Supplementary Material:

Comparison of activation processes for 3D printed PLA-graphene electrodes: electrochemical properties and application for sensing of dopamine

Cristiane Kalinke, Naile V. Neumsteir, Gabriel A. de Oliveira, Thiago V. B. Ferraz, Pãmyla L. dos Santos, Bruno C. Janegitz and Juliano A. Bonacin*

SUMMARY:

S1 – Comparison between references electrodes
Fig. S1. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for PLA-G electrodes in the presence of 5.0 mmol
L^{-1} [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ , using SCE and Ag/AgCl as references electrodes. $v = 50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ 3
S2 – Electrochemical characterization4
Fig. S2. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for PLA-G electrodes in the presence of 0.10 mol
L^{-1} KCl (supporting electrolyte). $v = 50$ mV s ⁻¹ 4
S3 – Electrochemical activation (EC)5
Fig. S3. Oxidation (A) and reduction (B) of PLA-G electrode in 0.10 mol L ⁻¹ phosphate
buffer solution (pH 7.4). Cyclic voltammograms obtained at different scan rates (5.0-200
mV s ⁻¹) in the presence of 5.0 mmol L ⁻¹ [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ for PLA-G (C) and PLA-G _{EC}
electrodes (D)5
S4 – Mechanical Activation (polishing)6
Fig. S4. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s ⁻¹ and correlation between peak
currents and $v^{1/2}$ (5.0–200 mV s ⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after mechanical (A, B),
mechanical + EC (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L^{-1} [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ 6
S5 – Solvent activation (DMF)7
Fig. S5. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s ⁻¹ and correlation between peak
currents and $v^{1/2}$ (5.0–200 mV s ⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after DMF (A, B) and
DMF + EC activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L^{-1} [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ 7
S6 – Basic activation (NaOH)8

* Corresponding author. Phone: +55 19 3521-3103.

E-mail: jbonacin@unicamp.br (Juliano A. Bonacin).

Fig. S6. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s^{-1} and correlation between peak
currents and $v^{1/2}$ (5.0–200 mV s^-1) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after NaOH (A, B) and
NaOH + EC activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L^{-1} [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ 8
S7 – Acid activation (HNO3)9
Fig. S7. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV $\ensuremath{\mathrm{s}}^{-1}$ and correlation between peak
currents and $v^{1/2}$ (5.0–200 mV s $^{-1})$ obtained for PLA-G electrodes after HNO3 (A, B) and
$HNO_3 + EC$ activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L ⁻¹ [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ 9
S8 – Acid activation (H ₂ SO ₄)10
Fig. S8. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV $\ensuremath{\mathrm{s}}^{-1}$ and correlation between peak
currents and $v^{1/2}$ (5.0–200 mV s $^{-1})$ obtained for PLA-G electrodes after. $\rm H_2SO_4$ (A, B) and
$H_2SO_4 + EC$ activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L ⁻¹ [Ru(NH ₃) ₆]Cl ₃ 10
S9 – Comparison between 3D PLA-G activation11
Table S1. Comparison between the Raman and electroactive characteristics of 3D PLA-G
before and after activation treatments
S10 – Dopamine Determination12
Table S2. Results obtained (%) for interference study using ascorbic acid (AA) and uric
acid (UA) for DA determination. Supporting electrolyte: 0.10 mol L ⁻¹ PBS pH 6.0. $C_{DA:}$ 30
μmol L ⁻¹ 12

S1 – Comparison between references electrodes



Fig. S1. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for PLA-G electrodes in the presence of 5.0 mmol L^{-1} [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃, using SCE and Ag/AgCl/KCl as reference electrodes. v = 50 mV s⁻¹.

SCE and Ag/AgCl/KCl, 3.5 mol L⁻¹ references electrodes (RE) were used for the voltammetric performance evaluation using [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃ as electrochemical probe. A conventional cell of three electrodes was used with PLA-G (without previous activations treatment) as working electrode, platinum as auxiliary electrode and two evaluated RE. **Fig. S1** shows the cyclic voltammograms correspondent to [Ru(NH₃)₆]^{3+/4+} redox reaction. Similar signals were observed for both electrodes, however a slight baseline variation with the peak potentials shifting of around 40 mV was observed with SCE RE. In this sense, the variations of anodic and cathodic peaks (Δ Ep \approx 200 mV) recorded for SCE and Ag/AgCl RE^{2, 3}. Considering the obtained information, the SCE was choice as reference electrode for further measurements.



