# A novel label-free photoelectrochemical immunosensor based on NCQDs and Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> co-sensitized hierarchical mesoporous SnO<sub>2</sub> microflowers for detection of NT-proBNP

Dawei Fan<sup>a,\*</sup>, Chunzhu Bao<sup>a</sup>, Xin Liu<sup>a</sup>, Dan Wu<sup>a</sup>, Yong Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Huan Wang<sup>a</sup>, Bin Du, Qin Wei<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Interfacial Reaction & Sensing Analysis in Universities of Shandong, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, University of Jinan, Jinan 250022, P. R. China.

#### **Corresponding author:**

\*E-mail: jndxfandawei@126.com & sdjndxwq@163.com

Tel: +86-531-82760510

#### 1. Materials

Bovineserum albumin (BSA) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Beijing, China). Thioglycolic acid (TGA) was obtained from Tianjin Kermel Chemical Reagent Co. 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) were obtained from Aladdin Reagent Database Inc. (Shanghai, China). Ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Millipore) used in all experiments was deionized to 18.25 M $\Omega$ ·cm. ITO glass (resistivity 10 $\Omega$ /sq) was obtained from Zhuhai Kaivo Electronic Components Co., Ltd. China. The other materials were analytical pure without further purification.

## 2. Apparatus

A three-electrode system was used, with a platinum wire as a counter-electrode, saturated calomel electrode as a reference electrode and modified ITO electrode (2.5×0.8 cm<sup>2</sup>) as the working electrode. Before preparation of PEC sensor, ITO substrates were cleaned by immersion for 30 min at 50°C in a series of ultrasonically agitated solvents (acetone, H<sub>2</sub>O, ethanol, H<sub>2</sub>O) and dried under a nitrogen stream. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images and energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS) were tested by a field emission SEM (Zeiss, Germany). Transmission electron microscope (120 kV). HR-TEM images were obtained using a JEOL JEM-2100F (Tokyo, Japan). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a D8 advance X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Germany). UV-vis spectra were obtained

on a Shimadzu UV-3101PC spectrometer (Japan). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis was performed on an Zahner electrochemical workstation (Germany) with a three-electrode system in a 5.0 mmol/L [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-/4-</sup> solution containing 0.10 mol/L KCl. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrum was obtained on Shimadzu VERTEX 70 spectrometer.



Fig. S1. Wavelength range of the LED light resource.

### 3. The TEM image and XRD pattern of pure Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>



Fig. S2. The TEM image (A) and XRD pattern (B) of pure Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>.

4. Time-based photocurrent response curves of ITO/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, ITO/SnO<sub>2</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>,

# ITO/NCQDs/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>.



Fig. S3. Time-based photocurrent response curves of ITO/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, ITO/SnO<sub>2</sub>/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>,

ITO/NCQDs/Bi<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>. The applied potential was 0 V.

## 5. Simulation parameters of the equivalent circuit components

Electrode	R <sub>s</sub>	$R_{\rm et}$	$C_{\rm dl}$	$Z_{w}$
	$(\Omega)$	(Ω)	(F)	
ITO	59.15	14.18	3.177×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.008131
ITO/SnO <sub>2</sub>	62.77	19.36	4.523×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.006400
ITO/SnO <sub>2</sub> /NCQDs	61.93	21.71	3.733×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.009014
ITO/SnO <sub>2</sub> /NCQDs/Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	61.13	23.42	6.274×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.009339
ITO/SnO <sub>2</sub> /NCQDs/Bi <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub> /TGA	62.57	31.79	4.538×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.007043
ITO/SnO2/NCQDs/Bi2S3/TGA/(EDC/NHS)	59.86	36.86	5.191×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.007704
ITO/SnO2/NCQDs/Bi2S3/TGA/(EDC/NHS)/Anti-	60.52	49.91	6.045×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.007258
NT-proBNP				
ITO/SnO2/NCQDs/Bi2S3/TGA/(EDC/NHS)/Anti-	60.28	121.1	8.401×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.004621
NT-proBNP/BSA				
ITO/SnO2/NCQDs/Bi2S3/TGA/(EDC/NHS)/Anti-	58.29	123.6	6.486 ×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.003928
NT-proBNP/BSA/NT-proBNP				

**Table S1**. Simulation parameters of the equivalent circuit components

# 6. Selectivity of experimental conditions



Fig. S4. Optimization of experimental conditions: (A) SnO<sub>2</sub> concentration, (B)

Bi(NO<sub>3</sub>) <sub>3</sub> concentration, (C) pH value, (D) AA concentration, the applied potential

was 0 V.

#### 7. Comparison of various methods for NT-proBNP detection

Method	Linear range	Linear range Detection limit	
Cyclic Voltammetry	$0.02-100 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	$6 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	1
microfluidic immunoassay	0.005-1.67 ng·mL <sup>−1</sup>	$0.003 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	2
enzyme-multiplied immunoassay	$0.001-10 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	$1 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	3
SERS	$0.01-100 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	0.75 fg·mL <sup><math>-1</math></sup>	4
Electrochemiluminescence	$0.0005-100 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	$0.28 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	5
Photoelectrochemical	0.0008 - 45 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup>	0.32 pg·mL <sup>-1</sup>	6
immunoassay			

Table S2. Comparison of various methods for NT-proBNP detection

This work	$0.01-50 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	$3.7 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$	This work

#### 8. Application of the fabricated PEC sensor in human serum

Table S3. Determination of NT-proBNP added in human serum with the fabricated

Human serum	The addition	The detection content	Average	RSD	Recovery
sample	content	(ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> )	value	(%)	(%)
(ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> )	(ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> )		(ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> )		
	0.80	1.88, 1.79, 1.82, 1.83, 1.90	1.84	2.46	98.8
1.05	1.00	2.09, 1.98, 2.02, 2.14, 2.10	2.07	3.13	102
	1.20	2.28, 2.23, 2.19, 2.30, 2.08	2.22	3.94	97.5

PEC sensor

# Reference

- Y. Zhuo, W. J. Yi, W. B. Lian, R. Yuan, Y. Q. Chai, A. Chen and C. M. Hu, *Biosensors & Bioelectronics*, 2011, 26, 2188-2193.
- 2. W. Liang, Y. Li, B. Zhang, Z. Zhang, A. Chen, D. Qi, W. Yi and C. Hu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2012, **31**, 480-485.
- 3. H. Han, J. C. Pyun, H. Yoo, H. S. Seo, B. H. Jung, Y. S. Yoo, K. Woo and M. J. Kang, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 10157-10163.
- 4. Y. He, Y. Wang, X. Yang, S. Xie, R. Yuan and Y. Chai, Acs Appl Mater Interfaces, 2016, 8, 7683-7690.

- 5. L. Shi, X. Li, W. Zhu, Y. Wang, B. Du, W. Cao, Q. Wei and X. Pang, ACS sensors, 2017, 2, 1774-1778.
- J. Feng, F. Li, X. Li, Y. Wang, D. Fan, B. Du, Y. Li and Q. Wei, *Biosensors & bioelectronics*, 2018, **117**, 773-780.