Supporting Information for

Hollow-Sphere Iron Oxides Exhibiting Enhanced Cycling Performance as Lithium-Ion

Battery Anodes

Qingqing Ren, Yaping Zhang, Chang Liu, Yi Han, Zhenbo Wang* and Zhan Lin*

Experimental Section

Synthesis of Fe₂O₃ hollow microspheres

Chemicals were purchased from Sinopharm chemical reagent Co., Ltd and with analytical grade. 60 ml of aqueous solution with 0.5 g of potassium ferricyanide and 0.075 g of ammonium dihydrogen phosphate was poured into an autoclave with 80 ml of volume, and maintained at 180 °C for 24 h to synthesize the precursor. Then, the brick-red precursor was washed, dried and heated in air at 500 °C for 2 h with a heating ramp of 5 °C min⁻¹ to convert Fe_2O_3 hollow microspheres.

Material characterization

The samples were investigated by X-ray diffraction (a step width of 2° , Cu K α radiation, Bruker D8) and photoelectron spectroscopy (Al X-ray source, Physical Electronics PHI5700ESCA), scanning (HITACHI S-4800) and transmission (JEM-2100) electron microscopy, N₂ adsorption isotherms (Quantachrome NovaWin) and thermal gravimetric analysis in air (a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹, NETZSCH TG 209F3) to obtain the information about structure, element valence, surface area and pore size.

Electrochemical test

The working electrodes were fabricated with a slurry of 70% active materials, 20% acetylene black and 10% binder (SBR : CMC = 1 : 1, wt%) in deionized water coated on copper foil. After dried, the loading mass of active materials was ~1.5 mg cm⁻². The half-cell configuration (CR-2025) was assembled with electrolyte of 1 M LiPF₆ in EC and DMC (1 : 1 vol%) and lithium foil as the counter electrode. The potential range of 0.01 V- 3 V was for electrochemical tests. The frequency range of 100 KHz - 0.01 Hz was for electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and the cell rested for 8 h before test. The operations were performed by using an Ar-filled glovebox (Mikrouna, German), an electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, China) and NEWARE battery testers at room temperature.



Fig. S1 a) TGA with a heating ramp of 5 °C min⁻¹, b) XRD patterns, c) N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms, and d) pore size of Fe₂O₃ hollow microspheres.



Fig. S2 SEM images of Fe_2O_3 anodes after the first lithiated at low current densities of 0.1 A g⁻¹.



Fig. S3 TEM images of Fe_2O_3 hollow microspheres at various cycle number: a) original; b) activated; c) 20-cycled.



Fig. S4 TEM images of a) 50- and b) 250-cycled Fe₂O₃ materials at the delithiated state.



Fig. S5 Nyquist plots at various voltages (0.8 V, 0.5 V and 0.01 V) during the first lithiation, and the insets of equivalent circuit and fitted impedance data.



Fig. S6 XPS spectra of the first lithiated (blue) and delithiated (pink) Fe_2O_3 , and the enlarged view of the green dashed line associated with F (LiF), which reveals a multilayer structure of SEI layer on surface of Fe_2O_3 materials.



Fig. S7 SEM images of Fe_2O_3 anodes after the first lithiated at high current densities of 1 A g⁻¹.



Fig. S8 a) Discharge-charge profiles, and b) cycling performance at 1 C of LiFePO₄ cathodes.

LIB anodes	Cycling performance	References
Fe ₂ O ₃ hollow spheres	829 mAh g ⁻¹ after 1000 cycles at 1 A g ⁻¹	This work
Carbon-coated Fe ₂ O ₃ nanofibers	1252 mAh g ⁻¹ after 200 cycles at 1 A g ⁻¹	[S1]
Hierarchical Fe ₂ O ₃ @C@MnO ₂ @C multishell nanocomposities	850 mAh g ⁻¹ after 100 cycles at 0.5A g ⁻¹	[S2]
Spindle-like Sn-doped Fe ₂ O ₃	805 mAh g ⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g ⁻¹	[S3]
Fe ₂ O ₃ /SnSSe hexagonal nanoplates	755 mAh g ⁻¹ after 1000 cycles at 0.2 A g ⁻¹	[S4]
Fe ₂ O ₃ -carbon fiber composites	634 mAh g ⁻¹ after 150 cycles at 0.05 A g ⁻¹	[S5]
Fe ₂ O ₃ -MnO ₂ hollow spheres	494 mAh g ⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 0.5 A g ⁻¹	[S6]
Flower-like FeS/Fe ₂ O ₃ composite	460 mAh g ⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 0.2 A g ⁻¹	[S7]
SnO ₂ -Fe ₂ O ₃ -C hollow spheres	415 mAh g ⁻¹ after 1000 cycles at 2 A g ⁻¹	[S8]
Fe ₂ O ₃ @N-doped carbon foam	372 mAh g ⁻¹ after 500 cycles at 1 A g ⁻¹	[S9]
Fe ₂ O ₃ nanocones	276 mAh g ⁻¹ after 1000 cycles at 5 A g ⁻¹	[S10]

References

- S1 L. Yin, Y. J. Gao, I. Jeon, H. Yang, J. P. Kim, S. Y. Jeong and C. R. Cho, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, 356, 60-68.
- S2 Y. Zhang, Q. Li, J. Liu, W. You, F. Fang, M. Wang and R. Che, *Langmuir*, 2018, 34, 5225-5233.
- S3 L. Zhang, Z. Gao, H. Xie, C. Wang, L. Li and Z. Su, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, 20, 3043-3048.
- S4 Y.F. Zhang, J. Yang, Y.Z. Zhang, C.C. Li, W. Huang, Q.Y. Yan and X.C. Dong, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2018, 10, 12722-12730.
- S5 C. Sun, S. Chen and Z. Li, Appl. Surface Sci., 2018, 427, 476-484.
- S6 D.X. Wang, Y. Wang, Q.Y. Li, W.B. Guo, F.C. Zhang and S.S. Niu, J. Power Sources, 2018, 393, 186-192.
- S7 J. Wang, H. He, Z.X. Wu, J.N. Liang, L.L. Han, H.L. L. Xin, X.Y. Guo, Y. Zhu and D.L. Wang, J. Power Sources, 2018, 392, 193-199.
- S8 J. Choi, W.S. Kim and S.H. Hong, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 4370-4376.
- S9 J. Li, N. Wang, J. Deng, W.Z. Qian and W. Chu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 13012-13020.
- S10 L.H. Yin, Y. J. Gao, I. Jeon, H. Yang, J.P. Kim, S. Y. Jeong and C. R. Cho, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, 356, 60-68.