Fig. S2. Cyclic voltammograms obtained for PLA-G electrodes in the presence of 0.10 mol L⁻¹ KCl (supporting electrolyte). $v = 50 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$.

S3 – Electrochemical activation (EC)



Fig. S3. Oxidation (A) and reduction (B) of PLA-G electrode in 0.10 mol L⁻¹ phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4). Cyclic voltammograms obtained at different scan rates (5.0–200 mV s⁻¹) in the presence of 5.0 mmol L⁻¹ [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃ for PLA-G (C) and PLA-G_{EC} electrodes (D).

S4 – Mechanical Activation (polishing)



Fig. S4. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s⁻¹ and correlation between peak currents and v^{1/2} (5.0–200 mV s⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after mechanical (A, B), mechanical + EC (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L⁻¹ [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃.



Fig. S5. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s⁻¹ and correlation between peak currents and v^{1/2} (5.0–200 mV s⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after DMF (A, B) and DMF + EC activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L⁻¹ [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃.



Fig. S6. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s⁻¹ and correlation between peak currents and v^{1/2} (5.0–200 mV s⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after NaOH (A, B) and NaOH + EC activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L⁻¹ [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃.

S7 – Acid activation (HNO₃)



Fig. S7. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s⁻¹ and correlation between peak currents and v^{1/2} (5.0–200 mV s⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after HNO₃ (A, B) and HNO₃ + EC activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L⁻¹ [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃.



Fig. S8. Cyclic voltammograms obtained at 25 mV s⁻¹ and correlation between peak currents and v^{1/2} (5.0–200 mV s⁻¹) obtained for PLA-G electrodes after. H_2SO_4 (A, B) and H_2SO_4 + EC activations (C, D), in the presence of 5.0 mmol L⁻¹ [Ru(NH₃)₆]Cl₃.

