Electronic Supplementary Information

to the manuscript

AS1411-decorated niosomes as effective nanocarriers for

Ru(III)-based drugs in anticancer strategies

Claudia Riccardi,^a Carme Fàbrega,^b Santiago Grijalvo,^b

Giuseppe Vitiello,^{c,d} Gerardino d'Errico,^{a,c} Ramon Eritja,^{b,*} Daniela Montesarchio^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemical Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Via Cintia 21, I-80126, Napoli, Italy

^bInstitute for Advanced Chemistry of Catalonia (IQAC-CSIC), Jordi Girona 18-26, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain. Networking Center on Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine (CIBER-BBN), Jordi Girona 18-26, E-08034 Barcelona, Spain.

^cCSGI – Center for Colloids and Surface Science, Italy

^dDepartment of Chemical Engineering, of Materials and Industrial Production, University of Naples Federico II, Piazzale Tecchio 80, I-80125 Napoli, Italy

*Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: <u>ramon.eritja@iqac.csic.es</u> (R. Eritja); <u>daniela.montesarchio@unina.it</u> (D. Montesarchio)

Table of contents

Scheme S1. Synthesis and characterization of HoThyRu	pag. S3
Scheme S2. Synthesis and characterization of BnO-HEG acetic acid	pag. S5
Table S1. Concentration of niosome and AS1411 oligonucleotide in AS1411/niosome	
formulations at different N/P charge ratios	pag. S6
Table S2. Zeta potential values (mV) of AS1411/niosome formulations at different	
N/P charge ratios	pag. S7
Table S3. Z-average size (r., nm) and associated polydispersity index (PdI) values	
determined for AS1411/niosome formulations at different N/P charge ratios	pag. S8
Table S4. Concentration of all the components in niosome formulations at the	
selected 1:12 N/P charge ratio	pag. S9
Figure S1. Size distribution by intensity of HoThyRu in PBS solution	pag. S10
Figure S2. TEM images of unfunctionalized niosomes	pag. S11
Figure S3. Molecular structures of (a) 5-DSA and (b) 16-DSA spin probes used in	
EPR analyses	pag. S12
Table S5. Z-average size (r., nm) and corresponding PdI, reported as a function of	
time for all the formulations at the different concentrations analyzed	pag. S13
Figure S4. Normalized cell viability of HoThyRu, AS1411 and unfunctionalized	
niosome in HEK293T, HeLa, HCC2998 and HTB-38 cancer cell lines at different	
concentrations	pag. S14

Synthesis and characterization of HoThyRu



Scheme S1. Synthetic procedure for the preparation of the nucleolipidic Ru(III)-complex HoThyRu. For all the synthesized compounds, the spectroscopic (¹H and ¹³C) and spectrometric (ESI-MS) data were in perfect agreement with literature data (G. Mangiapia, G. D'Errico, L. Simeone, C. Irace, A. Radulescu, A. Di Pascale, A. Colonna, D. Montesarchio and L. Paduano, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 3770–3782).

• Synthesis of 3-(4-pyridylmethyl)-5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethyl)-thymidine (2)

5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethyl)thymidine **1** (148 mg, 0.27 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL of dry DMF. Cs_2CO_3 (531 mg, 1.63 mmol) and 4-(bromomethyl)pyridine hydrobromide (275 mg, 1.08 mmol) were then added to the reaction mixture, left at 60 °C under stirring. After 20 h, TLC analysis indicated the presence of the desired product and the reaction was quenched by removing the solvent under reduced pressure. First, a CH_2Cl_2/H_2O extraction was performed to remove the excess reagents. Then, the crude product was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column using AcOEt/MeOH (97:3, v/v, containing 2 % of TEA), as eluent, giving desired compound **2** in 67 % yield (114 mg, 0.18 mmol).

2: oil. $R_f = 0.5$ (AcOEt/MeOH, 95:5, v/v).

• Synthesis of 3-(4-pyridylmethyl)-3'-O-oleyl-5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytriphenylmethyl)thymidine (3) Alkylated compound **2** (114 mg, 0.18 mmol) was dissolved in 2 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 and then DMAP (122 mg, 0.54 mmol), oleic acid (50.1 mg, 0.18 mmol) and DCC (111 mg, 0.54 mmol) were sequentially added. After stirring for 15 h at r.t., TLC analysis indicated the complete disappearance of the starting materials. Thus, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure; the crude product was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column using *n*-hexane/AcOEt (7:3, v/v, containing 2 % of TEA) as eluent, providing desired compound **3** in 41 % yield (64.1 mg, 0.07 mmol).

