Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Analytical Methods. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019



Electronic supplementary material

Fig. S1.Two-dimensional atomic force microscope images of nylon membrane (A), surface initiator-functional nylon membrane (B) and boronate affinity membrane (C).



Fig. S2. Selected area SEM-EDS mapping of nylon membrane (A), surface initiatorfunctional nylon membrane (B) and boronate affinity membrane (C).





Fig. S3. Scatchard plots for binding of BA membrane with catechol (A),gallic acid (B), caffeic acid (C), luteolin (D), quercetin (E), catechin (F), epicatechin (G), chlorogenic acid (H), rosmarinic acid (I), ferulic acid (J), resorcinol (K) and kaempferol (L).



Fig. S4. The adsorption quantity of polyphenols on BA membrane and Nylon membrane.A 5.0 mg of BA membrane or Nylon membrane was used to adsorb different polyphenols in 2.0 mL of 100 μg mL⁻¹ solution.



Fig. S5. Effects of glucose (A) and fructose (B) on the membrane extraction procedures.



Fig. S6. Reusability of BA membrane in real sample (n=3). Sample pretreatment: 1.0 mL of tea sample with spiked concentrations at 0.1 μg mL⁻¹was mixed with 1.0 mL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0); Desorption condition: 2.0 mL of TCA-methanol (3/97, *v/v*). The BA membrane was washed with 2.0 mL of methanol and 1.0 mL of phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0) before next use.

	Binding amount of chlorogenic acid on BA membrane $(\mu mol g^{-1})^{a}$				RSD	
	n=1	n=2	n=3	n=4	n=5	(70)
Intraday precision	56.77	56.12	58.78	57.46	56.82	0.5
Interday precision	56.77	59.96	57.95	54.34	51.75	1.9
Batch-to-batch	56.77	54.69	59.36	59.74	54.36	3.9

Table S1. The intraday, interday and batch-to- batch repeatability of BA membranes.

^a Approximately 5 mg of BA membrane was used for extracting from 2 mL of chlorogenic acid solution (pH=8.0, 500 µg mL⁻¹) every time.

Chemical structure Name	Log P _{ow} ^a	Molecular volume (cm ³ mol ⁻¹) ^b	Molecular weight ^b	$pK_a(pK_{a1})$
	0.88±0.20	100.08	110.11	7.12 °
	0.91±0.33	135.10	170.12	4.70 ^d
Gallic acid	1.42±0.36	154.50	180.16	4.38 °
I utaolin	2.40±0.65	232.07	286.23	6.19 ^f
	2.07±0.72	240.08	302.24	6.89 ^g
	0.49±0.38	244.14	290.27	8.16 ^h
Fnicatechin	0.49±0.38	244.14	290.27	8.16 ^h
	-0.36±0.43	296.27	354.31	3.36 °
Rosmarinic acid	1.70±0.41	303.54	360.31	2.79 ^g
HO	1.64±0.36	172.03	194.19	4.70 ^e

Table S2. Chemical structure, mane, log P_{ow} , molecular volume, molecular weight and p*Ka* of these compounds.

Ferulic acid

Resorcinol	0.76±0.20	100.08	110.11	7.20 °
Kaempferol	2.05±0.60	232.07	286.23	6.93 ^g

^aLog P_{ow} (octanol-water partition coefficient) was obtained by using Scifinder and calculated using ACDLabs Freeware (http://www.acdlabs.com/home/).

^bMolecular volume and weight were calculated from software of Molinspiration (http://www.molinspiration.com/).

^c S. Siva, G. Venkatesh, A. Antony Muthu Prabhu, R.K. Sankaranarayanan, N. Rajendiran, Absorption and fluorescence spectral characteristics of norepinephrine, epinephrine, isoprenaline, methyl dopa, terbutaline and orciprenaline drugs. Phys. Chem. Liq., 2012, 50, 434-452.

^d S. Pardeshi, R. Dhodapkar, A. Kumar, Molecularly imprinted microspheres and nanoparticles prepared using precipitation polymerization method for selective extraction of gallic acid from *Emblica officinalis*. Food Chem., 2014, 146, 385-393.

^e K. Ohara, Y. Ichimura, K. Tsukamoto, M. Ogata, S. Nagaoka, K. Mukai, Kinetic study on the free radical-scavenging and vitamin E-regenerating actions of caffeic acid and its related compounds. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2006, 79, 1501-1508.

^f A. Amat, F. D. Angelis, A. Sgamellotti, S. Fantacci, Acid-base chemistry of luteolin and its methyl-ether derivatives: a DFT and ab initio investigation. Chem. Phys. Lett., 2008, 462, 313-317.

^g H. Shival, D. Lichtenberg, E. Gazit, The molecular mechanisms of the anti-amyloid of phenols. Amyloid, 2007, 14, 73-87.

^h N. P. Slabbert, Ionisation of some flavanols and dihydroflavonols. Tetrahedron, 1977, 33, 821-824.

