Supporting information

Electrochemical Sensor for Detection of *p*-Nitrophenol Based on Cyclodextrin Decorated Gold Nanoparticles-Mesoporous Carbon Hybrid

Yongying Zhou,^a Jin Zhao, *a Shenghua Li,^a Minjie Guo^a and Zhi Fan, *a

^aTianjin Key Laboratory of Brine Chemical Engineering and Resource Ecoutilization, College of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Tianjin University of Science & Technology, Tianjin 300457, P.R. China

zhaoj@tust.edu.cn

Content

Scheme S1. Synthesis of per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy-methyl)thio-β-cyclodextrin1
Figure S1. The ¹ H-NMR spectrum of per-[6-deoxy-6-bromo]- β -cyclodextrin
Figure S2-3. The ¹ H-NMR and mass spectrometry of per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy-methyl)-
thio-β-cyclodextrin
Figure S4. (a) TEM image of AuNPs; (b) TEM image of AcSCD-AuNPs; (c) SEM image of
AcSCD-AuNPs-MC; (d) TEM image of AcSCD-AuNPs-MC.
Figure S5–6. CV and DPV response for 100 μ M p-nitrophenol at bare GC electrode, MC/GC,
AcSCD/GC, AcSCD-AuNPs/GC, AcSCD-AuNPs-MC/GC electrodes
Figure S7. (a) CVs of bare GC electrode in 0.1 M PBS electrolyte containing 100 μ M p-NP with
different scan rate values (scan rate:20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 200, 250 mV s ⁻¹) at
pH=6.5; (b) A Plot of peak current against square root of scan rate
Figure S8. A plot of potential against logarithm of scan rate
Figure S9. Differential pulse voltammograms response for the different concentrations of p-
nitrophenol at four individual AcSCD-AuNPs-MC electrodes7
Table S1. Supplementary datum of the reduction peak currents at four individual AcSCD-AuNPs-
MC electrodes7
Table S2. Comparison of the different methods for p-nitrophenol determination
Figure S10. Normalized current of AcSCD-AuNPs-MC electrode for 100 μ M p-nitrophenol in the
presence of the high concentrations of possible interferents
Table S3. Determination of p-nitrophenol in real samples by standard addition method at AcSCD-
AuNPs-MC electrode9
Reference



Scheme S1. Synthesis of per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy-methyl)thio- β -cyclodextrin

Synthesis of per-(6-deoxy-6-bromo)-β-cyclodextrin

Triphenylphosphine (28 g, 106 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous DMF (60 mL) and placed in a three-necked flask, and bromine (2.8 mL, 106 mmol) was placed in a constant pressure dropping funnel, and drip into the solution at a rate of 3 to 4 seconds per drop. The temperature was controlled below 15 °C. When the addition was completed, the reaction solution was stirred for 30 min, and then β -cyclodextrin (6.8 g, 6 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of DMF solution and and placed in a constant pressure dropping funnel. The temperature was adjusted to 70 ° C for 14 hours, and nitrogen gas was continuously supplied during the reaction until the reaction was completed. After the reaction is completed, the reaction solution is stirred in an ice water bath at 0 to 5 $^{\circ}$ C and the sodium methoxide solution is added dropwise to adjust the pH to 8, and then 1000 mL of methanol is added to stir to precipitate a solid, which is filtered to obtain a crude product. DMF/H₂O was recrystallized twice and vacuum dried to give per-[6-deoxy-6-bromo]-β-cyclodextrin.(product weight: 7.13 g; yield: 75%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 6.02 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 7H), 5.89 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 7H), 4.98 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 7H), 4.00 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 7H), 3.82 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 7H), 3.74 - 3.56 (m, 14H), 3.39 (dd, J = 12.8, 6.4 Hz, 14H).

Synthesis of per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy- methyl)thio-β-cyclodextrin¹

Sodium hydroxide (4.0 g, 100 mmol) was dissolved in 30 mL of distilled water, and mercapto acetic acid (3.68 g, 40 mmol) was added and reacted for 8 h. Then 20 mL of dissolved per-[6-deoxy-6-bromo]- β -cyclodextrin (3.16 g, 2 mmol) in DMF was added, pass nitrogen protection, and raise the temperature to 60 °C with stirring. When the initial addition, a white solid precipitated, but as the reaction progressed, the white solid disappeared and the solution became transparent. At this time, heating was stopped, and the reaction was completed. The reaction solution was cooled to room

temperature and poured into 900 mL of methanol. Solids were precipitated, filtered under reduced pressure, and the hobtained solid was dissolved with as little water as possible. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 2 with 3 mol/L hydrochloric acid, and the solid was slowly precipitated and centrifuged. The solid was obtained and washed three times with distilled water, and recrystallized twice in a mixed solvent of C₂H₅OH/H₂O to give a white product per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy-methyl)thio- β -cyclodextrin sodium salt. (product weight: 2.04 g; yield: 62%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, D₂O) δ 5.07 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 7H), 4.09 – 3.95 (m, 7H), 3.89 (t, J = 9.5 Hz, 7H), 3.67 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 7H), 3.60 (dd, J = 10.0, 3.4 Hz, 7H), 3.33 (q, J = 15.3 Hz, 14H), 3.11 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 7H), 3.03 – 2.71 (m, 14H); LC-MS:m/z 1651.4 [M-H]⁻.