3: oil. $R_f = 0.3$ (*n*-hexane/AcOEt, 7:3, v/v).

• Synthesis of 3-(4-pyridylmethyl)-3'-O-oleyl-thymidine (4)

Compound **3** (56.2 mg, 0.06 mmol) was dissolved in 4 mL of a 1 % TCA solution in CH₂Cl₂. Upon addition of the acid, the reaction mixture acquired an intense yellow-orange colour, typical of the trityl cation, and was left under stirring at r.t. After 1 h, TLC monitoring showed the complete disappearance of the starting compound. Thus the reaction was quenched by adding few drops of MeOH until complete decoloration, and finally TEA was added to neutralize the solution. Then the reaction mixture was concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by chromatography on a silica gel column eluted with CHCl₃/MeOH (99:1, v/v), giving the desired compound **4** in almost 71 % isolated yields (25.6 mg, 0.04 mmol).

4: yellow oil. $R_f = 0.4$ (CHCl₃/MeOH, 95:5, v/v).

• Synthesis of 3-(4-pyridylmethyl)-3'-O-oleyl-5'-O-(benzyloxy)hexaethylene glycol acetylthymidine (5)

To compound 4 (21.1 mg, 0.04 mmol), dissolved in 3 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 , DMAP (12.8 mg, 0.11 mmol), BnO-HEG acetic acid 8 (16.6 mg, 0.04 mmol) and DCC (21.7 mg, 0.11 mmol) were sequentially added, and the resulting reaction mixture was left under stirring at r.t.. After 15 h TLC analysis indicated the formation of a new product, so the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column eluted with AcOEt, giving the desired compound **5** in 51 % yield (17.2 mg, 0.02 mmol).

5: oil. $R_f = 0.4$ (AcOEt/MeOH, 95:5, v/v).

• Synthesis of HoThyRu

Nucleolipid **5** (17.1 mg, 16.9 nmol) was dissolved in 2.5 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ and then Na⁺ [*trans*-RuCl₄(DMSO)₂]⁻ (7.9 mg, 0.02 mmol) was added under stirring at 40 °C. After 15 h the reaction, monitored *via* TLC, indicated the complete disappearance of both starting materials and the concomitant formation of a new, more polar product. Thus, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure providing the target complex HoThyRu in almost quantitative yields (21.1 mg, 15.6 nmol). **HoThyRu**: yellow oil. $R_f = 0.2$ (AcOEt/MeOH, 95:5 v/v).

Synthesis and characterization of BnO-HEG acetic acid



Scheme S2. Synthetic procedure for the preparation of BnO-HEG acetic acid (Bn = benzyl group). For all the synthesized compounds, the spectroscopic (¹H and ¹³C) and spectrometric (ESI-MS) data were in perfect agreement with literature data (G. Mangiapia, et al. *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 3770–3782).

• Synthesis of (monobenzyloxy)hexaethylene glycol (6)

Hexaethylene glycol (2.0 g, 7.10 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL of dry THF and NaH 60 % p.p. (170 mg, 4.25 mmol) and then benzylbromide (3.37 mL, 22.8 mmol) were sequentially added. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 h, then MeOH (1 mL) was added and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The crude product was dissolved in CHCl₃, filtered on celite and then purified by chromatography on a silica gel column using AcOEt/MeOH (9:1, v/v) as eluent, yielding the desired compound **6** in 65 % yields (1.7 g, 4.60 mmol).

6: oil. $R_f = 0.5$ (AcOEt/MeOH, 9:1, v/v).

• Synthesis of tert-butyl (monobenzyloxy)hexaethylene glycol acetate (7)

Alcohol **6** (155 mg, 0.42 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL of dry THF and NaH 60 % p.p. (33.0 mg, 0.83 mmol) and *tert*-butyl bromoacetate (154 μ L, 1.01 mmol) were sequentially added. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 h, then few drops of MeOH were added and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The crude product was dissolved in CHCl₃, filtered on celite and then purified by chromatography on a silica gel column eluted with AcOEt, yielding the desired compound **7** in 86 % yields (175 mg, 0.36 mmol).

