## Supplementary Information

## Cicada & Catkin Inspired Dual Biomimetic Antibacterial Structure for the Surface Modification of Implant Material

Jing Ye<sup>†a</sup>, Yutong Chen<sup>†b</sup>, Ting Yang<sup>b</sup>, Jianjian Deng<sup>a</sup>, Yanglong Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Chongxue Wu<sup>b</sup>, Tianlong Wu<sup>a</sup>, Jingyu Jia<sup>a</sup>, Xigao Cheng<sup>a\*</sup> and Xiaolei Wang<sup>b\*</sup>



Figure S1. SEM images of AAO template with different magnification.



**Figure S2.** The optical diagram of plate counting results of bactericidal activity of three samples (original PEEK, SB-PEEK and DB-PEEK) in Luria–Bertani broth at 24 hours and 48 hours incubation with *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, respectively.



**Figure S3.** The optical diagram of plate counting results of bactericidal activity of SB-PEEK at 0 hour, 3 hours and 24 hours incubation in PBS, respectively.



**Figure S4**. The evaluation of the resistance adhesion capability of SB-PEEK (by using S. aureus). The bottom was the corresponding plate counting photos of bacteria left on the surface of the material. p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.001.



Figure S5. Contact angle results of the original PEEK and SB-PEEK samples.



Figure S6. Hemolysis assay. (A) Optical photos of different groups of materials during the test; (B) Hemolysis rate of the original PEEK group, the SB-PEEK group and DB-PEEK group, respectively.



Figure S7. *In Vivo* implant Studies with *E. coli*. (A-C) Plate counting photos of the viable bacteria on original PEEK group, SB-PEEK group and DB-PEEK group (10<sup>2</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup> and 10<sup>4</sup> mean degrees of dilution), respectively; (D) Counting resuts of viable cells on the surface of different implants, 8 days after surgery; (E-G) Optical photograph of wound healing at the 1, 4 and 8 days (from top to bottom) of the original PEEK group, the SB-PEEK group, DB-PEEK group and the blank control group, respectively.