Supporting Information

A simple and effective strategy based on sodium gallate-exfoliated graphene for the simultaneous voltammetric determination of guaiacol and vanillin[‡]

Chenchen Li^a, Ju Fu^a, Xiaohong Tan^a, Xinjian Song *a,b and Qunfang Li^a

^a School of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Hubei University for Nationalities, Enshi 445000, China

^b Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Biology and Medicine (Ministry of Education), College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.
Tel: +86 718 8437531; Fax: +86 718 8437531;
E-mail address: whxjsong@163.com



Fig. S1. TEM images of GNs (A) and SG-GNs (B).



Fig. S2. XPS spectra of SG-GNs (A). High-resolution XPS spectra of C1s (B) and Na1s (C) of SG-GNs.



Fig. S3. Characterizations of (A) graphite powder and (B) SG-GNs(a), GNs(b) with XRD.



Fig. S4. UV of rGO (blue line), SG (black line), SG-GNs (red line)



Fig. S5. CV curves of 40 μ M GUA (A) and VAN (B) on SG-GN/GCE with different scan rates of 20, 40, 70, 100, 130, 160, 200 mV·s⁻¹ (a \rightarrow g); plots of peak current versus scan rates (v: 20–200 mV·s⁻¹) for GUA (A1) and VAN (B1).



Fig. S6. Effects of pH value on the oxidation peak currents of 8 μ M VAN and 10 μ M GUA (A), accumulation potential on the oxidation peak currents of 8 μ M VAN and 10 μ M GUA (B), and accumulation time on the oxidation peak currents of 8 μ M VAN and 10 μ M GUA (C).

| Modified electrode | Linear range | | LOD | | |
|--|--------------|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| | (µM) | | (µM) | | References |
| | GUA | VAN | GUA | VAN | |
| Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃ /GCE | 0.05-30 | | 0.0179 | | [1] |
| rGO/GCE | 0.5-500 | | 0.2 | | [2] |
| MWNTs-PDA@MIP/SWNT- COOH/GCE | | 0.2-10 | | 0.1 | [3] |
| Ag-Pd/GO/GCE | | 0.02-45 | | 0.005 | [4] |
| CPB/CNF/GCE | | 75-750 | | 0.14 | [5] |
| AuNP-PAH/GCE | | 0.9-15 | | 0.055 | [6] |
| TBAC-900/GCE | | 5-1150 | | 0.68 | [7] |
| MFG/GCE | 0.03-1 | 0.03-8 | 0.0013 | 0.001 | [8] |
| SG-GN/GCE | 0.02-12 | 0.02-11 | 0.005 | 0.0045 | This work |

 Table S1 Comparisons of the proposed SG-GN/GCE with previous reported

 electrochemical methods for GUA and VAN determination.

References

- 1 J. Y. Sun, T. Gan, Y. P. Deng, Z. X. Shi and Z. Lv, Sens. Actuator B: Chem., 2015, 211, 339–345.
- 2 Y. Wu, M. Huang, N. Song and W. Hu, Anal. Methods, 2014, 6, 2729–2735.
- 3 W. Wu, L. Yang, F. Zhao and B. Zeng, Sens. Actuator B: Chem., 2017, 239, 481– 487.
- 4 J. Li, H. Feng, J. Li, J. Jiang, Y. Feng, L. He and D. Qian, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **176**, 827–835.
- 5 G. Ziyatdinova, E. Kozlova, E. Ziganshina and H. Budnikov, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2016, **147**, 191–200.
- 6 T. R. Silva, D. Brondani, E. Zapp and I. C. Vieira, *Electroanal.*, 2015, 27, 465–472.
- 7 V. Veeramani, R. Madhu, S.-M. Chen, P. Veerakumar, J. J. Syu and S. B. Liu, *New J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 9109–9115.
- T. Gan, Z. Shi, Y. Deng, J. Sun and H. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, 147, 157–166.