#### S1

# **Supporting Information**

Polyurethane Derivatives for Highly Sensitive and Selective Fluorescent

Detection of 2,4,6-Trinitrophenol (TNP)

Nan Jiang,<sup>‡a</sup> Guangfu Li,<sup>‡a</sup> Weilong Che,<sup>a</sup> Dongxia Zhu,<sup>\*a</sup> Zhongmin Su<sup>\*a</sup> and Martin R. Bryce<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Key Laboratory of Nanobiosensing and Nanobioanalysis at Universities of Jilin Province, Department of Chemistry, Northeast Normal University, 5268 Renmin Street, Changchun, Jilin Province 130024, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK E-mail: m.r.bryce@durham.ac.uk

Table of Contents:	Page
1. Experimental details	S2
2. Structural characterization of PUs	S5
3. Photophysical properties and interactions of PUs with nitro-aromatic analytes	<b>S</b> 7

#### 1. Experimental details

#### General

Materials obtained from commercial suppliers were used without further purification unless otherwise stated. All glassware, syringes, magnetic stirring bars, and needles were thoroughly dried in a convection oven. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer and were referenced internally to the residual proton resonance in DMSO- $d_6$  ( $\delta$  2.5 ppm). The molecular weights of the polymers were calculated from their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3100 spectrophotometer. Photoluminescence spectra were collected on an Edinburgh FLS920 spectrophotometer.

## Synthesis of PU Derivatives

**PU1.** A mixture of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid (2.62 mmol), polyethylene glycol mono-methyl ether ( $M_w = 200 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ; 1.98 mmol), anhydrous THF (8 mL), hexamethylene diisocyanate (3.61 mmol) and DABCO (0.105 mmol) were added to dried two-neck round-bottom flask. The solution was heated at 75°C for 8 h under nitrogen atmosphere. After the clear solution became significantly viscous, product precipitated from excess diethyl ether. Then the product was dried under vacuum for 24 h to obtain the resulting **PU1** Yield: 84.6%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$  [ppm]): 12.41 (s, 2H), 7.01-7.95 (broad, 2H), 4.02 (s, 4H), 3.36-3.69 (broad, PEG protons), 3.23 (s, 6H; PEG terminal -OCH<sub>3</sub> protons), 2.63-2.98 (broad, 4H), 1.45 (broad, 4H), 1.29 (broad, 4H). FTIR: 3323 cm<sup>-1</sup>(N-H), 2859 and 2941cm<sup>-1</sup>(-CH<sub>2</sub>-asymmetric and symmetric stretch), 1704 (C=O), 1193 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O-C stretch PEG). The molecular weight is 716 g mol<sup>-1</sup> calculated from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

**PU2.** The synthetic procedure for **PU2** was the same as **PU1**, except monomer hydroquinone (2.62 mmol) was used instead of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid. Yield: 83%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$  [ppm]): 7.04-7.21 (broad, 4H ;), 4.03 (s, 4H), 3.39-3.61 (broad, PEG protons), 3.23 (s, 6H; PEG terminal -OCH<sub>3</sub> protons), 2.98 (broad, 4H), 1.41 (broad, 4H), 1.26 (broad, 4H). FTIR: 3326 cm<sup>-1</sup>(N-H), 2859 and 2942 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-CH<sub>2</sub>- asymmetric and symmetric stretching), 1705 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O), 1193 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O-C stretching PEG). The molecular weight is 1077 g mol<sup>-1</sup> calculated from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

**PU3.** The synthetic procedure of **PU3** was the same as **PU1**, except monomer 2, 7-dihydroxynaphthalene (2.62 mmol) was used instead of 2,5-dihydroxyterephthalic acid.

Yield: 80.5%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$  [ppm]): 7.04-7.95 (broad, 6H), 4.04 (broad, 4H), 3.45-3.62 (broad, PEG protons), 3.23 (s, 6H; PEG terminal -OCH<sub>3</sub>- protons), 3.01 (s, 4H), 1.43 (s, 4H), 1.31 (s, 4H). FTIR: 3322 cm<sup>-1</sup> (N-H), 2858 and 2940 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-CH<sub>2</sub>- asymmetric and symmetric stretching), 1704 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O), 1191 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O-C stretching PEG). The molecular weight is 3112 g mol<sup>-1</sup> calculated from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra.

#### Procedure for the sensing studies in the solution

Stock solutions of nitro-aromatic compounds, namely, picric acid (TNP), nitrobenzene (NB), 2,4-dinitrophenol (2,4-DNP) p-nitrophenol (NP), trinitrotoluene (TNT), 4-nitrotoluene (NT), 2,4-dinitrotoluene (2,4-DNT) and 2,6-dinitrotoluene (2,6-DNT) were prepared in acetonitrile-water mixture (1:1 v/v) at concentrations of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  M, respectively. The absorption and fluorescence measurements of PUs ( $1 \times 10^{-5}$  M) were carried out by sequentially adding different nitro-aromatic compounds in a quartz cuvette ( $3 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$ ). The absorption and fluorescence spectra of the resultant mixtures were then recorded after mixing thoroughly at room temperature.