7: oil. $R_f = 0.6$ (AcOEt/MeOH, 95:5, v/v).

• Synthesis of (monobenzyloxy)hexaethylene glycol acetic acid (8)

Ester **7** (95.0 mg, 0.19 mmol) was dissolved in 1.5 mL of HCOOH and stirred at r.t. for 2 h. The solvent was then removed *in vacuo* and the residue was coevaporated three times with CHCl₃ (3 x 3 mL), yielding the desired compound **8** in almost quantitative yields (82.0 mg, 0.19 mmol). **8**: oil. $R_f = 0.2$ (AcOEt/MeOH, 95:5, v/v).

formulations at different N/P charge ratios ^a				
N/P charge ratio	niosome conc. (lipid + surfactant)			
1:0.5	6.25 μM			
1:1	12.5 μ M			
1:3	37.5 μΜ			
1:5	62.5 μM			
1:8	100 μΜ			
1:10	125 μM			
1:12	150 μΜ			
1:14	175 μΜ			
1:16	200 µM			

Concentration of niosome vesicles in AS1411/niosome formulations at different N/P charge ratios^a

 a these values are referred to fixed AS1411 concentration (0.5 $\mu M).$

Table S1. Concentration of niosome vesicles in AS1411/niosome formulations at different N/P charge ratios with fixed AS1411 concentration of 0.5 μ M, used in gel electrophoresis and Z-potential assays.

N/P charge ratio	Z-potential $(mV) \pm SD$
AS1411	-10.0± 1.5
1:0.5	-9.3 ± 0.8
1:1	1.0 ± 0.1
1:5	4.3 ± 0.7
1:8	10.7 ± 1.2
1:10	7.9 ± 1.6
1:12	12.7 ± 0.9
1:14	10.4 ± 0.9
1:16	11.6 ± 1.6

Table S2. Zeta potential values (mV) of AS1411/niosome formulations at different N/P charge ratios. Data are reported as an average of three measurements (mean \pm SD).

N/P charge ratio	charge ratio Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	
1:1	130 ± 20	0.39 ± 0.09
1:3	116 ± 2	0.36 ± 0.01
1:5	98 ± 4	0.40 ± 0.11
1:8	91.0 ± 0.2	0.27 ± 0.01
1:10	86.5 ± 0.1	0.27 ± 0.01
1:12	83.5 ± 0.5	0.34 ± 0.05
1:14	75.7 ± 0.1	0.35 ± 0.01
1:16	60.4 ± 0.6	0.38 ± 0.01

Table S3. Z-average size (r., nm) and associated polydispersity index (PdI) values determined for
AS1411/niosome formulations at different N/P charge ratios. Data are reported as an average of
three measurements (mean \pm SD).

Concentration of niosome components in AS1411/niosome 1:12 N/P charge ratio formulations			
niosome	HoThyRu	AS1411	
17.5 mM ^{a,c}	672 μM	58 µM	
15 mM ^b	576 µM	50 µM	
3.5 mM ^c	135 µM	12 µM	
175 μM ^c	6.7 μM	585 nM	
$150 \ \mu M^{d}$	5.8 µM	500 nM	
$125 \ \mu M^d$	4.8 µM	416 nM	
$100 \ \mu M^{d}$	3.8 µM	333 nM	
$75~\mu M^{d}$	2.9 µM	250 nM	
$50 \ \mu M^d$	1.9 µM	166 nM	
$25 \ \mu M^d$	0.95 μΜ	83 nM	
$15 \ \mu M^d$	0.58 μΜ	50 nM	
$7.5 \ \mu M^d$	285 nM	33 nM	
3.5 µM ^d	133 nM	16 nM	
1.25 μM ^d	48 nM	8.3 nM	

Table S4. Concentrations of niosome vesicle	es, HoThyRu and AS	S1411 in niosome	formulations at
the selected 1:12 oligonucleotide/lipid N/P ch	arge ratio.		

^aconcentration used in EPR measurements;

^bconcentration used in NMR measurements;

^cconcentration used in DLS particle size and storage stability measurements; ^dconcentration used in biological assays.



