

## Electronic Supplementary Information

### Calculation of partition coefficient plasma tissue

Drug concentration in a given tissue depends upon partition coefficient equilibria, which describe the substance behavior in a tissue according to the pH it has, the physicochemical properties of the drug ( $pK_a$ ,  $K_{ow}$ ), and the tissue composition in terms of water, neutral lipids, and phospholipids. There are several methods to estimate partition coefficients, the methods result in predictions with different accuracy depending on the tissue and the drug class<sup>1,2</sup>. In order to incorporate electrostatic interactions which might result in tissue binding, predictions for tissue distribution were calculated as described by Rodgers, Leahy and Rowland<sup>3</sup>. The equations employed for calculation of values for cocaine are shown below.

$$P_T = \frac{f_u^p}{B:P} * K_{up_t} \quad \text{Eq.S1}$$

$$K_{up} = f_{EW} + \left( \frac{1+10^{pK_a-pH_{IW}}}{1+10^{pK_a-pH_p}} * f_{IW} \right) + \left( \frac{K_a * [AP^-]_T * 10^{pK_a-pH_{IW}}}{1+10^{pK_a-pH_p}} \right) + \left( \frac{P * f_{NL} + ((0.3P+0.7)*f_{NP})}{1+10^{pK_a-pH_p}} \right) \quad \text{Eq.S2}$$

$$K_{a_{BC}} = \left( K_{pu_{BC}} - \left( \frac{1+10^{pK_a-pH_{BC}}}{1+10^{pK_a-pH_p}} * f_{IW,BC} \right) - \left( \frac{P * f_{NL,BC} + ((0.3P+0.7)*f_{NP,BC})}{1+10^{pK_a-pH_p}} \right) \right) * \left( \frac{1+10^{pK_a-pH_p}}{[AP^-]_{BC} * 10^{pK_a-pH_{BC}}} \right) \quad \text{Eq.S3}$$

$$K_{pu_{BC}} = \frac{B:P}{Ht*f_u^p} - \frac{1-Ht}{Ht*f_u^p} \quad \text{Eq.S4}$$

For equations S1 to S4  $P_T$ = tissue partition coefficient;  $f_u^p$ = unbound drug in plasma;  $B:P$ = blood-plasma ratio;  $K_{up}$ = unbound plasma: tissue partition coefficient  $f$  = tissue fractions of EW= extracellular water, IW= intracellular water, NL= neutral lipids, and NP= neutral phospholipids;  $pK_a$ = ionization constant negative logarithm;  $P$ = octanol:water partition coefficient;  $pH_{IW}$ = intracellular water pH;  $pH_p$ = plasmatic pH;  $[AP^-]_T$ = tissue acidic phospholipids;  $K_a$ = ionization constant;  $K_{a_{BC}}$ = ionization constant in blood cells (BC); and Ht is hematocrit.

Since metabolite benzoylecgonine is a zwitterion, appropriate equations were employed to calculations according to Rodgers approach<sup>4,5</sup>

$$K_{up} = f_{EW} + \left( \frac{1+X}{1+Y} * f_{IW} \right) + \left( \frac{K_a * [AP^-]_T * X}{1+Y} \right) + \left( \frac{P * f_{NL} + ((0.3P+0.7)*f_{NP})}{1+Y} \right) \quad \text{Eq.S5}$$

$$K_{a_{BC}} = \left( K_{pu_{BC}} - \left( \frac{1+Z}{1+Y} * f_{IW,BC} \right) - \left( \frac{P * f_{NL,BC} + ((0.3P+0.7)*f_{NP,BC})}{1+Y} \right) \right) * \left( \frac{1+Y}{[AP^-]_{BC} * Z} \right) \quad \text{Eq.S6}$$

$$X = 10^{(pH_{IW}-pK_a_{ACID})} + 10^{(pK_a_{BASE}-pH_{IW})} \quad \text{Eq.S7}$$

$$Y = 10^{(pH_p-pK_a_{ACID})} + 10^{(pK_a_{BASE}-pH_p)} \quad \text{Eq.S8}$$

$$Z = 10^{(pH_{BC}-pK_a_{ACID})} + 10^{(pK_a_{BASE}-pH_{BC})} \quad \text{Eq.S9}$$

For equations 5 to 9  $pK_{a\text{ACID}}$  = ionization constant negative logarithm of acid group;  $pK_{a\text{BASIC}}$ =ionization constant negative logarithm of basic group.

