27-C26	110.4(2)
C14-C15	1.321(3)	C29-C28-O3	110.1(4)
C5-C4	1.365(3)	C25-C24-O4	110.5(3)
C8-N3	1.152(3)	02-C23-O4	124.0(3)
C7-N2	1.146(3)	O2-C23-C22	125.6(2)
C22-N4	1.443(3)	O4-C23-C22	110.5(2)
C24-O4	1.455(4)	C21-N4-C22	122.2(2)
C26-N4	1.440(3)	C21-N4-C26	122.32(19)
C27-O1	1.180(3)	C22-N4-C26	115.25(19)
C27-O3	1.313(3)		
C28-O3	1.461(4)		

 Table S3. Linear photophysical properties of QN1 and QN2 in different solvents.

Compounds	solvents	$\lambda_{ m max}{}^{ m abs}$	3	λ_{\max}^{em}	Stocks shift
	Benzene	399,460	2.63,2.47	535	136,75
ON1	CH ₂ Cl ₂	406,460	2.64,2.51	555	149,95
QM	THF	408,460	3.00,2.71	532	124,72
	EtOH	407,454	3.09,2.84	556	149,102

	MeCN	403,453	2.98,2.75	535	132,82
	DMSO	419,461	3.98,3.51	569	150,108
	Benzene	415,459	1.51,1.43	575	160,116
	CH ₂ Cl ₂	391,454	0.62,0.74	574	183,120
	THF	392,458	2.38,2.40	582	190,124
QINZ	EtOH	390,450	2.38,2.53	569	179,119
	MeCN	385,450	2.29,2.30	555	170,105
	DMSO	412,459	3.19,3.21	559	147,100

 λ_{max}^{abs} is the peak position of the longest absorption band. ϵ is th extinction coefficient (×10⁴ mol⁻¹·L·cm⁻¹). λ_{max}^{em} is the peak position of SPEF, excited at the absorption maximum.

Table S4. Calculated triplet transitions and the frontier orbitals of QN1 and QN2.

	Energy /eV	Wavelength /nm	Oscillator	Molecular orbital	Main transition
					character
QN1	3.05	406	0.7059	$131 (H) \rightarrow 132 (L)$	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$, ICT
	3.40	365	0.1586	$130 (H-1) \rightarrow 132 (L)$	$\pi_{\text{CN}} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\text{CH}=\text{CH}}$
QN2	2.99	414	0.7512	$109 (H) \rightarrow 110 (L)$	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$, ICT
	3.40	365	0.1800	$108 (H-1) \rightarrow 110 (L)$	$\pi_{\rm CN} \rightarrow \pi^*_{\rm CH=CH}$



Scheme S1. Schematic representation of the synthesis procedures of QN1 and QN2



Figure S1. Side view of crystal structure of QN1.



Figure S2. The optimized structures of QN1 and QN2.



Figure S3. UV-vis absorption and Fluorescence emission spectra of **QN1** (a), (c) and **QN2** (b), (d) in different solvents.



Figure S4. Fluorescence decay of QN1 and QN2



Figure S5. (a) UV-vis absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of QN1 and QN2 in 10 μ M DMSO solution. (b) Open aperture Z-scanning of QN1 and QN2 in DMSO.



Figure S6. Representation of calculated HOMO and LUMO orbitals of QN1 and QN2.



Figure S7. (a)Absorption spectra of QN2 in H_2O buffered with HEPES. (b) Plot of intensity against the concentration.



Figure S8. The MTT assay using HeLa for 24 hours treated with QN1 and QN2.



Figure S9. HeLa cells stained with and QN2 for 24 h and then co-stained with PI. Scale bar is $20 \ \mu m$.



Annexin V-FITC

Figure S10. HeLa cells treated by apoptosis inducer for 12 h to trigger the cell apoptosis. Flow cytometry results of normal HeLa cells and apoptotic HeLa cells stained by AnnexinV-FITC and PI.



