Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for:

Reduced Pyronin B as a Solution-Processable and Heating-Free *n***-Type Dopant for Soft Electronics**

Eun Kwang Lee^a, Yonghee Kim^a, Jisu Back^b, Eunsung Lee^b, Joon Hak Oh^{*a}

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Korea. E-mail: joonhoh@postech.ac.kr

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang 37673, Korea

<Table of Contents>

Table. S1 Summary of properties from the non-polar solvents	S2
Fig. S1 NMR Spectrum and ESR spectrum of rPyB	S3
Fig. S2 Photographs of the biphasic solution reaction to synthesize rPyB	S4
Fig. S3 Optical images of rPyB coated on graphene using various NPSs	S5
Fig. S4 AFM images of pristine graphene and rPyB-doped graphene with various doping concentrations	S6
Fig. S5 AFM images of graphene film doped with 5 mM of rPyB solution	S7
Fig. S6 Raman spectral changes of graphene as a function of the number of rPyB coating	S8
Fig. S7 Kelvin probe mapping before and after coating of rPyB on graphene	89
Fig. S8 Kelvin probe mapping of graphene with respect to the number of rPyB coating	S10
Fig. S9 The hysteresis of rPyB-doped graphene FET	S11
Fig. S10 Energy level diagram and electron mobility change upon the multiple coating of rPyB	S12
Fig. S11 Solution-processable, selective on-demand contact doping using a PDMS stamp	S13
Fig. S12 The evaluation of response time for the rPyB-stamp doping	S14
Fig. S13 Transfer curves of graphene FET on PET film before and after rPyB stamping doping	S15
Appended Experimental Section	
Fabrication of PDMS mold for 16 × 16 graphene FETs array stamping doping	S16
Schematic illustration of PDMS stamp and the detail for the preparation of stamping doping	S17

Non-polar solvent	Chemical structure	B.P. ^{a)} [°C]	Density [g mL ⁻¹]	Dipole moment [<i>D</i>]
Pentane	\sim	36	0.626	0
Cyclopentane	\bigcirc	40	0.751	0
Hexane	$\sim \sim$	69	0.655	0
Cyclohexane	\bigcirc	81	0.775	0
Benzene	\bigcirc	80	0.875	0
Toluene	\bigcirc	111	0.867	0.36

Table. S1 Summary of properties from the non-polar solvents (NPSs) tested in this study for the preparation of rPyB as an *n*-type dopant.

^aBoiling point.



Fig. S1 (a) NMR Spectrum of rPyB: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6 , 23 °C, δ): 6.96 (s, 2H), 6.68 (d, J = 5 Hz, 2H), 6.40 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 6.38 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 2H), 3.00 (q, J = 8.8 Hz, 8H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 12H). (b) ESR spectrum of rPyB solution in hexane. Microwave frequency = 9.4508 GHz.



Fig. S2 Photographs of the biphasic solution reaction to synthesize rPyB. (a) A biphasic solution comprising NPS and water with PyB was prepared. Photographs (b) after 1 min of adding NaBH₄, and (c) after 1 day. The NPS and water part were separated. Photographs after 1 week of (d) NPS part containing rPyB and (e) water part.



Fig. S3 Optical images of rPyB coated on graphene using various NPSs. All the rPyB solvents were spin-coated at 3000 rpm for 1 min. Evacuation at 1×10^{-3} torr was applied to remove NPSs for 10 min.



Fig. S4 AFM images (phase and height) of pristine graphene and rPyB-doped graphene with various doping concentrations (10 μ M, 20 μ M, 0.1 mM and 1 mM). From the phase images, rPyB coverages (brighter region) increased with increasing rPyB doping concentrations. Height profiles correspond to dashed green lines in each height images.

5 mM rPyB doping on graphene



All white scale bar, 500 nm

Fig. S5 AFM images of graphene film doped with 5 mM of rPyB solution.



Fig. S6 Raman spectral changes of graphene as a function of the number of rPyB coating. Upon increasing the number of rPyB coatings, the corresponding Raman signal (marked with *) was enhanced, which can be attributed to graphene Raman enhanced signal. In addition, HMET can occur from rPyB to graphene, leading to an increased polarizability.



Fig. S7 Kelvin probe (KP) mapping (a) before and (b) after coating of rPyB on graphene.



Fig. S8 Kelvin probe mapping of graphene with respect to the number of rPyB coating.



Fig. S9 The hysteresis of rPyB-doped graphene FET.



Fig. S10 (a) Comparison of energy levels of graphene, N2200, Au and rPyB-doped graphene. (b) Electron mobility changes of graphene FETs in ambient condition for 90 days as a function of the number of rPyB coating (from 1 to 4 rPyB coating).



Fig. S11 Solution-processable, selective on-demand contact doping using a PDMS stamp. (a) 16×16 graphene FET array was fabricated on a 300 nm SiO₂/n⁺⁺Si wafer using a conventional photolithography. (b) The fabricated PDMS stamp was soaked in rPyB solution. Then, the PDMS stamp dried under ~ 1×10^{-3} torr without thermal annealing was placed on top of the graphene FET array. Transfer curves of graphene FET (c) before and (d) after rPyB stamping doping.



Fig. S12 The evaluation of response time for the rPyB-stamp doping effect on graphene FET. rPyB-stamp was pressed by a fingertip for 30 s. The obtained response time was 14 s. The inset is an enlarged plot with a timescale from 40 to 80 s. The response time was defined as the time required to reach the stable linear signal region after the rPyB-stamp doping.



Fig. S13 (a) Photograph of rPyB-doped graphene FET array on a PET film. Morphological damages were not observed after the complete rPyB doping on the device. Typical transfer curve of graphene FET on PET (b) before and (c) after rPyB doping.

Appended Experimental Section

Fabrication of PDMS mold for 16 × *16 graphene FETs array stamping doping:* To fabricate a PDMS stamp for the rPyB doping, (a) A washed Si wafer was prepared. (b) SU-8, a commercially available epoxy photoresist, was spin-coated on top of the Si wafer. (c) UV-light was irradiated through a photo mask to transfer the pattern of the stamp. (d) After the development of SU-8, (e) PDMS was cast on the patterned SU-8 mold. (f) Finally, the PDMS stamp was fabricated after curing at 80 °C for 12 h.



Schematic illustration of PDMS stamp and the detail for the preparation of stamping doping: The fabricated PDMS stamp was 16×16 bumps with 500 µm, 20 µm of diameter and height, respectively and the distance between bumps is 1.5 mm. The fabricated PDMS stamp was soaked into rPyB solution for 1 min. After drying toluene at 120 °C, PDMS stamp was placed on the position of destination.

