Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Ambient-temperature Oxidative Coupling of Methane in an Electric Field by a Cerium Phosphate Nanorod Catalyst

Ayaka Sato,^a Shuhei Ogo,^{*ab} Keigo Kamata,^{*bc} Yuna Takeno,^a Tomohiro Yabe,^a Tomokazu Yamamoto,^d Syo Matsumura,^d Michikazu Hara,^c Yasushi Sekine^a

^a Department of Applied Chemistry, Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku, Tokyo, 169-8555 Japan.

^b PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama, 332-0012 Japan.

^c Laboratory for Materials and Structures, Institute of Innovative Research, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Nagatsuta-cho 4259, Midori-ku, Yokohama, 226-8503 Japan.

^d Department of Applied Quantum Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Kyushu University, Motooka 744, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan.

Corresponding Author*:

E-mail address: ogo@aoni.waseda.jp (S. Ogo)

E-mail address: kamata.k.ac@m.titech.ac.jp (K. Kamata)

Table of Contents

1. Experimental Procedure	.S1
2. Supplementary Tables and Figures (Tables S1-S2; Figures S1-S8)	.S4
3. References	.S10

1. Experimental Procedures

Preparation of monoclinic CePO₄ by a hydrothermal method

CePO₄ with a monoclinic structure was prepared by a hydrothermal method according to the published procedure.¹ Ce(NO₃)₂•6H₂O and (NH₄)₂HPO₄ were dissolved in distilled water and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The P/Ce molar ratio in the mixed solution was 1. The resulting solution was added into a stainless-steel autoclave with a Teflon vessel liner, and then heated at 453 K for 96 h. After cooling the autoclave to room temperature, the precipitates were collected by filtration and washed with distilled water. Then the resulting solid was calcined at 1173 K for 3 h in air.

Activity test

Activity tests were conducted with a fixed-bed flow type reactor equipped with a quartz tube (4.0 mm i.d.).² The catalyst was sieved to 355–500 µm, and then 100 mg of it was charged into the reactor. The reactant gas were methane, oxygen and argon with the ratio of $CH_4:O_2:Ar = 15:12:33$ and the total gas flow rate was 60 SCCM. The contact time (W/F_{CH4}) was 2.49 g_{cat} h mol⁻¹. For the reaction in the electric field, two stainless steel electrodes (2.0 mm o.d.) were inserted to each end of the catalyst-bed. A thermocouple was inserted into bottom of the catalyst-bed to measure the catalyst-bed temperature. The electric field was controlled using a constant current (7.0 mA) with a DC power supply. The profiles of the applied voltage and current were monitored using an oscilloscope (TDS 2001C; Tektronix Inc.). The catalytic reaction with the electric field was conducted at ambient temperature. In the reaction without the electric field, the furnace temperature was varied 573–1073 K. The product gas through a cold trap was analyzed by using a GC-FID (GC-2014s; Shimadzu Corp.) with a Porapak N column and a hand-made methanizer (Ru/Al₂O₃) and a GC-TCD (GC-2014s; Shimadzu Corp.) with a molecular sieve 5A packed column. The respective calculation formulae for CH₄ conversion, O₂ conversion, C₂ yield and C₂ selectivity are shown below (eqs. S1–S4):

$$CH_4 Conversion (\%, C-based) = \frac{Carbon \text{ moles of } (CO, CO_2, C_2H_6, C_2H_4, \text{ and } C_2H_2)}{Carbon \text{ moles of input methane}} \times 100 \quad (eq. S1)$$

$$O_2$$
 Conversion (%) = $\frac{Consumption \text{ moles of } O_2}{Input \text{ oxygen moles}} \times 100$ (eq. S2)

$$C_2 \text{ Yield (\%, C-based)} = \frac{Carbon \text{ moles of } (C_2H_6, C_2H_4, \text{ and } C_2H_2)}{Carbon \text{ moles of input methane}} \times 100 \quad (eq. S3)$$

$$C_2$$
 Selectivity (%, C-based) = $\frac{C_2 \text{ Yield}}{CH_4 \text{ Conversion}} \times 100$ (eq. S4)

Periodic Operation Test

Periodic operation test was conducted to investigate the active oxygen species on the catalyst surface in the following four steps.² In the first step, oxygen and argon (O_2 :Ar = 5:50, total flow rate 55 SCCM) were supplied to the reactor with the electric field for 10 min in order to create the active oxygen species on the catalyst surface. In the second step, oxygen in the gas phase of the reactor was removed by argon purge (total flow rate 100 SCCM) without the electric field. In the third step, methane and argon (CH₄:Ar = 5:50, total flow rate 55 SCCM) was supplied to the reactor with the electric field for 12 min to evaluate the reaction between methane and the active oxygen species on the catalyst surface. As the final step, argon purge (100 SCCM) was conducted for 20 min without the electric field to remove all residual gases. The above four steps were repeated several times. Product gases were analyzed at 5 min after oxygen and argon supply, and at 30 second intervals until 12 min after methane and argon supply. The reaction temperature was 473 K or 1073 K for the reaction with or without the electric field, respectively. The imposed current was set at 3.0 mA. The amount of consumed active oxygen species was calculated based on the following equations (eqs. S5–S9). It was assumed that C₂ hydrocarbons and CO_x were produced accompanying with H₂O and H₂ formations, respectively. It was confirmed that calculated H₂ yield, as estimated from CO_x yield based on equations S8 and S9, was almost identical to observed H₂ yield in the activity tests.