#### Fluorescence quenching (%) measurement

The quenching percentage was calculated using the equation as follows:

Fluorescence quenching  $\% = (1 - I/I_0) \times 100\%$ 

where  $I_0$  is the initial fluorescence intensity in the absence of analyte, I is the fluorescence intensity in the presence of corresponding analyte.

#### Fluorescence quenching titration study

The Stern–Volmer relationship establishes the correlation of intensity changes with the quencher concentration [Q] as follows:

$$I_0/I = 1 + KSV[Q]$$

where  $I_0$  and I are the intensity, in the absence and presence of TNP, respectively, *KSV* is the Stern-Volmer quenching constant and [Q] is the concentration of TNP.

Benesi-Hildebrand equation

 $1/(F_0 - F_i) = 1/\{Ka * (F_0 - F_{Min}) * [PA]\} + 1/(F_0 - F_{Min})$ 

where,  $F_0$  is the fluorescence intensity of sensor,  $F_i$  is the fluorescence intensity obtained with TNP at different concentration,  $F_{Min}$  is the fluorescence intensity obtained with excess amount of TNP.

## Lifetime measurements

 $F(t) = \sum \alpha_i \exp\left(t \, / \, \tau_i\right)$ 

Where,  $\alpha_i$  is a pre-exponential factor representing the fractional contribution to the time resolved decay of the component with a lifetime  $\tau_i$ .

### Method for detection limit calculation

The detection limit (LOD) was then calculated using the equation  $3\sigma/K$ , where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation (SD) for PUs solution intensity in the absence of TNP and K denotes the slope of the curve.

## 2. Structural characterization of PUs



**Fig. S1** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **PU1** in DMSO- $d_6$  (\* indicates peaks from the solvent and water)



**Fig. S2** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **PU2** in DMSO- $d_6$  (\* indicates peaks from the solvent and water)



**Fig. S3** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **PU3** in DMSO- $d_6$  (\* indicates peaks from the solvent and water).



Fig. S4 FTIR spectra of PU1, PU2 and PU3.



3. Photophysical properties and interactions of PUs with nitro-aromatic analytes

Fig. S5 (a) PL spectra of PU2 (10  $\mu$ M) in acetonitrile–water (v/v = 1:1) solution containing different amounts of TNP. (b) Corresponding Stern–Volmer plot of TNP.



**Fig. S6** (a) PL spectra of **PU3** (10  $\mu$ M) in acetonitrile–water (v/v = 1:1) solution containing different amounts of TNP. (b) Corresponding Stern – Volmer plot of TNP.



**Fig. S7** (a) Quenching efficiency of **PU2** (10  $\mu$ M) with analytes (30  $\mu$ M) in acetonitrile-water (v/v = 1:1) solution before (black) and after (red) the addition of TNP (30  $\mu$ M). (b) Quenching efficiency of **PU3** (10  $\mu$ M) with analytes (30  $\mu$ M) in acetonitrile-water (v/v = 1:1) solution before (black) and after (red) the addition of TNP (30  $\mu$ M).



Fig. S8 (a) Normalized absorption spectrum of TNP and excitation/emission spectra of PU2.(b) Overlap between emission spectra of PU2 and absorption spectra of various nitro-aromatics.



Fig. S9 (a) Normalized absorption spectrum of TNP and excitation/emission spectra of PU3.(b) Overlap between emission spectra of PU3 and absorption spectra of various nitro-aromatics.



Fig. S10 UV-visible spectra of PU2 (a) and PU3 (b)  $(1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$  with increasing concentration of TNP.



Fig. S11 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of PU1 before and after addition of 1 equivalent of TNP in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Fig. S12** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **PU1** before and after addition of 1 equivalent of 2,4-DNT in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Fig. S13** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **PU2** before and after addition of 1 equivalent of 2,4-DNT in DMSO- $d_6$ .



Fig. S14 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of PU3 before and after addition of 1 equivalent of 2,4-DNT in DMSO- $d_6$ .



**Fig. S15** Comparison of the fluorescence quenching of PUs caused by the addition of various nitrophenols (TNP, 2,4-DNP and 4-NP) (30  $\mu$ M) in acetonitrile–water (v/v = 1:1) solution.



Fig. S16 Overlap between emission spectra of PUs and absorption spectra of various nitro-aromatics.



Fig. S17 (A) Luminescent photographs of PU2-coated filter paper with addition of different concentrations of TNP (10  $\mu$ L) water solutions. (B) Luminescent photographs of PU3-coated filter paper with addition of different concentrations of TNP (10  $\mu$ L) water solutions. All photographs were taken under 365 nm UV illumination.