Figure S11. (a) HeLa cells after treatment with 0.01% TritonX-100 for 20min and colocalisation studies of **QN2** with PI .Scale bar is 25 μ m. (b) Effects of cell damage agent on cellular uptake of **QN2** after induced with different concentration TritonX-100 for 20min, Scale bar is 20 μ m.



Figure S12. HeLa cells stained with **QN2** (5 μ M, 15 min) after being fixed by paraformaldehyde (15 min). (a) Fluorescence images of **QN2**. (b) Bright field. (c) Merge image. (d) The enlarged image from the square marked in image (a).



Figure S13. Fixed HeLa cells stain with **QN2** (5 μ M,15 min) and co-localized with DAPI (a) and Syto 9 (b). Scale bar is 20 μ m.

(a)	(b)	(c)
L_1 (d)	L_1 (e)	L=1 (f)
(d)	(e)	(f)

Figure S14. Mice brain slices stained with **QN2** (a), (d) and co-stained with DAPI (b), (e). The higher resolution micrographs showing the square marked in the bottom image. The scale bar represents 100 um.

(a)	(b)	(c)
(d) L_1	(e) 1	(f) L 1
(d)	(e)	(f)
	1.001	C. M. Tor

Figure S15. Mice heart slices stained with **QN2** (a), (d) and co-stained with DAPI (b), (e). The higher resolution micrographs showing the square marked in the bottom image. The scale bar represents 100 um.



Figure S16. Mice kidney slices stained with **QN2** (a), (d) and co-stained with DAPI (b), (e). The higher resolution micrographs showing the square marked in the bottom image. The scale bar represents 100 um.

(a)	(b)	(c)
(d) ('	(e) 〔	
(d)	(e)	(f) • • • • • • •

Figure S17. Mice liver slices stained with **QN2** (a), (d) and co-stained with DAPI (b), (e). The higher resolution micrographs showing the square marked in the bottom image. The scale bar represents 100 um.



Figure S18. The fluorescence intensity decays for the cell images of QN2.



Figure S19. STED micrographs of HeLa cells stained with RNA-select dye Syto9/DNA-slect dye Nuc-red. The scale bar represents 10 um.



Figure S20. Effects of cell damage agent on cellular uptake of QN2 in HeLa cells after treatment with 100 μ M cisplatin (a), 100 μ M 5-Fu (b) for 4 h. Confocal colocalisation studies of QN2 with PI. Scale bar is 20 μ m.



Figure S21. (a) HeLa cells were induced apoptosis with 10 μ M 5-Fu for 12 h, 24 h, 48 h, then stained with AnnexinV-FITC/PI. (b) Two photon images of 5-Fu treated cell stain with **QN2**. Then incubuted with **QN2**.Scale bar is 20 μ m.



Figure S22. HeLa cells uptake **QN2** after treatment with 50 μ M cisplatin for 12 h. (a) Fluorescence images of **QN2**. (b) Brightfield. (c) Merge image. (d) The 3D STED apoptotic body micrographs showing apoptosis-induced DNA fragmentation the marked in image (c). The scale bar represents 10 um.



Figure S23. (a) The cell images of HeLa cells stain with **QN2** and PI after different irradiation times. (b) The fluorescence intensity decays for the cell images after different irradiation times. Scale bar is 10um.



Figure S24. (a) The fluorescence intensity decays for the cell images in panel (right) after different irradiation times. Scale bar is 10um. Confocal micrographs of the 3D multicellular spheroids of SH-SY5Y cells, then stain with **QN2** (a) and PI (b) . 3D rendering of MCs showing detailed uptake of **QN2**, insert: the merge micrograhs of fluorescence and brightfield images, respectively. Scale bar is 100um. (c). 3D Z-stack confocal image of the rat kindney, brain, heart slices stain with **QN2** and PI.



Video S1. The radio of real-time uptake of **QN2** in HeLa after apoptosis inducer trigger for 30 min.

Reference

[1] M. Zhao, Y. Zhu, J. Su, Q. Geng, X. Tian, J. Zhang, H. Zhou, S. Zhang, J. Wu and Y. Tian, *J. Mater. Chem. B.* **2016**, 4, 5907-5912.