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## 1. Materials and general procedures

All of the chemicals are commercial available, and used without further purification. Elemental analyses of C and H were performed with an EA1110 CHNS-0 CE elemental analyzer. The IR ( KBr pellet) spectra were recorded (400-4000 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ region) on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT-IR spectrometer. CD spectra were recorded on a J-800 spectropolarimeter (Jasco, Japan). Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out in a nitrogen atmosphere with a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ on a STA449C integration thermal analyzer. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were collected on a DMAX2500 diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. The calculated PXRD patterns were produced using the SHELXTL-XPOW program and single-crystal reflection data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR experiments were carried out on a MERCURYplus 400 spectrometer operating at resonance frequencies of 400 MHz . ESI-MS spectrum was recorded on a Finnigan LCQ mass spectrometer using dichloromethane-methanol as mobile phase. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms were recorded at 195 K by using a micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and porosity analyzer. Before the adsorption measurement, the samples were activated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum ( $<10^{-3}$ torr) for 4 h .

X-ray Crystallography. Single-crystal XRD data for the compound 1 and 2 were collected on a Bruker SMART Apex II CCD-based X-ray diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ) at 123 and 173 K , respectively. The empirical absorption correction was applied by using the SADABS program (G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, program for empirical absorption correction of area detector data; University of Götingen, Götingen, Germany, 1996).

All structures were solved using direct method, and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^{2}$ (G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL-2015, program for crystal structure refinement, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997). In all crystals, the guest molecules and H -atoms were refined isotropically, while the other atoms were refined anisotropically. Crystal data and details of the data collection are given in Tables $\mathbf{S} 1$, while the selected bond distances and angles are presented in Tables $\mathbf{S 2}$ and $\mathbf{S 3}$.

## 2. Synthesis

$\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ was synthesized according to the published procedure. ${ }^{1}$

### 2.1 Synthesis of $\mathbf{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$


(R)-6,6'-dimethyl-3,3'-diiodi-5,5'-di(3,5-bis(methoxycarbonyl))phenyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diol (S1) was synthesized according to the published procedure. ${ }^{2}$

Synthesis of $\mathbf{M e}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$. A 250 ml flame-dried round-bottom flask was charged with $(R)-6,6{ }^{\prime}$ -dimethyl-3,3'- diiodo-5,5'-di(3,5-bis(methoxycarbonyl))phenyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diol (S1, 5.6 g , 6.58 mmol ), 2,4,5-trimethylphenylboronic acid ( $4.32 \mathrm{~g}, 26.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 39.9$ mmol, 6 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(940 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.15$ equiv) and $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Phos}(810.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.3 equiv.). Degassed anhydrous toluene ( 100 mL ) was then added. The suspension was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . After cooling down to the room temperature, the mixture was extracted by EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (EtOAc/PE, 1:10, v/v) to afford (R)-6,6'-dimethyl-3,3'-di(2,4,5-trimethyl)phenyl-5,5'-di(3,5-bis (methoxycarbonyl))phenyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diol as a white solid $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{2} 3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.64(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ) $\delta: 166.60,150.33$, 142.92 , 139.75, 138.01, 137.57, 137.43, 135.17, 135.01, 133.43, 132.38, 131.76, 130.72, 129.11, 128.86, 128.75, 127.39, 125.21, 123.30, 52.66, 22.25, 21.33, 20.75, 20.61, 17.84.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$. A solution of (R)-6,6'-dimethyl-3,3'-di(2,4,5-trimethyl)phenyl-5,5'-di (3,5-bis(methoxycarbonyl))phenyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diol $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{2}, 3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 4.67 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$, and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 46.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.) in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The solution was cooled to room temperature, acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=1 \sim 2$ with 6 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white solid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{2}, 3.31 \mathrm{~g}, 4.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%\right),{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }^{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d$\left.{ }^{6}\right) \delta: 167.38$, 152.16 (s), 143.29 , 137.02, 136.36 (s), 135.31,134.74, 134.34 (s), 132.16, 132.02, 131.44, 129.61, 128.40, 126.16, 125.08, 21.36, 21.24, 18.09. ESI-MS: m/z 801.2687 (Calcd m/z 801.2676 for $\left.\left[\mathrm{H}_{6} \mathbf{L}^{2}+\mathrm{Na}\right]\right)$