Figure S1. Size distribution by intensity of a 672 μ M HoThyRu solution in PBS as a freshly prepared sample (up) and after one week storage at 4° C (down), reported as a representative example.



Figure S2. TEM images of unfunctionalized niosomes (cationic lipid and polysorbate 80 in 3:1 molar ratio); original magnification $29.000 \times$ and $43.000 \times$ respectively for panels **a** and **b**.



Figure S3. Molecular structures of (a) 5-DSA and (b) 16-DSA spin probes used in EPR measurements. DSA = doxyl stearic acid.

	17.5	mM	3.5	mM	175	μΜ
time	Pure niosome					
(weeks)	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD
0	69.8 ± 0.3	0.44 ± 0.01	62.9 ± 0.4	0.38 ± 0.01	78.7 ± 0.8	0.39 ± 0.10
1	85.7 ± 0.1	0.61 ± 0.10	65.9 ± 2.3	0.37 ± 0.01	79.4 ± 2.9	0.34 ± 0.02
3	86.5 ± 0.7	0.47 ± 0.03	69.0 ± 4.4	0.34 ± 0.03	84.5 ± 2.2	0.59 ± 0.11
4	74.7 ± 0.1	0.43 ± 0.02	79.5 ± 0.7	0.51 ± 0.06	76.9 ± 2.6	0.59 ± 0.12
		niosome_HoThyRu				
	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD
0	56.8 ± 0.1	0.32 ± 0.01	76.1±1.6	0.46 ± 0.02	56±2	0.46 ± 0.12
1	52.9 ± 1.4	0.35 ± 0.07	87 ± 2	0.43 ± 0.03	107±2	0.47 ± 0.03
3	$54.8{\pm}0.7$	0.35 ± 0.01	68 ± 3	0.43 ± 0.03	93±2	0.42 ± 0.02
4	59.1±1.6	0.39 ± 0.01	103±2	0.27 ± 0.03	106± 5	0.44 ± 0.02
			AS1411/	niosome		
	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD
0	81.4 ± 0.6	0.32 ± 0.02	$66.5{\pm}0.7$	0.24 ± 0.01	67.8 ± 0.5	0.22 ± 0.01
1	85.6 ± 0.6	0.33 ± 0.01	77 ± 2	0.37 ± 0.01	68.3 ± 0.6	0.34 ± 0.02
3	80.6 ± 0.9	0.30 ± 0.03	68.3 ± 0.3	0.20 ± 0.01	$72.9{\pm}~1.2$	0.23 ± 0.01
4	87± 3	0.33 ± 0.01	80.2 ± 0.2	0.28 ± 0.01	75.9 ± 0.5	0.26 ± 0.01
		AS1411/niosome_HoThyRu				
	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD	Z-average (r. nm) ± SD	PdI± SD
0	$86.7{\pm}0.6$	0.32 ± 0.04	87± 5	0.37 ± 0.01	75.9 ± 0.5	0.39 ± 0.01
1	74.7 ± 0.4	0.26 ± 0.01	82.0 ± 1.3	0.36 ± 0.01	79.2 ± 0.3	0.28 ± 0.01
3	93.3 ± 0.6	0.38 ± 0.01	67.6 ± 0.4	0.21 ± 0.01	77.1 ± 0.1	0.30 ± 0.03
4	80.3 ± 0.1	0.29 ± 0.01	71.9 ± 0.1	0.26 ± 0.01	83.1 ± 0.7	0.36 ± 0.01

Table S5. Z-average size (r., nm) and corresponding PdI values, reported as a function of time for all the niosomal formulations (unfunctionalized niosomes, niosome_HoThyRu, AS1411/niosome and AS1411/niosome_HoThyRu) at different concentrations. Data are reported as an average of three measurements (mean \pm SD).



Figure S4. Normalized cell viability for AS1411, HoThyRu and unfunctionalized niosome in the four indicated cell lines. Cells were treated with increasing concentrations of the three tested samples ranging from 1.25 to 150 μ M. **a**) HEK293T, **b**) HeLa, **c**) HCC2998 and **d**) HTB-38. Data are reported as mean values \pm SD (error bar), for four independent experiments in triplicate (n = 15). All data are normalized to PBS used as control.