COMMUNICATION

Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI)

Materials and sample preparation

Characterization of the ATPS

The ATPS system used in our study consists of PEG 4.6 kDa (polyethylene glycol) and dextran 10 kDa, a glucose polymer, and has been characterized in detail und used for various biochemical applications by Keating *et al.*² The phase diagram of the ATPS was determined by cloudpoint titration (Figure SI 1). To this end, solutions with different concentrations of dextran in buffer were titrated against a 20 wt% PEG buffer solution until turbidity was clearly visible. After determination of the binodal for PEG (4.6 kDa) and dextran (10 kDa) in our buffer used, the composition of the ATPS chosen for the smFRET measurements was set to 11 wt% PEG and 11 wt% dextran.



Figure SI 1: Phase diagram of aqueous two-phase systems of PEG 4.6 kDa and dextran 10 kDa. Blue triangles: in H_2O at 25 °C (data replotted from reference 2); red squares: in buffer at 22 °C (this work). Green cross: composition of the ATPS used in this work. The dashed lines represent the respective binodal coexistence curves. Compositions above the binodal curve form two-phase systems.

COMMUNICATION

Microscopy

Light microscopy images were recorded using a Nikon inverted microscope (ECLIPSE TE2000-U) equipped with a digital camera. Fluorescence images were recorded with a Nikon Eclipse TE-300 inverted microscope equipped with a CCD camera (Andor iXon Ultra, Acal BFi, Germany) and a mercury-vapor lamp (Hg 100 W, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). ATPS samples were first thoroughly stirred and then sucked into a square-shaped flexible fused silica microcapillary with an outer diameter of 360 µm and an inner diameter of 50 µm. The equilibrium of the phase separation inside the capillary was reached after 15 min.

Single-molecule Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (smFRET)

The smFRET measurements were performed on a commercial time-resolved confocal fluorescence microscope (PicoQuant, MicroTime 200) using the pulsed interleaved excitation FRET (PIE-FRET) technique and time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) detection. Detailed information of our setup and analysis methods can be found in references 3-5. High pressure smFRET measurements were carried out under freely diffusing conditions in the same capillary. The optical window thickness of the capillary is 150 μ m. A detailed description of the high-pressure cell including its optimization and optical characterization was published by us in a recent report.⁴ The capillary is glued into a pressure plug which is connected to a translation table that allows movements in *x*-, *y*-, and *z*-direction as well as rotations with high precision. Thereby, we were able to adjust the confocal plane of the microscope to the inside of a droplet of the ATPS.

Additional figures



Figure SI 2: Pressure dependence of InK of the unfolding equilibrium of the DNA hp. $K = f_{open}/f_{closed}$, with f_{open} and f_{closed} representing the fraction of the open and closed conformation, respectively. Black dots: neat buffer; red squares: ATPS; green triangles: 11 wt% dextran; blue rhomb: 11 wt% PEG. Data points represent mean ± sd of three independent measurements.





Figure SI 3: Pressure dependent smFRET histograms of the DNA hp in different media: (A) neat buffer, (B) ATPS consisting of 11 wt% PEG, 11 wt% dextran and buffer, (C) 11 wt dextran in buffer, (D) 11 wt PEG in buffer. The upper pressure limit varies due to experimental restrictions (the sealing of the pressure plug varies in quality).

COMMUNICATION

Journal Name



Figure SI 4: Pressure dependent smFRET histograms of the DNA hp in 30 wt dextran in buffer. Owing to the strong excluded volume effect of the macromolecular crowding agent dextran, the conformational equilibrium between the open and the closed state is not effected by the increasing pressure.

References

- 1 L. E. Baltierra-Jasso, M. J. Morten, L. Laflör, S. D. Quinn, and S. W. Magennis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 16020–16023.
- 2 M. S. Long, C. D. Jones, M. R. Helfrich, L. K. Mangeney-Slavin, and C. D. Keating, PNAS, 2005, 102, 5920-5925.
- 3 S. Patra, V. Schuabb, I. Kiesel, J.-M. Knop, R. Oliva and R. Winter, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2019, 47, 981–996.
- 4 S. Patra, C. Anders, P. H. Schummel, and R. Winter, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, 20, 13159–13170.
- 5 S. Patra, C. Anders, N. Erwin, and R. Winter, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 5045–5049.