Supporting Information

Supporting information

Improvement of biosensor accuracy using an interference index detection system to minimize the interference effects caused by icterus and hemolysis in blood samples

Sungha Park^{a.*}

^a IVD R&D Group, IVD Business Team, Health and Medical Equipment Division, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 129, Samsung-ro, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea. E-mail: sh8665.park@samsung.com

*Corresponding Author

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Sungha Park (email: sh8665.park@samsung.com, m0855@naver.com)



Fig. S1 Configuration of the sensor chip and optical measurements. (a) The parts of sensor chip. (b) Side view of the upper and lower PET films where reagents were applied. (c) Side view of the assembled sensor chip. (d) Side view of the measurement compartment after inserting the sensor chip into the optical device.



Fig. S2 Reactions of the (a) chloride, (b) BUN, and (c) GGT sensors.

Correlation between the bilirubin and hemoglobin concentrations and the signal absorbance values of clinical samples

Regression analysis of bilirubin and hemoglobin interference was performed with the bilirubin and hemoglobin concentrations of the clinical samples measured by the reference device (Pmodular clinical chemistry analyzer, Roche Diagnostics GmbH). The absorbance values of 79 undiluted clinical samples were measured in the sample measurement well (W2 of Fig. 1) using the sensor chip in the optical device at $OD_{(405-810 \text{ nm})}$, $OD_{(450-810 \text{ nm})}$, $OD_{(535-810 \text{ nm})}$, and $OD_{(630-810 \text{ nm})}$ _{810 nm}), and each absorbance value was fitted with the bilirubin and hemoglobin concentration for regression analysis. The regression scores of each absorbance compared with the bilirubin concentration were 0.9302 for $OD_{(405-810 \text{ nm})}$, 0.9625 for $OD_{(450-810 \text{ nm})}$, 0.7974 for $OD_{(535-810 \text{ nm})}$, and 0.5002 for OD_(630-810 nm) (Fig. S3a-d). These results confirmed that the absorbance values of the clinical samples were highly correlated with the bilirubin concentrations in the order of OD_(450-810 nm), OD_(405-810 nm), OD_(535-810 nm), and OD_(630-810 nm). In addition, bilirubin- and hemoglobin-spiked clinical samples were measured to confirm specific reactions with bilirubin and hemoglobin. The signal response curve between the clinical samples and the spiked samples was not a good match. The OD_(450-810 nm) results showed a better match than those obtained at the other absorbance values, but a more specific absorbance equation is required for bilirubin. Additional regression analysis of the absorbance values of the clinical samples showed that OD_[(450-810 nm) - (535-810 nm) - (630-810 nm)] had the highest correlation with the bilirubin concentration (Fig. 3e), and the signal response curve between the clinical sample and the spiked sample was also a good match.

The regression score of each absorbance compared with the hemoglobin concentration was 0.9945 for $OD_{(405-810 \text{ nm})}$, 0.9810 for $OD_{(450-810 \text{ nm})}$, 0.9175 for $OD_{(535-810 \text{ nm})}$, and 0.6807 for $OD_{(630-810 \text{ nm})}$ (Fig. S4a–d). The absorbance with the highest regression score for the

hemoglobin concentration among individual absorbance values was $OD_{(405-810 \text{ nm})}$. The signal response curve between the clinical samples and the spiked samples was also a good match.



Fig. S3 Results of linear regression between the absorbance values and the reference bilirubin concentration. Undiluted clinical samples (n = 79) and clinical samples spiked with bilirubin were measured in W2 using the sensor chips in the optical device. Each spiked sample was analyzed three times. The clinical samples were also measured in the reference device to obtain reference bilirubin concentrations. The absorbance values at (a) OD_(405-810 nm), (b) OD_(450-810 nm), (c) OD_(535-810 nm), and (d) OD_(630-810 nm) were fitted with the reference bilirubin concentrations. (e) The calculated OD_{[(450-810 nm) - (535-810 nm)}.



Fig. S4 Results of linear regression between the absorbance values and the reference hemoglobin concentration. Undiluted clinical samples (n = 79) and clinical samples spiked with bilirubin were measured in W2 using the sensor chips in the optical device. Each spiked sample was analyzed three times. The clinical samples were also measured in the reference device to obtain reference hemoglobin concentrations. The absorbance values at (a) OD_(405-810 nm), (b) OD_(450-810 nm), and (c) OD_(535-810 nm), and (d) OD_(630-810 nm) were fitted with the reference hemoglobin concentrations.

