Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry B. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2018

Supporting Information for

## Simultaneous Sensing of Ferritin and Apoferritin Proteins Using an Iron-Responsive Dye and Evaluation of Physiological Parameters Associated with Serum Iron Estimation

Nilanjan Dey,<sup>a</sup> Asfa Ali,<sup>a</sup> Mohini Kamra<sup>a</sup> and Santanu Bhattacharya\*<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India. <sup>b</sup>Indian Association for Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700032, India Email: sb23in@yahoo.com



Figure S1. UV-visible spectra of compounds 1 and 2 at pH 7.4 in water.



**Figure S2.** FMO analysis of compound **1** using B3LYP/6-31G\* method.



Figure S3. UV-visible titration of 1 (10  $\mu$ M) with Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S4.** (a) Ratiometric variation in absorbance of 1 (10  $\mu$ M) during titration with Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water; (b) Ratiometric variation in absorbance of 1 (10  $\mu$ M) during titration with Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water.



**Figure S5.** (a) Determination of stoichiometry of interaction of 1 with  $Fe^{2+}$  at pH 7.4 in water; (b) Determination of stoichiometry of interaction of 1 with  $Fe^{2+}$  at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S6.** (a) Determination of binding constant of 1 with  $Fe^{3+}$  at pH 7.4 in water based on 1:1 binding model; (b) Determination of binding constant of 1 with  $Fe^{2+}$  at pH 7.4 in water based on 1:1 binding model



**Figure S7.** Change in emission intensity of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 457 nm) at 510 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (10  $\mu$ M) in presence of different metal ions (15  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water.

**Calculation of Stern-Volmer quenching constant:** For collisional quenching, the Stern-Volmer equation is,  $F_0/F = 1 + KSV[Fe^{3+}]$  where  $F_0$  and F are the fluorescence intensities observed in the absence and presence, respectively, of quencher,  $[Fe^{3+}]$  is the quencher concentration and  $K_{SV}$  is the Stern-Volmer quenching constant. Thus, a plot of  $F_0/F$  versus  $[Fe^{3+}]$  should yield a straight line with a slope equal to  $K_{SV}$ .



**Figure S8.** (a) Fluorescence titration of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) with Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water. (b) Change in emission intensity of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) at 515 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water.



**Figure S9.** Energy minimized structures of compound **1** and **1**+Fe<sup>3+</sup> using B3LYP/6-31G\* level of theory (LANL2DZ for Fe).

System	Müllikan charge	Dihedral angles	Binding energy (eV)	HOMO-LUMO gap (eV)	Internal energy (a.u.)
1	O1: -0.432 O2: -0.428 N1: -0.596 N2: -0.554	< N-C-C-O = 10.28°	-	3.21 eV (f = 0.0718)	-2678.29
1+ Fe <sup>3+</sup>	O1: -0.230 O2: -0.278 N1: -0.331 N2: -0.305	< N-C-C-O = 0.08°	3.71 eV	1.54 eV (f = 0.1030)	-2831.15

**Table S1.** Structural parameters of 1 and 1+Fe<sup>3+</sup> using B3LYP/6-31G\* level of theory (LANL2DZ for Fe)



Figure S10. UV-visible titration of 2 (10  $\mu$ M) with Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S11.** (a) Ratiometric variation in absorbance of 2 (10  $\mu$ M) during titration with Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water; (b) Ratiometric variation in absorbance of 2 (10  $\mu$ M) during titration with Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0-10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S12.** (a) Determination of stoichiometry of interaction of **2** with  $Fe^{2+}$  at pH 7.4 in water; (b) Determination of stoichiometry of interaction of **2** with  $Fe^{2+}$  at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S13.** (a) Determination of binding constant of **2** with  $Fe^{3+}$  at pH 7.4 in water based on 1:1 binding model; (b) Determination of binding constant of **2** with  $Fe^{2+}$  at pH 7.4 in water based on 1:1 binding model



**Figure S14.** (a) Change in absorbance of **1** and **2** (10  $\mu$ M) at 550 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-11  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water; (b) Change in absorbance of **1** and **2** (10  $\mu$ M) at 550 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0-11  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water



Figure S15. Change in fluorescence of 2 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 457 nm) at 510 nm with different metal ions (10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water.



**Figure S16.** (a) Change in fluorescence of 1 (10  $\mu$ M) at 510 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-11  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water; (b) Change in fluorescence of 1 (10  $\mu$ M) at 510 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>2+</sup> (0-11  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S17.** Change in fluorescence intensity of **1** (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) at 510 nm upon sequential addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (10  $\mu$ M) and EDTA (10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S17.** Determination of Stern-Volmer quenching constant of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 457 nm) at 510 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (10  $\mu$ M) at different temperature at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S18.** Partial <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of 1 (5 mM) upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0-5 mM) DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>/D<sub>2</sub>O mixture (3:2) medium



Figure S19. FT-IR spectra of 1 (0.5 mM) in presence of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0.5 mM) at pH 7.4 in water



Figure S20. ESI-MS mass spectrum of 1 (0.5 mM) upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0.5 mM) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S21.** (a) Fluorescence titration of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) with ferritin (0-300 nM) at pH 6.0 in water. (b) Change in emission intensity of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) during titration with ferritin (0-220 nM)



**Figure S22.** (a) Fluorescence titration of  $\mathbf{1} + Fe^{3+}$  ([ $\mathbf{1}$ ] = 10 µM, [Fe<sup>3+</sup>] = 10 µM,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) with apoferritin (0-300 nM) at pH 6.0 in water; (b) Change in emission intensity of  $\mathbf{1} + Fe^{3+}$  ([ $\mathbf{1}$ ] = 10 µM, [Fe<sup>3+</sup>] = 10 µM,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) during titration with apoferritin (0-220 nM) at pH 6.0 in water.



