Supporting Information

1, 8-Naphthalimide-based Fluorescent Sensor with High Selectivity and Sensitivity for Hg²⁺ in Aqueous Solution and Living Cells

Daying Liu, *a,b Hualing Zhu, a Jun Shi, a Xinxin Deng, a Tingting Zhang, a Ye Zhao, a

Pengpeng Qi, ^a Guangming Yang, ^b Huarui He^{*c}

^{*a*} College of Basic Science, Chemistry Experiment Teaching Center, Tianjin Agricultural University, Tianjin, China

 ^b Department of Chemistry, Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry (Ministry of Education), Nankai University, Tianjin, China
^c Heowns Biochem Technologies LLC, Tianjin, China

1. Materials, Measurement and Methods

Unless otherwise noted, all materials were obtained from Heowns Biochem Technologies LLC and were used without further purification. Flash chromatography was carried out on silica gel (300-400 mesh). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded using Varian 300 MHz. Fluorescence spectra were measured on the Gangdong F-280 fluorometer.

2. Synthesis

The compounds 1 were synthesized according to a previously reported procedure [1].

Synthesis of 2

A suspension of 0.5 g (0.96 mmol) of compound 1, 0.2 g (1.05 mmol) of methyl 6-(chloromethyl)picolinate, 0.32 mL (1.92 mmol) N, N-diisopropylethylamine and 0.16 g (0.96 mmol) KI in DMF (10 mL) was heated at 90°C for 18h under nitrogen atmosphere. The progress was monitored by TLC (PE: EA=1:2). After the reaction was complete, the mixture was cooled and poured into water. The resultant precipitate was filtered, dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over Na_2SO_4 , filtered and evaporated to get crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography, to

^{*} Correspondence author. *E-mail address*: <u>liudaying03@163.com</u> (D. Y. Liu)

^{*} Correspondence author. *E-mail address*: huarui.he@heowns.com (H. R. He)

afford product 85 mg. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.46 (dd, J = 7.3, 0.9 Hz), 8.36 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.94 (dd, J = 7.7, 0.9 Hz), 7.81 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.70 (t, J = 7.7 Hz), 7.46 (t, J = 7.8 Hz), 6.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 6.55 (d, J = 8.5 Hz), 5.31 (s), 5.26 (d, J = 4.9 Hz), 4.65 (s), 4.49 (s), 4.04 (q, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.95 (s), 3.52 (dd, J = 12.0, 6.6 Hz), 2.90 (t, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.97 (s), 1.47 (s), 1.20 (d, J = 7.1 Hz). MS (+ESI): Calc. for MH⁺, 671.28; Found, 671.3; Calc. for MNa⁺, 693.28; Found, 693.3.

Synthesis of 3

A suspension of 100 mg (149.09 µmol) of compound **2**, 66 µL (596.34 µmol) of ethyl bromoacetate, 0.2 mL (1.19 mmol) N, N-diisopropylethylamine and 99 mg (596.34 µmol) KI in DMF (1 mL) was heated at 110°C for 20h under nitrogen atmosphere. The progress was monitored by TLC (PE: EA=1:2). After the reaction was complete, the mixture was cooled and poured into water. The resultant precipitate was filtered, dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and evaporated to get crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography, to afford product 10 mg, which HPLC purity meets the requirement of Fluorescence Measurement. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.59 – 8.54 (m), 8.45 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz), 8.04 – 7.99 (m), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 6.5, 1.7 Hz), 7.76 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 7.65 – 7.51 (m), 7.09 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 6.74 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz), 6.59 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz), 5.39 (s), 5.32 – 5.26 (m), 4.85 (s), 4.25 – 4.18 (m), 4.03 (s), 3.60 (dd, *J* = 12.1, 6.7 Hz), 2.98 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 1.55 (s), 1.26 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz). MS (+ESI): Calc. for MH⁺, 757.32, Found, 757.4; Calc. for MNa⁺, 779.3; Found, 779.2.

Synthesis of 4

0.5mL of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was added into a solution of 9 mg (11.89 μ mol) of compound **3** in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for about 30 min when the TLC indicated that most of compound **3** were gone. The mixture was then diluted with 1:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH (10 mL) and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in 10 ml methanol and evaporated again. This process was repeated for 6 times to remove TFA thoroughly, then placed on oil pump for 30 min to dry the

product completely, afforded 9 mg yellow solid, which was used directly on to the next step without further purification.

Synthesis of Sensor Hg: Hydrolysis of 4

To a solution of 9 mg (11.89 μ mol) compound 4 in dry methanol (2 mL) was added NaOH (24 mg, 0.58 mmol) in 2 mL of water. The resulting solution was stirred at 40 °C for 8h. The progress was monitored by TLC (DCM: MeOH=10:1). After the reaction was complete, the mixture was cooled and used to do fluorescence response directly.



3. Benesi-Hildebrand plot (fluorescence intensity at 550 nm)





The pH value of the environment around the fluorescent probe usually shows somewhat of an effect on its performance toward target metal ion due to the protonation or deprotonation reaction for the fluorophore in the basic condition. The effects of pH on the fluorescence response of the new fluorescent sensor were therefore investigated. In the section of lower pH value from 6.0 to 2.0, the fluorescence intensity increased with decreasing pH value, which might be caused by the protonation of the sensor, and thus, its binding capability was lower with the metal ions. In a wide range of pH from 6.5 to 10.5, acidity does not affect the fluorescence intensity of the new fluorescent sensor. In other words, there is no need for strict control of the pH value of sample solution, which is convenient for practical applications of the proposed probe in actual water samples and living cells.