### 2.2 Synthesis of $\mathbf{H}_{4} L^{\mathbf{3}}$

(R)-6,6'-dimethyl-3,3'-di(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl))phenyl-5,5'-di(3,5-
bis(methoxycarbonyl) phenyl-1,1'-biphenyl-2,2'-diol $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ was synthesized according to the published procedure. ${ }^{2}$

A solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.68 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.) in THF (15 $\mathrm{mL})$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . The solution was cooled to room temperature, acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=1 \sim 2$ with 6 M HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white solid ( $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{3}, 830 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- ${ }^{6}$, 500 MHz ) $\delta: 12.97(\mathrm{br}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 8.57 (s, 2H), 8.48 (s, 2H), $8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.\mathrm{d}^{6}\right) \delta: 172.45,167.10,151.93,142.69,141.43,136.87,134.72,133.26,131.74,131.56,130.87,130.61$, $130.36,130.10,128.74,127.23,125.99,125.07,124.37,122.90,120.73,120.47,65.34,60.17,21.45$, 21.13, 18.25, 15.55, 14.47. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 471 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ) $\delta$-61.25 (s, 1H). ESI-MS: m/z 965.1256 (Calcd m/z 965.1268 for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{3}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ ).

### 2.3 Synthesis of 1

A mixture of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(47.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{1}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sealed in a 80 mL vial with a screw cap and heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Colorless cubic crystals were obtained, washed with MeOH , and dried at room temperature in air. Yield: $85 \%$.

Calcd for $\mathrm{Zn}_{28} \mathrm{C}_{444} \mathrm{H}_{492} \mathrm{O}_{208} \mathrm{~N}_{28}$ : C, 46.88; H, 4.36; N, 3.44; Found: C, 46.80; H, 4.21; N, 3.30.
FTIR ( $\mathrm{KBr} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3394(s), 2981(w), 1857(w), 1704(w), 1689(w), 1614(s), 1565(s), 1447(m), 1415(m), 1365(s), 1304(m), 1258(w), 1243(w), 1180(m), 1128(m), 1085(m), 1039(s), 969(w), 925(m), 900(w), 888(w), 828(w), 781(s), 726(s), 696(m), 634(w), 567(m), 477(m), 465(m).

### 2.4 Synthesis of 2

A mixture of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(23.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{2}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF ( 2.5 mL ), THF ( 0.5 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sealed in a 80 mL vial with a screw cap and heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Colorless cubic crystals were obtained, washed with MeOH , and dried at room temperature in air. Yield: $89 \%$.

Calcd for $\mathrm{Zn}_{28} \mathrm{C}_{681} \mathrm{H}_{769} \mathrm{O}_{213} \mathrm{~N}_{43}$ : C, 55.28; H, 5.24; N, 4.07. Found: C, 55.23; H, 5.30; N, 3.96.
FTIR ( $\mathrm{KBr} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3517(m), 3426(m), 3066(w), 3030(w), 2974(m), 2921(m), 2864(w), 2737(w), 1616(s), 1569(s), 1447(s), 1408(s), 1361(s), 1301(m), 1237(s), 1173(m), 1160(w), 1139(m), $1105(\mathrm{~m}), 1058(\mathrm{~m}), 1030(\mathrm{~m}), 1004(\mathrm{~m}), 919(\mathrm{~m}), 898(\mathrm{~m}), 852(\mathrm{~m}), 783(\mathrm{~s}), 748(\mathrm{w}), 726(\mathrm{~s}), 701(\mathrm{~s})$, 679(w), 640(m), 605(m), 828(w), 586(w), 539(w), 525(w), 495(w), 475(m), 467(w).

### 2.5 Synthesis of 3

A mixture of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(23.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{3}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, THF ( 0.8 mL ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sealed in a 80 mL vial with a screw cap and heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Colorless cubic crystals were obtained, washed with MeOH , and dried at room temperature in air. Yield: $85 \%$.