Normalization factor selection for the chloride, BUN, and GGT sensors

The bilirubin- and hemoglobin-spiked clinical samples were measured using the chloride, BUN, and GGT sensor chips in the optical device. The absorbance values of the chloride, BUN, and GGT were calculated using Eqs. 1, 2, and 3 in Section 2.3, respectively (chloride in Fig. S5, BUN in Fig. S6, and GGT in Fig. S7). The absorbance values for bilirubin and hemoglobin in the samples were measured in W2. The absorbance values were calculated using BID and HID. The absorbance values obtained by reacting bilirubin- and hemoglobin-spiked clinical samples in the chloride, BUN, and GGT sensors were calculated using (BID + HID)/*C*. The chloride sensor did not require normalization because there was no difference in absorbance scale between (BID + HID) and chloride (Fig. S5a). The normalization factor (Nf) calculated by (BID + HID)/*C* was low at about 1 (Fig. S5b). Therefore, the Nf value of chloride was set at 1.

However, the BUN and GGT sensors were needed to apply the Nf value because of differences in the absorbance scale between (BID+HID) and C (Fig. S6,7). The blue areas in Figs. S6b and S7b show the zones for Nf values for the BUN and GGT sensors that can be applied to the calculation. The Nf values of the BUN and GGT sensors were set at 15 and 150, respectively. The absorbance values obtained with the IID system using the selected Nf values for the BUN and GGT sensors confirmed that the absorbance scale difference between C (BUN and GGT) and IID was offset (Figs. S6c and S7c).



Fig. S5 Normalization factor (Nf) selection for the chloride sensor. (a) Bilirubin- and hemoglobin-spiked clinical samples were measured using the chloride sensor in the optical device. Each sample was analyzed three times. The absorbance values of chloride were calculated (see Eq. (1)). The BID and HID were calculated using the absorbance values measured in W2 of the chloride sensor (see Eqs. (6), (7)). (b) Calculated (BID + HID)/chloride results (see Eq. (8)). The blue area in each figure is the zone for Nf selection.



Fig. S6 Normalization factor (Nf) selection for the BUN sensor. (a) Bilirubin- and hemoglobin-spiked clinical samples were measured using the BUN sensor in the optical device. Each sample was analyzed three times. The absorbance values of BUN were calculated using (see Eq. (2)). The BID and HID were calculated using the absorbance values measured in W2 of the BUN sensor (see Eqs. (6), (7)). (b) Calculated (BID + HID)/BUN results (see Eq. (8)). The blue area in each figure is the zone for Nf selection. (c) Results calculated using IID = (BID + HID) × Nf⁻¹, where Nf = 15 (see Eq. (9)).



Fig. S7 Normalization factor (Nf) selection for the GGT sensor. (a) Bilirubin- and hemoglobinspiked clinical samples were measured using the GGT sensor in the optical device. Each sample was analyzed three times. The absorbance values of GGT were calculated (see Eq. (3)). The BID and HID were calculated using the absorbance values measured in W2 of the GGT sensor (see Eqs. (6), (7)). (b) Calculated (BID + HID)/GGT results (see Eq. (8)). The blue area in each figure is the zone for Nf selection. (c) Results calculated using IID = (BID + HID) × Nf⁻¹, where Nf = 15 (see Eq. (9)).



Fig. S8 Interference test of the chloride sensor with and without the IID system. Clinical samples with bilirubin spiking, hemoglobin spiking, and no spiking (low and high chloride concentrations) were measured using the chloride sensor chip in the optical device. Each sample was analyzed three times. The concentrations of chloride with (see Eq. (10)) and without (see Eq. (1)) the IID system were compared.



Fig. S9 Interference test of the BUN sensor with and without the IID system. Clinical samples with bilirubin spiking, hemoglobin spiking, and no spiking (low and high chloride concentrations) were measured using the BUN sensor chip in the optical device. Each sample was analyzed three times. The concentrations of BUN with (see Eq. (10)) and without (see Eq. (2)) the IID system were compared.



Fig. S10 Interference test of the GGT sensor with and without the IID system. Clinical samples with bilirubin spiking, hemoglobin spiking, and no spiking (low and high chloride concentrations) were measured using the GGT sensor chip in the optical device. Each sample was analyzed three times. The concentrations of GGT with (see Eq. (10)) and without (see Eq. (3)) the IID system were compared.



Fig. S11 Scatter plot to confirm the bias (%) trends for the chloride, BUN, and GGT sensors, with (see Eq. (10)) and without (see Eqs. (1), (2) and (3)) the IID system, with increasing bilirubin and hemoglobin concentrations. Undiluted clinical samples (n = 49 for chloride, n = 41 for BUN, and n = 54 for GGT) were measured using the chloride, BUN, and GGT sensor chips in the optical device. The clinical samples were also measured in the reference device to obtain reference concentrations of chloride, GGT, BUN, bilirubin, and hemoglobin. The bias (%) between the concentrations measured in the optical device and the reference concentrations was analyzed for the bilirubin (a) and hemoglobin (b) concentrations (see Eq. (4)).