6. DFT calculations information about the bond distances and angles, the electron transformation between HOMO and LUMO orbit.



Structure of Sensor-Hg²⁺ complex estimated by density functional theory calculations

| | HOMO orbit / hartree | LUMO orbit / hartree |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| receptor | 0.04444 | 0.19022 |
| Hg ²⁺ | -1.19340 | -0.75066 |
| Hg ²⁺ complex | -0.21995 | -0.07540 |



| | length | | | Degree | | | Degree | |
|----------|--------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| Bond | Ligand | Hg complex | Angle | Ligand | Hg complex | Dihedral | Ligand | Hg complex |
| H2 -C1 | 1.102 | 1.095 | H3 -C1-H2 | 106.692 | 107.885 | С5- С4-С1-Н3 | 155.445 | -165.941 |
| H3 -C1 | 1.097 | 1.097 | С4 -С1-Н 3 | 111.430 | 111.420 | C7- C5-C4-C1 | 178.481 | -179.043 |
| C4 -C1 | 1.511 | 1.510 | C5- C4-C1 | 121.661 | 121.585 | H8- C5-C4-C1 | -2.957 | 0.763 |
| C5-C4 | 1.403 | 1.400 | C6- C4-C1 | 121.703 | 121.711 | C9- C6-C4-C1 | -178.147 | 179.213 |
| C6- C4 | 1.401 | 1.398 | C7- C5-C4 | 122.598 | 122.138 | C10- C9-C6-C4 | -0.208 | -0.021 |
| C7-C5 | 1.390 | 1.393 | H8- C5-C4 | 119.113 | 119.550 | H11- C9-C6-C4 | 178.154 | 179.263 |
| H8- C5 | 1.090 | 1.087 | C9- C6-C4 | 122.215 | 121.783 | N12- C10-C9-C6 | 178.465 | 178.698 |
| C9-C6 | 1.391 | 1.395 | C10- C9-C6 | 121.023 | 120.867 | C13- N12-C10-C9 | -171.150 | 168.040 |
| C10- C9 | 1.418 | 1.405 | H11- C9-C6 | 119.192 | 118.217 | H14- C13-N12-C10 | 45.403 | -51.188 |
| H11-C9 | 1.084 | 1.083 | N12- C10-C9 | 121.813 | 120.924 | H15-C13-N12-C10 | 161.078 | -169.164 |
| N12-C10 | 1.376 | 1.437 | C13- N12-C10 | 119.930 | 115.426 | C16- N12-C10-C9 | 21.501 | 30.363 |
| C13- N12 | 1.468 | 1.474 | H14- C13-N12 | 108.824 | 107.607 | H17- C16-N12-C10 | 142.584 | 155.728 |
| H14- C13 | 1.096 | 1.095 | H15- C13-N12 | 106.544 | 112.047 | H18- C16-N12-C10 | 28.975 | 39.277 |
| H15- C13 | 1.096 | 1.096 | C16- N12-C10 | 121.130 | 115.243 | C19- C16-N12-C10 | -94.233 | -84.648 |
| C16- N12 | 1.458 | 1.471 | H17- C16-N12 | 106.798 | 107.625 | C20- C19-C16-N12 | -11.386 | 152.865 |
| H17- C16 | 1.095 | 1.095 | H18- C16-N12 | 110.277 | 111.183 | N21- C19-C16-N12 | 169.365 | -28.990 |
| H18- C16 | 1.095 | 1.099 | C19- C16-N12 | 115.853 | 115.265 | C22- C20-C19-C16 | -178.503 | 178.263 |
| C19-C16 | 1.529 | 1.528 | C20- C19-C16 | 121.713 | 121.752 | H23- C20-C19-C16 | 1.938 | -2.314 |
| C20- C19 | 1.398 | 1.397 | N21- C19-C16 | 115.286 | 117.884 | C24- N21-C19-C16 | 178.667 | -179.622 |
| N21- C19 | 1.346 | 1.335 | C22- C20-C19 | 118.114 | 118.868 | C25-C22-C20-C19 | -0.148 | 0.742 |
| C22- C20 | 1.397 | 1.396 | H23- C20-C19 | 119.851 | 120.090 | H26- C22-C20-C19 | -179.988 | 179.995 |
| H23- C20 | 1.085 | 1.086 | C24- N21-C19 | 119.003 | 121.791 | H27- C25-C22-C20 | 179.828 | 179.194 |
| C24- N21 | 1.346 | 1.341 | C25-C22-C20 | 119.063 | 119.719 | C28- C24-N21-C19 | 179.447 | -178.105 |
| C25-C22 | 1.389 | 1.394 | H26- C22-C20 | 120.117 | 119.959 | O29- C28-C24-N21 | 176.824 | 4.858 |
| H26- C22 | 1.090 | 1.086 | H27- C25-C22 | 123.861 | 120.318 | O30- C28-C24-N21 | -3.472 | -174.965 |
| H27- C25 | 1.084 | 1.083 | C28- C24-N21 | 120.539 | 118.389 | H31- C7-C5-C4 | 175.364 | 179.523 |
| C28- C24 | 1.568 | 1.301 | O29- C28-C24 | 113.414 | 116.738 | H32- C6-C4-C1 | 1.273 | -0.975 |

Reference: (1) Daying Liu, Jing Qi, Huarui He et al., Anal. Methods, 2014, 6, 3555-3559.