Calcd for $\mathrm{Zn}_{28} \mathrm{C}_{609} \mathrm{H}_{497} \mathrm{O}_{213} \mathrm{~N}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{144}$ : C, 45.24; H, 3.10; N, 2.34 Found: C, 45.26; H, 3.21; N, 2.20.
FTIR ( $\mathrm{KBr} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3531(m), 3039(w), 2936(m), 2553(w), 1712(s), 1605(s), 1457(m), 1388(m), 1287(s), 1251(w), 1186(s), 1143(s), 1073(m), 1039(m), 1017(m), 1003(w), 925(w), 896(s), 847(m), 828(w), 813(m), 764(m), 727(w), 705(s), 683(s), 607(w), 580(w), 512(w), 410(w).

## 3. General procedure for catalysis

In a typical reaction, MOF ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ based on Zn paddlewheel) catalyzed reaction was conducted in an autoclave reactor with 10.0 mmol epoxide and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ purged at 1 MPa under solvent free environment at room temperature for 24 hours. The catalysts were separated by centrifugation and the reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to calculate the yields of the products.

Recycle experiments of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ cycloaddition of epoxides were operated under the same condition. After reaction, the catalysts were collected by centrifugation after each cycle, dried in vacuum and then reused for next runs. The yields were calculated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## 4. Dye uptake experiments

The activated MOFs ( 5 mg ) were soaked in a solution of MO ( 60 mmol ) in methanol for 24 h . To remove the dye adsorbed on the surface of crystals, the resulting red crystals were washed with methanol until the solution became colorless. Then the samples were sonicated with methanol and the resulting solution was diluted to 100 mL . Absorption experiments were performed on Lambda
$20 \mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{V}$ is Spectrometer. The amounts of dyes were determined by comparing the UV absorptions with the standard curves.

Creation of a standard curve: (1) MO ( 3.27 mg ) was dissolved in MeOH and diluted to 100 mL . The solution of $\mathrm{MO}(100 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ is stock solution, and $1,2,4,8$ and 16 mL stock solution were diluted to 100 mL , respectively. (2) The absorbance of different concentrations of MO was determined by UV/Vis Spectrometer. Data for known concentrations of MO were used to make the standard curve, plotting concentration on the X axis, and the assay measurement of absorbance on the Y axis. According to the Lambert-Beer law, the standard curve can be calculated by linear fitting of the data.

Calculation of the MO number: The samples solution were diluted to 100 mL to meet the needs of linear range of concentration. The absorbance of the sample solutions were determined by the UV/Vis Spectrometer (Figure S1). The concentrations of MO can be calculated by comparing the UV-Vis absorbance with a standard curve.




| MOF | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methyl orange | $\mathbf{1 0 . 8 8} \mathbf{w t} \%$ | $9.42 \mathbf{w t} \%$ | $\mathbf{9 . 0 4} \mathbf{w t} \%$ |

5. Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinements for 1 and 2

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{93} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{41} \mathrm{Zn}_{28}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{144} \mathrm{H}_{114} \mathrm{O}_{37} \mathrm{Zn}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 2297.00 | 2893.94 |
| Temperature (K) | 123 | 173 |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 1.54178 | 1.54178 |
| Crystal system | Cubic | Cubic |
| Space group | P432 | P432 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} a=30.3978(2) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ b=30.3978(2) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} \\ c=30.3978(2) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} a=30.3776(7) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ b=30.3776(7) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} \\ c=30.3776(7) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| Volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ), $Z$ | 28088.4(6), 12 | 28032.4(19), 4 |
| Density (calculated) (mg/m ${ }^{3}$ ) | 0.621 | 0.701 |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 0.972 | 0.992 |
| $F(000)$ | 5368 | 6064 |
| $\theta$ range for data collection ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.453 to 49.983 | 1.454 to 51.006 |
| Limiting indices | $\begin{aligned} & -21<=\mathrm{h}<=30,-26<=\mathrm{k}<=26,- \\ & 26<=1<=21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -28<=\mathrm{h}<=29,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=30,- \\ & 30<=1<=20 \end{aligned}$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | 30967 / 5360 | 35931/ 5003 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0597 | 0.1141 |
| Completeness to theta | 26.000, 98.8 \% | 26.000, 98.7\% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4535 / 224 / 238 | 4994 / 284 / 263 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.902 | 0.846 |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R 1=0.0950, w R 2=0.2778$ | $R 1=0.0879, w R 2=0.2253$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.01(3) | 0.02(4) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole (e. $\AA^{-3}$ ) | 0.548 and -0.273 | 0.49 and -0.33 |