Figure S1. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of per-(6-deoxy-6-bromo)- β -cyclodextrin



Figure S2. The ¹H-NMR of per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy-methyl)thio- β -cyclodextrin



Figure S3. The mass spectrometry of per-6-deoxy-per-6-(2-carboxy-methyl)thio- β -cyclodextrin



Figure S4. (a) TEM image of AuNPs; (b) TEM image of AcSCD-AuNPs; (c) SEM image of AcSCD-AuNPs-MC; (d) TEM image of AcSCD-AuNPs-MC



Figure S5. CVs of 100 μ M p-nitrophenol at bare GC electrode, MC/GC, AcSCD/GC, AcSCD-AuNPs/GC, AcSCD-AuNPs-MC/GC electrodes



Figure S6. DPV response for 100 μ M p-nitrophenol at bare GC electrode, MC/GC, AcSCD/GC, AcSCD-AuNPs/GC, AcSCD-AuNPs-MC/GC electrodes



Figure S7. (a) CVs of bare GC electrode in 0.1 M PBS electrolyte containing 100 μ M *p*-NP with different scan rate values (scan rate:20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 150, 200, 250 mV s⁻¹) at pH=6.5; (b) A Plot of peak current against square root of scan rate



Figure S8. A plot of potential against logarithm of scan rate on bare GC electrode



Figure S9. Differential pulse voltammograms response for the different concentrations of p-nitrophenol at four individual AcSCD-AuNPs-MC electrodes

Table S1. Supp	olem	entary datum of	f the re	duc	tion pe	eak currents	(μA) for the different
concentrations	of	p-nitrophenol	(µM)	at	four	individual	AcSCD-AuNPs-MC
electrodes							

	Electrode 1	Electrode 2	Electrode 3	Electrode 4	Average
350	-70.77	-70.44	-67.71	-69.18	-73.27
300	-63.83	-63.26	-66.22	-66.50	-68.28
250	-54.75	-62.17	-35.90	-60.35	-64.64
200	-47.34	-53.30	-38.57	-61.82	-54.88
150	-37.95	-46.73	-32.35	-51.07	-44.64
100	-38.55	-41.15	-27.49	-46.91	-40.29
50	-23.39	-32.63	-20.71	-35.09	-31.04
10	-14.94	-21.47	-13.19	-24.03	-19.74
5	-13.42	-19.48	-9.98	-21.81	-17.71
1	-12.00	-18.27	-0.00	-18.99	-18.21
0.5	-11.37	-16.71	-10.46	-18.22	-15.97
0.1	-11.65	-18.65	-7.76	-18.71	-15.05

Electrode materials	Methods	Linear range (µM)	LOD (µM)	Ref.
ОМС	DPV	2–90	0.1	[2]
rGO	DPV	50-800	42	[3]
AgNWs-PANI	DPV	0.6–32	0.052	[4]
DTD/AgNP	CV	1–100	0.25	[5]
AuNPs/RGO	SWV	0.05–2	0.01	[6]
NMP/Gr	Amperometry	0.5–5.6	0.15	[7]
AcSCD-AuNPs-MC	DPV	0.1–10	26.1	This work
		10-350	(3.63 µg/mL)	

 Table S2. Comparison of the different methods for p-nitrophenol determination



Figure S10. Normalized current of AcSCD-AuNPs-MC electrode for 100 μ M pnitrophenol in the presence of the high concentrations of possible interferents

Samples	Added (µM)	Average found (µM)	Recovery(%)
Tap Water1	0	0	0
Tap Water 2	30	30.94	103.15
Tap Water 3	100	103.94	103.94
Shangde Lake1	0	0	0
Shangde Lake2	50	47.62	95.25
Shangde Lake3	100	86.48	86.48

 Table S3. Determination of p-nitrophenol in real samples by standard addition

 method at AcSCD-AuNPs-MC electrode

References

- J. M. Adam, D. J. Bennett, A. Bom, J. K. Clark, H. Feilden, E. J. Hutchinson, R.Palin, A. Prosser, D. C. Rees and G. M. Rosair, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2002, 45, 1806-1816.
- T. Zhang, Q. Lang, D. Yang, L. Li, L. Zeng, C. Zheng, T. Li, M. Wei and A. Liu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **106**, 127-134.
- P. Wiench, B. Grzyb, Z. González, R. Menéndez, B. Handke and G. Gryglewicz, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2017, 787, 80-87.
- C. Zhang, S. Govindaraju, K. Giribabu, Y. S. Huh and K. Yun, Sens. Actuators. B, Chem., 2017, 252, 616-623.
- G. Rounaghi, R. M. kakhki and H. Azizi-toupkanloo, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, C, 2012, 32, 172-177.
- Y. Tang, R. Huang, C. Liu, S. Yang, Z. Lu and S. Luo, *Anal. Methods*, 2013, 5, 5508.
- R. M. de Oliveira, N. G. Santos, L. d. A. Alves, K. C. M. S. Lima, L. T. Kubota, F. S. Damos and R. d. C. S. Luz, *Sens. Actuators. B, Chem.*, 2015, 221, 740-749.