#### Supplementary information

Hyaluronic acid hydrophilic surficial rehabilitative curcumin nanocrystals for targeted breast cancer treatment with prolonged biodistribution

Peng Ji, Le Wang, Yiwei Chen, Siqi Wang, Zhenghong Wu\*, Xiaole Qi\*

Key Laboratory of Modern Chinese Medicines, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, PR China

\* Corresponding authors.

Email addresses: zhenghongwu66@cpu.edu.cn (Z. H. Wu); qixiaole523@cpu.edu.cn (X. L. Qi).

Tel: +0086-15062208341; Fax: +0086-025-83179703

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

# 1. Quantitative measurement of the Cur loaded in HA@Cur-NC through UV-vis method.

Accurately weigh Curcumin (Cur) 10.0 mg into a 10 mL volumetric flask, added anhydrous ethanol to the scale, and shaken well to obtain 1000  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> Cur reserve solution. Then dilute it with anhydrous ethanol to different concentrations (7.5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, 5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, 3.75  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, 2.5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, 1  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, 0.5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, 0.25  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.125  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>). The absorbance (A) of different samples at 426 nm wavelength was determined by UV-vis spectrophotometer with anhydrous ethanol as blank control. The obtain standard curve is y = 0.13391x + 0.00207, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.9981 (y: absorbance value at 426 nm; x: concentration of Cur) (Fig. S1).

# 2. Quantitative measurement of the Cur in vivo through a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method.

Samples were analyzed by HPLC (LC-10AT, Japan) with the following conditions: an Inertsil ODS-SP column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5.0  $\mu$ m, Japan); mobile phase: Acetonitrile: 1% acetic acid solution = 55:45 ( $\nu/\nu$ ); column temperature 25 °C; detection wavelength 426 nm; flow rate 1.0 mL min<sup>-1</sup> and injection volume 20  $\mu$ L. Accurately weigh Cur 10.0 mg into a 10 mL volumetric flask, adding methanol to the mark, shake well, and obtain 1000  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> Cur reserve solution. After that, dilute to different concentrations (10, 5, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.05, 0.025  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) using 70% methanol-blank plasma mixed solution, and HPLC obtained the peak area of different samples. The peak area y was plotted on the ordinate, and the concentration x was plotted on the abscissa. The obtain standard curve is y = 159768x + 953.75,  $R^2 = 0.99975$  (y: peak area value at 426 nm; x: concentration of Cur,  $R^2 = 0.99975$ ) (Fig. S2).

### List of figures



Fig. S1 The quantification of Cur. The absorption spectra of inset: The standard curve for absorbance value at 426 nm. y = 0.13391x + 0.00207,  $R^2 = 0.9981$ 



**Fig. S2** The obtain standard curve is y = 159768x + 953.75,  $R^2 = 0.99975$ 



**Fig. S3** Long-term stability study of different Cur formulations. (A) Size change was measured in PBS, and change in absorbance at 560 nm was measured in both (B) PBS and (C) 10% FBS.



**Fig. S4** The release behavior of HA@Cur-NC at pH 5.0 plus 0.5% Tween and different concentrations of HAase.



**Fig. S5** Uptake in vitro. (A) The fluorescent microscopy images of 4T1 cells after incubating with Cur, Cur-NC, HA@Cur-NC, and HA@Cur-NC (with HA-pretreated) for different hours. Cells without any treatment were as a control group (Scale bar: 100 μm). (B, C) Analysis of FAM fluorescence value according to section A.



**Fig. S6** (A) Flow cytometry analysis of the cycle of MDA-MB-231 cells induced by PBS (control), Cur, Cur-NC, and HA@Cur-NC for 24 h. (B, C) Quantitative analysis of cell cycle profiles of MDA-MB-231 cells according to section A. Data represent the mean of the percentage of cell distribution in each phase  $\pm$  SD; \**P*< 0.05 *vs.* control after one-way ANOVA analysis.

Cur : F27	Sizes (nm)	PDI	Note
1:0.25	-	-	instability and precipitate rapidly
1:0.5	$359.30 \pm 10.71$	$0.71 \pm 0.07$	
1:1	$244.47\pm7.45$	$0.65 \pm 0.07$	
1:2	$165.80\pm3.07$	$0.47 \pm 0.03$	
1:4	$101.37 \pm 7.36$	$0.33 \pm 0.03$	
1:5	$104.78\pm7.64$	$0.34 \pm 0.04$	

**Table S1** Size and PDI of Cur-NC at different weight ratios of Cur to F127 (w/w).Data are shown as means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

**Table S2** Effect of molecular weight of HA to Cur-NC on Size and PDI index of HA@Cur-NC. HA : Cur-NC = 4:1 (w/w), Cur : F127 = 1 : 4 (w/w). Data are shown as means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

Molecular weight of HA (kDa)	Sizes (nm)	PDI	
36	$396.59 \pm 19.32$	$0.43 \pm 0.04$	
100	$359.30 \pm 10.71$	$0.35\pm0.02$	
570	$161.85 \pm 1.70$	$0.25\pm0.02$	
770	$243.81\pm9.30$	$0.29\pm0.03$	
1400	$302.68 \pm 13.18$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	

**Table S3** Effect of weight ratios of HA to Cur-NC on Size and PDI index of HA@Cur-NC. Molecular weight of HA = 570 kDa, Cur : F127 = 1 : 4 (w/w). Data are shown as means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

HA : Cur-NC ( <i>w/w</i> )	Sizes (nm)	PDI
8:1	$164.14 \pm 5.85$	$0.29 \pm 0.03$
4:1	$161.85 \pm 1.70$	$0.25 \pm 0.02$
2:1	$143.46 \pm 7.59$	$0.33 \pm 0.04$
1:1	$131.29 \pm 9.22$	$0.32 \pm 0.06$
2:5	$109.92 \pm 13.75$	$0.34 \pm 0.05$

**Table S4**Physicochemical properties of Cur-NC and HA@Cur-NC. Datarepresented as means  $\pm$  SD (n = 3).

	Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV)	PDI	Drug loading (wt%)
Cur-NC	$101.4 \pm 7.4$	$-7.1 \pm 0.2$	0.33	$15.3 \pm 0.7$
HA@Cur-NC	161.9 ± 1.7	$-25.0 \pm 0.8$	0.25	3.3 ± 0.5

Entry				
	MCF-7 cells	MDA-MB-231 cells	4T1 cells	
Cur	3.90 ± 0.99	3.62 ± 0.75 *	3.18 ± 0.30 **	
Cur-NC	$3.88 \pm 1.70$	3.19 ± 0.46 <b>**</b>	2.92 ± 0.26 **	
HA@Cur-NC	$2.86 \pm 0.50$	$1.92 \pm 0.21$	$2.00 \pm 0.17$	

**Table S5**IC<sub>50</sub> values of different Cur formulations. Data represented as mean  $\pm$  SD(n = 5).

\*P < 0.05 and \*\*P < 0.01 vs. HA@Cur-NC.

**Table S6**Quantification data of integrated optical density (IOD) of tumor sectionsfrom each group with TUNEL staining. Results were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD;Student's *t*-test, \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001 vs. HA@Cur-NC. Datarepresented as mean  $\pm$  SD (n = 6).

	Control (saline)	Free Cur	Cur-NC	HA@Cur-NC
IOD	0.660 ± 0.131***	$1.279 \pm 0.507$ **	1.950 ± 1.262*	$3.347 \pm 1.602$