The tissue compositions and physiological blood data used for partition coefficients calculation are shown in tables 1 and 2. Cocaine physiological and pharmacological parameters used in the model are shown in table 3.

Tissue	Fractional Tissue Volume based on wet weight				Tissue Concentration of Acidic Phospholipids (mg/g)
	Neutral Lipid ( $f_{NL}$ )	Neutral Phospholipid ( $f_{NP}$ )	Extracellular Water ( $f_{EW}$ )	Intracellular Water ( $f_{IW}$ )	
Blood cells	0.0017	0.0029	---	0.603	0.5
Fat	0.853	0.0016	0.135	0.017	0.4
Bone	0.017	0.0017	0.1	0.346	0.67
Brain	0.039	0.0015	0.162	0.62	0.4
Intestine	0.038	0.0125	0.282	0.475	2.41
Heart	0.014	0.0111	0.32	0.456	2.25
Kidney	0.012	0.0242	0.273	0.483	5.03
Liver	0.014	0.024	0.161	0.573	4.56
Lung	0.022	0.0128	0.336	0.446	3.91
Muscle	0.01	0.0072	0.118	0.63	1.53
Skin	0.06	0.0044	0.382	0.291	1.32
Spleen	0.0077	0.0113	0.207	0.579	3.18

Table S1. Tissue Composition in rats as reported by Rodgers, Leahy and Rowland<sup>3</sup>.

Parameter	Value	Reference
Plasmatic pH (pHp)	7.4	Waddell, 1969 <sup>6</sup>
Intracellular water pH (pHIW)	7	Tehrani, 1982 <sup>7</sup>
Blood cell pH (pHBC)	7.22	Kummerow, 2000 <sup>8</sup>
Male rat hematocrit (Ht)	51.3	Arcila, 2010 <sup>9</sup>

Table S2. Blood cell physiological data used in the model.

Parameter	Value
Partition coefficient logarithm from octanol:water of non-ionized molecule (Log P)	2.30 <sup>a</sup>
Partition coefficient octanol:water (P)	199.53 <sup>a</sup>

Plasmatic unbound fraction ( $f_{u_p}$ )	0.68 <sup>b</sup>
Blood - Plasma ratio (B:P)	1.01 <sup>b</sup>
Ionization constant (pKa)	8.61 <sup>a</sup>
Total rat clearance (CL <sub>T</sub> )	0.0425 L/min <sup>b</sup>

**Table S3.** Cocaine physicochemical and pharmacological properties used in the model. Data obtained from: a) Wishart, 2010<sup>10</sup>; and b) Sukbuntherng, 1996<sup>11</sup>.

## Sensibility Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was conducted with Monte Carlo uncertainty analysis programmed in MATLAB (MATLAB R2017a). Model parameters were chosen from normal distributions for body weight and dose; and lognormal for everyone else considering a variability of 20% of the mean value (Confidence factor (Cf)=1.2, see Table 2 in the paper for details). The normalized sensitivity coefficient (NSC) values were determined by the following equation:

$$NSC = \frac{\Delta M}{m} * \frac{p}{\Delta p} \quad \text{Eq.S10}$$

where m is the response variable (e.g., venous concentration),  $\Delta M$  the change in response variable, p the value of the parameter, and  $\Delta p$  is the change in the parameter value. Variation in each parameter was considered according Table 2, and the NSCs were computed for a simulation of 32-mg/kg iv dose for a subject with body weight equal to 70 Kg. A small NCS value means that the variation in the parameter in question (i.e. Volume of heart (V<sub>h</sub>) regarding maximum concentration peak in brain), does not result in an important change in the estimations by the PBPK model, it means that the estimation is not sensible to this parameter and therefore is not related importantly with the concentrations in that tissue. Resulting NCS are listed in Table S4

Table S4. Normalized sensitivity coefficient (NSC) values calculated at cocaine peak concentrations in brain (T=4.24) and in heart (T=3.41 min) from sensibility analysis by Monte Carlo from 1000 virtual subjects with body weight 70Kg and total dose of cocaine 32 mg. Values of NSC > 0.1 were considered relevant to simulation, these values are presented in bold.