$$2CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_6 + H_2O \quad (eq. S5)$$

$$2CH_4 + O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4 + 2H_2O \quad (eq. S6)$$

$$2CH_4 + \frac{3}{2}O_2 \rightarrow C_2H_2 + 3H_2O \quad (eq. S7)$$

$$CH_4 + \frac{1}{2}O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 \quad (eq. S8)$$

$$CH_4 + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2H_2 \quad (eq. S9)$$

Characterizations

The crystalline structure was characterized using powder X-ray diffraction (Smart Lab III; Rigaku Corp.) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA with Cu *K* α radiation. HAADF-STEM observations were performed using an aberration-corrected transmission electron microscope (JEM-ARM 200F; JEOL Ltd) operated at 80 kV. Surface oxygen species on CePO₄ catalyst was characterized using a Raman spectrometer (NRS-4500; JASCO Corp.). The excitation line (λ) was 532 nm. The resolution was 3.58 cm⁻¹. The Raman spectra were recorded at ambient temperature after O₂ treatment for 10 min at 473 K in the electric field and reaction with CH₄ for 15 min at 473 K in the electric field.

Calculations for surface Ce site

The experimental and structural parameters for monoclinic CePO₄ (P_2 1/n, ICSD 79748) used for the calculation was as follows: specific surface area ($S_{BET} = 37 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), Lewis acid site amount measured using pyridine-IR ($A_L = 96 \text{ }\mu\text{mol }\text{g}^{-1}$),¹ Avogadro constant ($N_A = 6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$), a = 6.788 Å, b = 7.0163 Å, c = 6.468 Å, $\beta = 103.43^{\circ}$. The surface Ce cations at (110) and (100) facets are assumed to be exposed coordinatively unsaturated Ce cations, and the amounts were estimated according to the following equations (eqs. 10-12).

The amount of surface Ce cations (A_{Ce}/nm^{-2})

Experimental

$$A_{Ce} = \frac{A_L \times 10^{-6} \times N_A}{S_{BET} \times 10^{18}} \times 100 = 1.6 \text{ nm}^{-2} \quad (eq. \ S10)$$

Calculated (110) facet

$$A_{Ce} = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{a^2 \sin^2 \beta + b^2} \times c) \times 10^{-2}} = 1.6 \text{ nm}^{-2}$$
 (eq. S11)

Calculated (100) facet

$$A_{Ce} = \frac{1}{b \times c \times 10^{-2}} \times 100 = 2.2 \text{ nm}^{-2}$$
 (eq. S12)

Areal density of Ce ions: $A_{Ce}[ions/nm^2] = \frac{Number \ density \ per \ unit \ surface: \rho_{Ce}[ions]}{Area \ of \ unit \ (hkl) \ surface: S_{hkl} \ [nm^2]}$

Area of parallelogram: $S = a \times b \times \sin\theta$

 $S_{100} = b \times c \times sin90^{\circ} = b \times c$



 $\sqrt{a^2} \sin^2 \beta + b^2$

▶ [1-10]

c (110) θ Γ

$$S_{110} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \times c \times \sqrt{\frac{a^2 \sin^2 \beta + b^2}{a^2 + b^2}} = \sqrt{a^2 \sin^2 \beta + b^2} \times c$$

2. Supplementary Tables and Figures

Catalyst	Voltage / V	7 _{tc} ^[b] / К	Conversion / %		Selecti	vity / %				C ₂ Selectivity	C ₂ Yield	Field intensity	
			CH ₄	O ₂	C ₂ H ₆	C_2H_4	C_2H_2	со	CO ₂	/%	/ %	/ V mm ⁻¹	
CePO ₄	800	542	62	66	2.1	5.8	21	62	10	29	18	96	
Ce ₂ (WO ₄) ₃	500	480	15	20	2.1	4.3	12	67	15	19	2.8	123	
Ce ₂ (MoO ₄) ₃	700	538	29	36	3.1	5.9	11	71	9	20	5.8	101	
CeVO ₄	800	533	2.1	8.0	1.2	0.8	0.0	73	25	2.0	0.0	123	
CeO ₂	200	519	37	94	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.4	99	0.4	0.1	39	

Table S1. Catalytic activity of cerium-based catalysts for oxidative coupling of methane at ambient temperature in an electric field.^[a]

[a] CH₄:O₂:Ar = 15:12:33, total flow rate 60 SCCM; catalyst weight, 100 mg; furnace temperature, without external heating (299 K); time on stream, 20 min; Current, 7 mA.

[b] T_{tc} : catalyst-bed temperature measured using a thermocouple.

