Enantioselective Aryl-Aryl Coupling facilitated by

Chiral Binuclear Gold Complexes

Jonas Himmelstrup^a, Mikkel B. Buendia^a, Xingwen Sun^b, Søren Kramer^{*,a}

^a Department of Chemistry, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

^b Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, Shanghai 200433, China

Supporting Information

Table of Contents

1.	General Information	S2
2.	General Procedure for Synthesis of Chiral Gold Complexes	S 3
3.	General Procedure for Enantioselective Aryl-Aryl Coupling facilitated	
	by Chiral Gold Complexes	S4
4.	¹ H and ³¹ P NMR of Previously Uncharacterized Gold Complexes and	
	³¹ P NMR for Previously Characterized Complexes	S 5
5.	HPLC Traces of Biaryl Product (2) isolated from the Aryl-Aryl	
	Coupling Reactions in Table 2	S14
6.	³¹ P NMR Spectra of All Transmetalation	S22
7.	References	S29

1. General Information

¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Ascend 400 spectrometer. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to solvent residual peak.¹ The peak patterns are indicated as follows: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets; dt, doublet of triplets. The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with a chiral stationary phase. Mass spectrometry was performed on either a Waters AQUITY UPLC system equipped with PDA and SQD2 electrospray (ESI) MS detector (direct injection). Dichloromethane and toluene were dried/purified using the solvent purification system Puresolv MD-7. DMS•AuCl, ligands, and CsF were used as received without further purification. 2-Methoxynaphthaleneboronic acid was repurified by washing the solid with CH₂Cl₂:hexane (1:2). DMS•AuCl, CsF (99.9% trace metal basis), and 2-methoxynaphthaleneboronic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ligands were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich: ((*R*)-BINAP, (*S*)-BINAP, (*R*)-Tol-BINAP, (*S*)-Xyl-BINAP, (*R*)-H₈-BINAP, (*R*)-SEGPHOS, (*R*)-DM-SEGPHOS, (*R*)-DTBM-SEGPHOS, (*R*)-DIFLUORPHOS, (*R*)-C₃-TUNEPHOS); and TCI Chemicals: ((*S*)-Xyl-BINAP). PhICl₂ was prepared according to literature procedure.²

2. General Procedure for Synthesis of Chiral Gold Complexes

In an argon-filled glovebox, DMS•AuCl (0.250 mmol; 2.00 equiv), bisphosphine ligand (0.125 mmol; 1.00 equiv), and CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL; 0.05M relative to DMS•AuCl) was added to a 20 mL vial. The vial was capped with a PTFE-lined septum cap, and then removed from the glovebox. After stirring 1 hour at room temperature, hexane (3 mL) was added, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. After drying in vacuo overnight, the complex was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 , filtered through 2-3 syringe filters (0.22 µm), and then concentrated again. The resulting colorless solid was recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 /hexane.

Most of the gold complexes have been characterized previously: BINAP(AuCl)₂³, (*R*)-Tol-BINAP(AuCl)₂³, (*S*)-Xyl-BINAP(AuCl)₂⁴, (*R*)-H₈-BINAP(AuCl)₂⁵, (*R*)-SYNPHOS(AuCl)₂⁶, (*R*)-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂⁷, (*R*)-Xyl-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂⁸, (*R*)-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂⁴, (*R*)-DTBM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂⁴, and (*R*)-DIFLUORPHOS(AuCl)₂⁶. For these complexes, the spectroscopic data were in accordance with the previously characterized complexes. See Table S-1 (Section 2) and Section 6 for ³¹P NMRs and chemical shifts for all complexes.

Characterization of New Complexes

(*R*)-Tol-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂

The title complex was obtained in 65% yield (90 mg) as a colorless solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.53 (dt, *J* = 8.2, 2.6 Hz, 2H), 7.39 – 7.26 (m, 8H), 7.22 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.4 Hz, 4H), 6.98 – 6.91 (m, 4H), 2.99 (s, 6H), 2.39 (s, 6H), 2.35 (s, 6H). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.6. MS (ESI) *m*/*z* (M–Cl⁻) calcd for C₄₂H₄₀Au₂ClO₂P₂: 1067, found 1067.

(*R*)-C₃-TUNEPHOS(AuCl)₂

The title complex was obtained in 81% yield (107 mg) as a colorless solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.3 Hz, 4H), 7.43 (td, J = 8.0, 2.2 Hz, 4H), 7.39 – 7.32 (m, 6H), 7.23 (td, J = 7.8, 2.4, 4H), 7.18 – 7.09 (m, 4H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (dd, J = 10.7, 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (dt, J = 11.8, 5.7 Hz, 2H), 3.95 (dt, J = 11.8, 4.4 Hz, 2H), 1.67 – 1.58 (m, 2H). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.0. MS (ESI) m/z (M–Cl⁻) calcd for C₃₉H₃₂Au₂ClO₂P₂: 1023, found 1023.

(*R*)-DM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂

The title complex was obtained in 86% yield (128 mg) as a colorless solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.21 (s, 2H), 7.17 (s, 2H), 7.08 (s, 2H), 6.99 (s, 4H), 6.96 (s, 2H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.77 (dd, *J* = 11.6, 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.71 (s, 2H), 4.93 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 12H), 2.27 (s, 12H). ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.6. MS (ESI) *m*/*z* (M–Cl⁻) calcd for C₄₆H₄₄Au₂ClO₄P₂: 1151, found 1151.