Parameter	Maximum brain peak concentration of cocaine (T=4.24 min)	Maximum heart peak concentration of cocaine (T=3.41 min)	Parameter	Maximum brain peak concentration of cocaine (T=4.24 min)	Maximum heart peak concentration of cocaine (T=3.41 min)
V <sub>a</sub>	0.0187	0.0236	V <sub>spleenv</sub>	0.0036	0.0036
V <sub>spleen</sub>	0.0039	0.0035	V <sub>brainv</sub>	0.0148	0.0035
V <sub>brain</sub>	<b>0.3461</b>	0.0072	V <sub>hv</sub>	0.0042	<b>0.2648</b>

<b>V<sub>h</sub></b>	0.0067	<b>0.3119</b>	<b>V<sub>fv</sub></b>	0.0037	0.0035
<b>V<sub>f</sub></b>	0.0039	0.0036	<b>V<sub>Lv</sub></b>	0.0048	0.0037
<b>V<sub>L</sub></b>	0.0336	0.0269	<b>V<sub>bonev</sub></b>	0.0041	0.0039
<b>V<sub>bone</sub></b>	0.008	0.0069	<b>V<sub>intv</sub></b>	0.0042	0.0041
<b>V<sub>int</sub></b>	0.009	0.0076	<b>V<sub>lungv</sub></b>	0.0038	0.0037
<b>V<sub>lung</sub></b>	0.0239	0.0226	<b>V<sub>mv</sub></b>	0.0083	0.0072
<b>V<sub>m</sub></b>	0.0142	0.0103	<b>V<sub>sv</sub></b>	0.0044	0.0047
<b>V<sub>s</sub></b>	0.0079	0.0075	<b>V<sub>kv</sub></b>	0.0038	0.0040
<b>V<sub>k</sub></b>	0.0121	0.0119			
<b>V<sub>v</sub></b>	0.0424	0.0606	<b>CL<sub>coc</sub></b>	<b>0.1329</b>	<b>0.1189</b>
<b>Q<sub>spleen</sub></b>	0.0059	0.0064	<b>K<sub>p:spleen</sub></b>	0.0037	0.0035
<b>Q<sub>brain</sub></b>	<b>0.3137</b>	0.0297	<b>K<sub>p:brain</sub></b>	<b>0.1062</b>	0.0077
<b>Q<sub>h</sub></b>	0.0055	<b>0.3088</b>	<b>K<sub>p:h</sub></b>	0.0047	<b>0.2143</b>
<b>Q<sub>f</sub></b>	0.0189	0.0171	<b>K<sub>p:f</sub></b>	0.0039	0.0036
<b>Q<sub>L</sub></b>	0.0712	0.0659	<b>K<sub>p:L</sub></b>	0.0128	0.0080
<b>Q<sub>ha</sub></b>	0.0168	0.0133	<b>K<sub>p:bone</sub></b>	0.0038	0.0035
<b>Q<sub>bone</sub></b>	0.0054	0.0058	<b>K<sub>p:int</sub></b>	0.0040	0.0036
<b>Q<sub>int</sub></b>	0.0361	0.0372	<b>K<sub>p:lung</sub></b>	0.0054	0.0045
<b>Q<sub>m</sub></b>	0.0412	0.0419	<b>K<sub>p:m</sub></b>	0.0038	0.0035
<b>Q<sub>s</sub></b>	0.0084	0.0067	<b>K<sub>p:s</sub></b>	0.0037	0.0035
<b>Q<sub>k</sub></b>	0.0369	0.0304	<b>K<sub>p:k</sub></b>	0.0044	0.0039
<b>PS<sub>f</sub></b>	0.004	0.0038			
<b>PS<sub>skin</sub></b>	0.0061	0.0051			
<b>PS<sub>bone</sub></b>	0.0064	0.0053			
<b>PS<sub>m</sub></b>	0.007	0.0049			
<b>PS<sub>h</sub></b>	0.0039	0.0231			
<b>PS<sub>int</sub></b>	0.0064	0.0055			
<b>PS<sub>spleen</sub></b>	0.0040	0.0038			
<b>PS<sub>L</sub></b>	0.0197	0.018			
<b>PS<sub>k</sub></b>	0.0084	0.0081			
<b>PS<sub>brain</sub></b>	0.0049	0.0037			
<b>PS<sub>lung</sub></b>	0.0169	0.0175			