Table S2. Effect of reaction field on catalytic activity of CePO₄.^[a]

Condition	Furnace temp.	<i>Т</i> _{tc} ^[b] / К	Conversion / %		Selectiv	ity / %	C ₂ Selectivity	C ₂ Yield			
	/ K		CH ₄	O ₂	C ₂ H ₆	C_2H_4	C_2H_2	со	CO ₂	/%	/ %
with EF (7 mA)	Without heating	542	62	66	2.1	5.8	21	62	10	29	18
without EF	573	568	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	64	36	0.0	0.0
	673	669	0.1	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	27	73	0.0	0.0
	773	771	0.7	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	14	86	0.0	0.0
	873	894	36	91	0.5	0.6	0.0	23	76	1.1	0.4
	973	986	41	99	1.1	1.5	0.0	25	72	2.6	1.1
	1073	1081	47	99	1.5	2.2	0.0	25	71	3.8	1.8

[a] CH₄:O₂:Ar = 15:12:33, total flow rate 60 SCCM; catalyst weight, 100 mg.

[b] T_{tc} : catalyst-bed temperature measured using a thermocouple.



Figure S1. (a) The BF-STEM image of monoclinic CePO₄ nanorods and (b) the HAADF-STEM image of the single CePO₄ nanorod viewed from [110] direction (the same image of Fig. 1(a)). (c) The magnified image of the (110) side surface in the image (b) with projected monoclinic CePO₄ crystal structure from [110] direction (d). The surface Ce ion columns were denoted by white arrows. (e) The intensity profile along the blue line in the image (b) and estimated side facets of the nanorod by the intensity profile.



Figure S2. XRD patterns and BET surface area of (a) CePO₄, (b) Ce₂(WO₄)₃, (c) Ce₂(MoO₄)₃, (d) CeVO₄ and (e) CeO₂.

Although $Ce_2(MoO_4)_3$ contains small amount of CeO_2 and unknown phase, the other catalysts were the single phase.



Figure S3. XRD patterns of CePO₄ before and after reaction with electric field.



Figure S4. Time course of formation rate of C2 hydrocarbons during methane supply over CePO4 catalyst in the electric field.



Figure S5. Results of periodic operation tests after 2 min of CH_4 supply over $CePO_4$ catalyst without the electric field at 1073 K: (light blue bar) C_2 selectivity; (white bar) CO_x selectivity; (-O-) CH_4 conversion.



Figure S6. Integrated consumed active oxygen species during methane supply over $CePO_4$ catalyst in the electric field after O_2 pre-treatment in the electric field.



Figure S7. Raman spectra of CePO₄ after O₂ treatment and reaction with CH₄.

The bands at 399, 415, 469, 574 and 623 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the bending vibration of PO₄ tetrahedral of monoclinic CePO₄ structure according to literatures.^{3,4} And the bands at 975, 996, 1030, 1060, and 1075 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the stretching vibration of PO₄ tetrahedral of monoclinic CePO₄ structure according to literatures.^{3,4} The Raman band at 538 cm⁻¹ appeared after the O₂ treatment in the electric field while it completely disappeared after the reaction with CH₄ in the electric field. It has been reported that the Ce–O bands of active oxygen species on CeO₂ are observed in the range of 340–540 cm⁻¹.³⁻⁷ Therefore, the 538 cm⁻¹-band would be related to active oxygen species on CePO₄.



Figure S8. Durability test over CePO₄ at ambient temperature in the electric field: $CH_4:O_2:Ar = 15:12:33$, total flow rate 60 SCCM; catalyst, 100 mg; current, 7.0 mA; furnace temperature, without heating (299 K).

Figure S8 shows result of durability test over CePO₄ for 3 h in the electric field at ambient-temperature. The relative C₂ yield ratio at 180 min with respect to the initial stage ($Y_{180}/Y_{10} = C_2$ yield at 180 min/C₂ yield at 10 min) of CePO₄ was 0.89. On the other hand, as shown in Figure 2, C₂ hydrocarbon yield over other catalysts became almost zero by 60 min of the reaction. Thus, CePO₄ has durability in the electric field at ambient-temperature.

3. References

- [1] S. Kanai, I. Nagahara, Y. Kita, K. Kamata and M. Hara, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 3146-3153.
- [2] K. Sugiura, S. Ogo, K. Iwasaki, T. Yabe and Y. Sekine, Sci. Rep., 2015, 6, 25154.
- [3] P. Pusztai, T Simon, Á. Kukovecz and Z. Kónya, J. Mol. Struct., 2013, 1044, 94-98.
- [4] J. Heuser, A.A. Bukaemskiy, S. Neumeier, A. Neumann and D. Bosbach, Prog. Nucl. Energy, 2014, 72, 149-155.
- [5] V. V. Pushkarev, V. I. Kovalchuk and J. L. d'Itri, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 5341-5348.
- [6] Y. M. Choi, H. Abernathy, H. –T. Chen. M. C. Lin and M. Liu, ChemPhysChem, 2006, 7, 1957-1963.
- [7] M. Daniel and S. Loridant, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2012, 43, 1312-1319.