**Figure S23.** (a) Change in emission intensity of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 457 nm) at 515 nm with time (0-220 min) in blood serum samples (2.5/7.5 v/v with pH 7.4 buffer) at pH 4.5. (b) Change in emission intensity of 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 457 nm) at 515 nm upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in different blood serum samples (2.5/7.5 v/v with pH 7.4 buffer) at pH 7.4.

Blood serum samples	Serum Iron (μM) From present method	Average (µM)	% RSD	Fe³⁺ unbound (µM)	LIBC (µM) = Fe <sup>3+</sup> added – Fe <sup>3+</sup> unbound (Iron added: 20 µM)	TIBC (μΜ) = Serum Iron + Unbound Iron	Average (µM)	% RSD
Sample 1	3.65	3.64	1.65	6.77	13.23	16.88	16.83	0.58
	3.58			6.86	13.14	16.72		
	3.70			6.80	13.20	16.90		
Sample 2	4.25	4.20	1.04	6.41	13.59	17.84	17.84	0.09
	4.18			6.33	13.67	17.85		
	4.17			6.35	13.65	17.82		
Sample 3	4.62	4.60	0.55	5.82	14.18	18.80	18.83	0.19
	4.57			5.70	14.30	18.87		
	4.60			5.78	14.22	18.82		

**Table S2.** Estimation of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in different diluted blood serum samples (2.5/7.5 v/v with pH 7.4 buffer) using compound 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) monitored at 515 nm.

Blood serum samples	Serum Iron In µg/dL (present method)	Serum Iron In μg/dL (AAS method)	% Error	TIBC In μg/dL (present method)	TIBC In μg/dL (AAS method)	% Error	Transferrin saturation (%) (present method)	Transferrin saturation (%) (AAS method)	% Error
Sample 1	61.15	62.50	2.39	282.80	285.28	1.16	21.6	21.9	1.37
	59.98			280.12					
	61.99			283.13					
Sample 2	71.20	72.58	3.15	298.88	308.34	3.19	23.6	23.5	0.43
	70.03			299.00					
	69.86			298.55					
Sample 3	77.04	78.15	1.61	314.97	320.12	1.45	24.3	24.4	0.40
	76.56			316.14					
	77.07			315.30					

 Table S3.
 Determination of Diagnostic Parameters Associated with Serum Iron Estimation



**Figure S24.** (a) Linear range as well as detection limit for  $Fe^{3+}$  sensing in different diluted blood serum samples (2.5/7.5 v/v with pH 7.4 buffer) using compound 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex} = 457$  nm) monitored at 515 nm. (b) Change in emission intensity of 1 at 515 nm in presence of different analytes in diluted blood serum samples (2.5/7.5 v/v with pH 7.4 buffer).



Figure S25. Estimation of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (in  $\mu$ M) in different natural water samples using 1 (10  $\mu$ M) at pH 7.4 in water.

Water Sources	Fe <sup>3+</sup> level (n=3)	Fe <sup>3+</sup> level (n=3)	(in % level)
	Proposed method In ppb	AAS method In ppb	Deviation
Тар	166.5 ± 5.2	170.2 ± 1.2	2.9
Pond	57.0 ± 1.5	52.4±0.5	9.5
Sea	9.8 ± 0.5	8.5 ± 0.8	15.2
Well	247.2 ± 9.8	243.5 ± 2.5	1.6
Waste	488.4 ± 10.6	490.2 ± 4.2	0.4

**Table S4.** Estimation of  $Fe^{3+}$  (in  $\mu M$ ) in different natural water samples using both present method as well as AAS method.



**Figure S26.** Estimation of iron (mg/100 g) in different agricultural samples using 1 (10  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 457 nm) at pH 7.4 in water



**Figure S27.** Compound coated color strips for discrimination of  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{2+}$  using compounds 1 and 2.



Figure S28. Compound coated color strips for discrimination of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup> using compounds 1.



**Figure S29.** (a) Color strips for on-site detection of Fe<sup>3+</sup>; selectivity was checked in presence of different metal ions. (b) Quantify the extent of emission change of color strips upon addition of different metal ions at pH 7.4 in water.



**Figure S30.** (a) Color strips for reversible detection of  $Fe^{3+}$ ; equimolecular EDTA was used in each time. (b) Quantify the extent of emission change of color strips upon sequential addition of  $Fe^{3+}$  and EDTA.



Figure S31. Plot of %cell viability against concentration of 1 in HeLa cells for 72 h treatment period.