S9 – Comparison between **3D** PLA-G activation

3D Electrode	I _D /I _G	<i>k</i> _{obs} ^o (cm s ⁻¹)	$ heta_{edge}$	A _e (cm ²)
PLA-G	0.634±0.002	2.73 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.43 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.07±0.01	0.020±0.006
PLA-G _{EC}	0.668±0.001	1.53 x 10 ⁻³ ±0.11 x 10 ⁻³	0.38±0.03	0.095±0.009
PLA-G _{Mec-1}	0.631±0.003	1.20 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.28 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.04±0.01	0.20±0.08
PLA-G _{Mec-3}	0.540±0.004	9.56 x 10 ⁻⁵ ±2.63 x 10 ⁻⁵	$0.024{\pm}0.007$	0.19±0.07
PLA-G _{Mec-5}	0.517±0.001	9.60 x 10 ⁻⁵ ±1.97 x 10 ⁻⁵	$0.024{\pm}0.005$	0.17±0.03
PLA-G _{Mec-10}	0.571±0.002	1.03 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.026 ± 0.004	0.16±0.06
PLA-G _{Mec-1-EC}	0.730±0.006	1.53 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.32 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.038±0.008	0.31±0.09
PLA-G _{Mec-3-EC}	0.612±0.003	1.15 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.24 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.029 ± 0.006	0.29±0.08
PLA-G _{Mec-5-EC}	0.634±0.002	1.20 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.08 x 10 ⁻⁴	$0.030{\pm}0.002$	0.11±0.02
PLA-G _{Mec-10-EC}	0.624±0.003	1.25 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.35 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.031±0.009	0.159±0.005
PLA-G _{DMF-5}	0.549±0.002	2.26 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.97 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.06±0.02	0.15±0.01
PLA-G _{DMF-10}	0.622±0.008	3.85 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.86 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.10±0.02	0.18±0.01
PLA-G _{DMF-20}	0.556±0.003	2.92 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±4.45 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.07±0.01	0.15±0.03
PLA-G _{DMF-5-EC}	0.649±0.008	3.47 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.43 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.09±0.01	0.88±0.04
PLA-G _{DMF-10-EC}	0.889±0.009	5.01 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.13 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.125±0.003	1.11±0.09
PLA-G _{DMF-20-EC}	0.567±0.002	4.69 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.44 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.18±0.01	1.02±0.04
PLA-G _{NaOH-30}	0.489±0.003	9.34 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±1.08 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.23±0.03	0.88±0.09
PLA-G _{NaOH-60}	0.500±0.001	1.10 x 10 ⁻³ ±0.59 x 10 ⁻³	0.28±0.01	1.23±0.05
PLA-G _{NaOH-180}	0.544±0.004	1.15 x 10 ⁻³ ±0.20 x 10 ⁻³	$0.29{\pm}0.05$	2.35±0.07
PLA-G _{NaOH-30-EC}	0.852±0.06	1.11 x 10 ⁻³ ±0.28 x 10 ⁻³	0.28±0.02	3.19±0.02
$PLA\text{-}G_{NaOH\text{-}60\text{-}EC}$	0.522±0.001	1.13 x 10 ⁻³ ±0.04 x 10 ⁻³	0.28±0.01	3.13±0.09
PLA-G _{NaOH-180-EC}	0.578±0.003	9.11 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±1.27 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.23±0.03	6.87 ± 0.03
PLA-G _{HNO3-30}	0.624±0.003	1.02 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.06 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.03±0.01	0.15±0.05
PLA-G _{HNO3-60}	0.522±0.001	1.53 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.31 x 10 ⁻⁴	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	0.20±0.04
PLA-G _{HNO3-180}	0.544±0.008	2.11 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.41 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.05±0.01	0.18±0.04
PLA-G _{HNO3-30-EC}	0.656 ± 0.002	1.60 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.040 ± 0.004	0.49±0.09
PLA-G _{HNO3-60-EC}	0.578±0.001	2.50 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.71 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.063 ± 0.008	0.67±0.02
PLA-G _{HNO3-180-EC}	0.611±0.002	9.02 x 10 ⁻⁵ ±8.46 x 10 ⁻⁵	0.02±0.02	0.31±0.06
PLA-G _{H2SO4-30}	0.523±0.005	3.25 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±1.06 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.08 ± 0.03	0.45±0.03
PLA-G _{H2SO4-60}	0.518±0.001	4.31 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±1.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.11±0.03	0.24±0.06
PLA-G _{H2SO4-180}	0.511±0.001	3.00 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.71 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.08 ± 0.02	0.199±0.002
PLA-G _{H2SO4-30-EC}	0.591±0.004	6.76 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.22 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.169±0.005	0.36±0.09
PLA-G _{H2SO4-60-EC}	0.586±0.002	7.00 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.28 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.175 ± 0.007	0.11±0.02
PLA-G _{H2SO4-180-EC}	0.575±0.002	2.90 x 10 ⁻⁴ ±0.14 x 10 ⁻⁴	0.073±0.004	0.12±0.04

Table S1. Comparison between the Raman and electroactive characteristics of 3D PLA-G before and after activation treatments (n=3).

 I_D/I_G : G and D bands ratio; k_{obs}^{o} : heterogeneous rate constant; θ_{edge} : amount of edge sites; A_e : electroactive area.

S10 – Dopamine Determination

Spagia		Concentration	
Specie	3.0 µmol L ⁻¹	30 µmol L ⁻¹	300 µmol L ⁻¹
AA	-0.99	+3.43	+6.45
UA	+0.54	+1.91	+5.66
AA + UA	+1.57	+2.63	+6.89

Table S2. Results obtained (%) for interference study using ascorbic acid (AA) and uric acid (UA) for DA determination. Supporting electrolyte: 0.10 mol L⁻¹ PBS pH 6.0. C_{DA} ; 30 µmol L⁻¹.

References

- 1. P. L. dos Santos, V. Katic, H. C. Loureiro, M. F. dos Santos, D. P. dos Santos, A. L. Formiga and J. A. Bonacin, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2018, **281**, 837-848.
- 2. R. M. Cardoso, D. M. H. Mendonça, W. P. Silva, M. N. T. Silva, E. Nossol, R. A. B. da Silva, E. M. Richter and R. A. A. Muñoz, *Analytica chimica acta*, 2018, **1033**, 49-57.
- 3. C. L. Manzanares Palenzuela, F. Novotný, P. Krupička, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2018, **90**, 5753-5757.