1. Kuepfer L, Niederalt C, Wendl T, Schlender J-F, Willmann S, Lippert J, et al. Applied Concepts in PBPK Modeling: How to Build a PBPK/PD Model. *CPT Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2016 Oct [cited 2016 Nov 29];5(10):516–31. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/psp4.12134>
2. Graham H, Walker M, Jones O, Yates J, Galetin A, Aarons L. Comparison of in-vivo and in-silico methods used for prediction of tissue: Plasma partition coefficients in rat. *J Pharm Pharmacol.* 2012;64(3):383–96.
3. Rodgers T, Leahy D, Rowland M. Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Modeling 1 :

- Predicting the Tissue Distribution of Moderate-to-Strong Bases. *J Pharm Sci.* 2005;94(6):1259–76.
4. Trudy R, Rowland M. Physiologically-based Pharmacokinetic Modeling 2: Predicting the tissue distribution of acids, very weak bases, neutrals and zwitterions. *J Pharm* [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2017 Aug 6];(95):1238–57. Available from: [http://ac.els-cdn.com.pbidi.unam.mx:8080/S0022354916323930/1-s2.0-S0022354916323930-main.pdf?\\_tid=e1d659f2-7ae7-11e7-b6e1-00000aab0f6b&acdnat=1502052380\\_bd692b8073da889b4a6554b2e1de6c21](http://ac.els-cdn.com.pbidi.unam.mx:8080/S0022354916323930/1-s2.0-S0022354916323930-main.pdf?_tid=e1d659f2-7ae7-11e7-b6e1-00000aab0f6b&acdnat=1502052380_bd692b8073da889b4a6554b2e1de6c21)
  5. Rodgers T, Rowland M. Erratum for *J Pharm Sci* 95:1238–1257 (2006). *J Pharm Sci.* 2007;96(11):3153–4.
  6. Waddell W, Bates R. Intracellular pH. *Physiol Rev.* 1969;49(2):1969.
  7. Tehrani A, Lam Y, Lin A, Dosch S, Ho C. Phosphorus-31 nuclear magnetic resonance studies of human red blood cells. *Blood Cells.* 1982;8(2):245–61.
  8. Kummerow D, Hamann J, Browning JA, Wilkins R, Ellory JC, Bernhardt I. Variations of Intracellular pH in Human Erythrocytes via K<sup>+</sup>(Na<sup>+</sup>)/H<sup>+</sup> Exchange Under Low Ionic Strength Conditions. *J Membr Biol* [Internet]. 2000 Aug [cited 2018 Aug 1];176(3):207–16. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00232001089>
  9. Arcila V, Conde C, Nieto J, García F. Comparación de los valores de referencia hematológicos en ratas wistar/UIS (*Rattus norvergicus*) con parámetros establecidos en laboratorios de altos estándares. *Rev Spei Domus* [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2017 Aug 16];6(12):45–51. Available from: <http://wb.ucc.edu.co/sdmvz/files/2013/06/articulo-6-vol-6-n-12.pdf>
  10. Wishart, DS; Knox, C; Guo, AC; Shrivastava, S; Hassanali, M; Stothard, P; Chang, Z; Woolsey, JD. A comprehensive resource for *in silico* drug discovery and exploration [Internet]. Vol. 38, *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2010 [cited 2017 Feb 22]. p. D237–43. Available from: <http://nar.oxfordjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gkp970>
  11. Sukbuntherng J, Martin DK, Pak Y, Mayersohn M. Characterization of the Properties of Cocaine in Blood: Blood Clearance, Blood to Plasma Ratio, and Plasma Protein Binding. *J Pharm Sci* [Internet]. 1996 Jun [cited 2017 Feb 22];85(6):567–71. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022354915500713>