Supporting Information

A water-stable La-MOF with high fluorescent sensing and supercapacitive performances

Qian-Qian Liu, Shi-Hui Zhang, Jing Yang, and Ke-Fen Yue*

Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Natural Functional Molecule Chemistry of the Ministry of Education, National Demonstration Center for Experimental Chemistry Education, College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, PR China

E-mail address: ykflyy@nwu.edu.cn.



Fig. S1 (a, b) Topological net for La-MOF and (c) Coordination modes of SIP³⁺ ligand.



Fig. S2 PXRD patterns of La-MOF immersed in water and as-synthesized products.



Fig. S3 The FT-IR spectrum of La-MOF.



Fig. S4 PXRD pattern of final product of TG.



Fig. **S5** PXRD profiles of La-MOF after being soaked in water, acidic, and basic solutions.



Fig. S6 XPS high resolution (a) C 1s; (b) O 1s; (c) S 2p; (d) La 3d spectra of La-MOF.



Fig. S7 View of (a) N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherm; (b) pore-size distribution curves.



Fig. S8 The solid-state fluorescence spectra of La-MOF.



Fig. **S9** Fluorescence intensity of **La-MOF** after six recycles in Fe³⁺ solution.



Fig. **S10** PXRD patterns of **La-MOF** treated by Fe^{3+} , $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ and CrO_4^{2-} aqueous solutions.



Fig. S11 Fluorescence intensity of La-MOF after six recycles in (a) $Cr_2O_7^{2-}$ (b) CrO_4^{2-} solutions.



Fig. **S12** The quenching efficiency of these three ions, the fluorescence intensity changes along the ionic concentration.



Fig. **S13** UV-Vis adsorption spectra of $M(NO_3)_n$ aqueous solutions and the excitation spectrum of **La-MOF**.



Fig. S14 (a) XPS survey spectrum of La-MOF@Fe³⁺ and (b) High resolution of Fe 2p.



Fig. S15 O 1s XPS spectra of the original La-MOF (black) and La-MOF@Fe³⁺ (red).



Fig. **S16** UV-Vis adsorption spectra of $K_n(A)$ aqueous solutions and the excitation

spectrum of La-MOF.



Fig. S17 The gravimetric capacitance for La-MOF electrode at various current densities.

Material	Analyte	Solution	K _{sv} (M ⁻¹)	Reference
FJI-C8	Fe ³⁺	DMF	2.188×10 ³	35
$[Tb_4(\mu_6-L)_2(\mu-HCOO)(\mu_3-OH)_3(\mu_3 -$	E 2 ³⁺	DME	1.650×104	27
$O)(DMF)_2(H_2O)_4]_n \cdot (H_2O)_{4n}$	ге	DMF	1.039×10 ⁻	57
[TbL(H ₂ O) ₂]·H ₂ O	Fe ³⁺	H_2O	1.9×10^{3}	38
$[Tb(HL)(H_2O)_3] \cdot H_2O$	Fe ³⁺	H_2O	2.063×10 ³	39
$\{[Tb_4(OH)_4(DSOA)_2(H_2O)_8] \cdot (H_2O)_8\}_n$	Fe ³⁺	H_2O	3.543×10 ³	40
$[Ln(\mu^3-cpta)(phen)(H_2O)_2]_n$	Fe ³⁺	H ₂ O	2.138×10 ³	41
La-MOF	Fe ³⁺	H_2O	3.534×10 ³	This work

Table S1 A comparison of detection capacity of **La-MOF** towards Fe³⁺ ion with other materials.

Material	Analyte	Solution	K _{sv} (M ⁻¹)	Reference
[Zn ₂ (TPOM)(NH ₂ –BDC) ₂]·4H ₂ O	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	7.59 ×10 ³	42
	/CrO42-		$/4.45 \times 10^{-3}$	
$\{[Zn(IPA)(L)]\}_n$	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	1.37×10 ³	43
	/CrO42-		/1.0×10 ³	
${[Cd(IPA)(L)]}_n$	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	2.91×10 ³	43
	/CrO42-		/1.30×10 ³	
[Eu ₂ (tpbpc) ₄ ·CO ₃ ·4H ₂ O]·DMF·solvent	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	1.04×10 ⁴	44
	/CrO42-		/4.85×10 ³	
${[Cd_{3}(HL)_{2}(H_{2}O)_{3}]\cdot 3H_{2}O\cdot 2CH_{3}CN}_{n}$	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	6.99×10 ³	45
	/CrO42-		/1.41×10 ⁴	
$[Cd(L)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	5.1×10 ⁴	46
	/CrO42-		/1.1×10 ⁴	40
La-MOF	$Cr_2O_7^{2-}$	H ₂ O	1.58×10 ⁴	This work
	/CrO42-		/6.722×10 ³	

Table S2 A comparison of detection capacity of La-MOF towards $Cr_2O_4^{2-}$ and CrO_4^{2-}

ions with other materials.

MOF-based materials	Specific capacitance	Current density /Scan rate	Retention (%)	Electrolyte	Reference
Cu-MOF	85 F g ⁻¹	1.6 A g ⁻¹	91	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	52
Cu-bipy-BTC	160 F g ⁻¹	0.005 mA g-1	93	0.1 M HClO ₄	53
Co-BPDC	179.2 F g ⁻¹	10 mV s ⁻¹	77	0.5 M LiOH	54
[Ni(Hppza) ₂] _n	184 F g ⁻¹	5 mV s ⁻¹	65	2 M KOH	55
Co-MOF	206.8 F g ⁻¹	0.6 A g ⁻¹	98	0.5 M LiOH	56
Cu@BTC	228 F g ⁻¹	1.5 A g ⁻¹	89	3 M KOH	57
Ni-MOF	237 mA h g ⁻¹	1 A g ⁻¹	-	3 М КОН	58
La-MOF	213 F g ⁻¹	0.5 A g ⁻¹	92	2 M KOH	This Work

Table S3 Comparison of supercapacitive performance of our sample with otherpreviously reported MOF-based electrode materials.