6. Table S2. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 1

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.008(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | $1.870(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.012(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.173(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(4)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.961(9)$ |


| $\mathrm{Zn}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~W})$ | $1.970(18)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(3)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.150(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.226(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)$ | $81.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $98.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(1)$ | $78.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $101.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(1)$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zn}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~W})$ | $101.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Zn}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | $82.4(5)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\# 1-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+1, \mathrm{z} & \# 2 \mathrm{y},-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{z} & \# 3-\mathrm{y}+1, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z} \\
\# 4-\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{z}+1, \mathrm{x} & \# 5 \mathrm{z},-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+1 & \# 6-\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{z}+2
\end{array}
$$

7. Table S3. Selected bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for 2

| $\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.000(10)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $1.982(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.002(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.015(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.896(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.148(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.051(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.984(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)$ | $80.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Zn}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $99.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(1)$ | $77.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | $102.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Zn}(2)-\mathrm{Zn}(1)$ | 180.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Zn}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~W})$ | $108.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{Zn}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~W})$ | $118.1(3)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\# 1 \mathrm{x},-\mathrm{z}-1, \mathrm{y} & \# 2 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z},-\mathrm{y}-1 & \# 3 \mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}-1,-\mathrm{z}-1 \\
\# 4 \mathrm{zz}-1, \mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}-1 & \# 5 \mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}-1,-\mathrm{x}-1 & \# 6 \text {-x,-z-1,-y-1 }
\end{array}
$$

## 8. Additional X-ray Crystallographic Structures

Figure S1. Coordination environments of $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{1}$ (a) and $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ (b)
(a)

(b)


Figure S2. Schematic illustration of the connection of two neighbour small cubicuboctahedra.


## 9. Figure $\mathbf{S 3}$. Solid-state CD spectra




10. Figure S4. TGA curves

11. Figure S5. PXRD patterns
As As synthes

## 12. Figure $\mathbf{S 6}$. The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ adsorption isotherms


13. Figure S 7 . Proposed catalytic mechanism of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixation reaction catalyzed by 1


Based on the crystal structure, a possible mechanism was proposed for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fixation reaction by 1 (Figure S8). Firstly, the epoxide ring is activated by coordinating with open $\mathrm{Zn}^{\text {II }}$ Lewis sites and also forming hydrogen bonds with the - OH functional groups at the ligands. Subsequently, the $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$from co-catalyst TBAB attack the less-hindered carbon atoms of both the coordinated and hydrogen-bonded epoxides. This process can be confirmed by the enantioretentive fashion when using $(+)-(S)$-styrene epoxed as reactant. Then the result oxygen anions rapidly react with the surrounding $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules within the framework to generate alkycarbonate anions. Cyclization of alkycarbonate anions is achieved to form the corresponding cyclic carbonates.
14. Table S4. Results of recycle experiments

| $\stackrel{0}{\circ}+$ | $\frac{0.2 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{RT} . / 1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Catalyst | Yield/\% |
| 1 | 1 (run 1) | 96 |
| 2 | 1 (run 2) | 96 |
| 3 | 1 (run 3) | 95 |
| 4 | 1 (run 4) | 94 |
| 5 | 1 (run 5) | 92 |
| 6 | 2 (run 1) | 94 |
| 7 | 2 (run 2) | 93 |
| 8 | 2 (run 3) | 94 |
| 9 | 2 (run 4) | 92 |
| 10 | 2 (run 5) | 90 |

15. Figure S8. NMR spectra





220 \begin{tabular}{lllllllll}

\& 200 \& 180 \& 160 \& 140 \& | 120 |
| :---: |
| f1 (ppm) | \& 80 \& 60 \& 40

\end{tabular}




16. Figure S9. ESI-MS spectra
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