		Q _{max}		
Supports	Preparation method	Analytes	(µmol	Ref.
			g-1)	
Regenerated-celluose	PEI as enlarged skeleton,	Catachal	511	11
membrane	three-step approach	Calechol	311	11
Ammoniated PGMA	SI-RAFT, three-step	A J 	00.2	27
microsphere	approach	Adenosine	99.2	21
	ATRP and chain-end			
Silica microsphere	functionalization, four-	Catechol	513.6	28
	step approach			
Graphene oxide	ATRP, four-step approach	Catechol	1111	30
			5 00.0	This
Nylon 66 membrane	ATRP, two-step approach	Catechol	589.8	work

Table S3. Comparison of binding capacity and preparation method of the proposed BA membrane with previously reported BA materials.

Compounds	Lineal range	Linear equation		IOD(ng)	LOQ
	(ug mL ⁻¹)	Linear equation	r	LOD(lig)	(ng)
Gallic acid	0.1-2.0	y=7352.2x+6498.7	0.9991	0.06	0.21
Catechin	0.1-2.0	y=5538.5x+283.13	0.9954	0.18	0.60
Chlorogenic acid	0.1-2.0	Y=16520x-732.66	0.9978	0.09	0.24
Epicatechin	0.1-2.0	Y=8025.8x+2362.4	0.9984	0.06	0.21
Caffeic acid	0.1-2.0	Y=36442x-2141.7	0.9981	0.18	0.60
Ferulic acid	0.1-2.0	Y=36397x-986.73	0.9994	0.06	0.18
Rosmarinic acid	0.1-2.0	Y=16582x+9063.6	0.9988	0.12	0.36
Quercetin	0.1-2.0	Y=40163x-1290	0.9993	0.20	0.60
Luteolin	0.1-2.0	Y=46208x-1095.5	0.9989	0.20	0.60
Kaempferol	0.1-2.0	Y=43449x-1213.6	0.9993	0.20	0.60

Table S4. The analytical performance of UPLC for determination gallic acid, catechin, chlorogenic acid, epicatechin, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, rosmarinic acid, quercetin, luteolin and kaempferol.

Number of analytes ^a	Number of <i>cis</i> -diol- containing polyphenols (Revovery, %) ^a	limit of detection ^a	Sample pretreatment	Instrument	Ref.
10	8 (91.2%-100.5%)	0.06-0.9 ng	Extraction of dilute tea sample with BA membrane	UPLC-UV	This work
15	1 (94.6%)	Not reported	Extraction with ether or ethyl acetate, derivatization with BSTFA+TMCS	GC-MS	1
11	10 (Not reported)	0.5-7.5 ng	Solid sample was ground and mixed with 70% aqueous methanol	HPLC-DAD	2
8	3 (75-80%)	8.6-29.0 ng ^b	Extraction of stored powder with 90% methanol containing 0.5% acetic acid	HPLC-MS	3
7	5 (63-95%)	1.0-3.0 ng	SPE of 250 mL of aqueous solution	HPLC-PAD	4
22	10 (78-100%)	0.25-15 ng	Extrusion of l kg of fruit for collecting juice, extraction of freeze-dried juice with methanol- water-acetic acid (30:69:1, v/v/v)	HPLC-DAD	5
5	4 (80.9-101.5%)	0.5-4 ng	In-tube SPME of juice sample	HPLC-UV	6

Table S5. Comparison between the proposed method and previously published methods for determination of polyphenols.

^a Data were selected from Addition and Recovery Test. ^bIt was calculated as signal-to-noise ratio equal to 10.

Reference

1. Y. Zuo, C. Wang, J. Zhan, Separation, characterization, and quantitation of benzoic and phenolic antioxidants in american cranberry fruit by GC-MS, J. Agric. Food Chem., 50 (2002) 3789-3794.

- R. Tsao, R. Yang, J.C. Young, H. Zhu, Polyphenolic profiles in eight apple cultivars using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), J. Agric. Food Chem., 51 (2003) 6347-6353.
- 3. H. Sakakibara, Y. Honda, S. Nakagawa, H. Ashida, K. Kanazawa, Simultaneous determination of all polyphenols in vegetables, fruits, and teas, J. Agric. Food Chem., 51 (2003) 571-581.
- 4. Q. Liu, W. Cai, X. Shao, Determination of seven polyphenols in water by high performance liquid chromatography combined with preconcentration, Talanta, 77 (2008) 679-683.
- B. Abad-García, L.A. Berrueta, D.M. López-Márquez, I. Crespo-Ferrer, B. Gallo, F. Vicente, Optimization and validation of a methodology based on solvent extraction and liquid chromatography for the simultaneous determination of several polyphenolic families in fruit juices, J. Chromatogr. A, 1154 (2007) 87-96.
- L.L. Ying, D.Y. Wang, H.P. Yang, X.Y. Deng, C. Peng, C. Zheng, B. Xu, L.Y. Dong, X. Wang, L. Xu, Y.W. Zhang, X.H. Wang, Synthesis of boronate-decorated polyethyleneimine-grafted porous layer open tubular capillaries for enrichment of polyphenols in fruit juices, J. Chromatogr. A 1544 (2018) 23-32.