3. General Procedure for Enantioselective Aryl-Aryl Coupling facilitated by Chiral Gold Complexes

In an argon-filled glovebox, a 4 mL vial was charged with the chiral binuclear gold complex (0.0580 mmol; 1.00 equiv), 2-methoxy-naphthalene-1-boronic acid (35.2 mg, 0.174 mmol; 3.00 equiv), and CsF (52.8 mg, 0.348 mmol; 6.00 equiv). Then, a Teflon stir bar was added followed by CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL). The vial was capped with a PTFE-lined septum cap and removed from the glovebox. The vial was placed in an aluminum heating block on a heated stir plate, and the reaction mixture stirred at 30 °C until ³¹P NMR of an aliquot, diluted with CDCl₃, showed complete double transmetalation (48 h unless otherwise noted). The reaction mixture was cooled to -78 °C, using a dry-ice bath, followed by slow addition of a solution of PhICl₂ (31.9 mg, 0.116 mmol; 2.00 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.67 mL); addition over one minute. The reaction was stirred one hour at -78 °C, then the dry-ice bath was removed, and the reaction mixture analyzed by ¹H NMR for determination of yield and ³¹P NMR for determination of the fate of the gold complex. A portion of the reaction mixture was purified by preparative-TLC (75:25 CH₂Cl₂:hexane) for determination of the enantiomeric excess.

The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with an OD-H column (2% *i*-PrOH/hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL/min); retention times (*R*)-2: 7.07 min, (*S*)-2: 7.93 min. The absolute configuration of the enantiomers was determined by comparison of optical rotation with literature⁹ and by comparison of the retention time for an authentic sample of (*R*)-2 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2,2'-Dimethoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene $(2)^{10}$



Colorless solid. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.35-7.28 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.16 (m, 2H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 6H).

Entry	Starting Complex	L(AuCl) ₂ (ppm)	L(AuAr) ₂ (ppm)
1	(S)-BINAP(AuCl) ₂	23.2	38.1
2	(R)-BINAP(AuCl) ₂	23.2	38.2
3	(R)-Tol-BINAP(AuCl) ₂	21.7	36.5
4	(S)-Xyl-BINAP(AuCl) ₂	22.0	37.6
5	(R)-H ₈ -BINAP(AuCl) ₂	20.3	35.2
6	(R) -SYNPHOS $(AuCl)_2$	22.1	37.2
7	(R)-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl) ₂	23.1	37.8
8	(R)-Tol-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl) ₂	23.6	36.1
9	(R)-Xyl-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl) ₂	22.1	37.0 ^a
10	(R)-C ₃ -TUNEPHOS(AuCl) ₂	25.0	38.8
11	(R)-SEGPHOS(AuCl) ₂	24.8	37.9
12	(R)-DM-SEGPHOS(AuCl) ₂	23.6	37.5
13	(R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS(AuCl) ₂	27.3	40.8
14	(R)-DIFLUORPHOS(AuCl) ₂	23.6	38.0

Table S-1 ³¹P NMR Chemical Shifts for L(AuCl)₂ and L(AuAr)₂ Complexes (after transmetalation).

^a Multiple large peaks observed after transmetalation.

4. ¹H and ³¹P NMR of Previously Uncharacterized Gold Complexes and ³¹P NMR for Previously Characterized Complexes

(S)-BINAP(AuCl)₂:





(S)-Xyl-BINAP(AuCl)₂:





(*R*)-SYNPHOS(AuCl)₂:



(*R*)-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂:



(*R*)-Tol-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂:





(*R*)-Xyl-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂:



(*R*)-C₃-TUNEPHOS(AuCl)₂:





(*R*)-DM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂:



S11



(*R*)-DTBM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂:



(*R*)-DIFLUORPHOS(AuCl)₂:



5. HPLC Traces of Biaryl Product (2) isolated from the Aryl-Aryl Coupling Reactions in Table 2

Racemic Sample:



(*R*)-2 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich:





From (*R*)-BINAP(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-Tol-BINAP(AuCl)₂:



From (*S*)-Xyl-BINAP(AuCl)₂:









From (*R*)-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-Tol-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂:

Not Determined

From (*R*)-Xyl-MeO-BIPHEP(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-C₃-TUNEPHOS(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-DM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-DTBM-SEGPHOS(AuCl)₂:



From (*R*)-DIFLUORPHOS(AuCl)₂:



6. ³¹P NMR Spectra of All Transmetalations





(*R*)-BINAP(AuAr)₂:



(*R*)-Tol-BINAP(AuAr)₂:



(S)-Xyl-BINAP(AuAr)₂:



S23

(*R*)-H₈-BINAP(AuAr)₂:



(*R*)-SYNPHOS(AuAr)₂:



S24

(*R*)-MeO-BIPHEP(AuAr)₂:



(*R*)-Tol-MeO-BIPHEP(AuAr)₂:



(R)-Xyl-MeO-BIPHEP(AuAr)₂:



S26

(*R*)-SEGPHOS(AuAr)₂:



(*R*)-DM-SEGPHOS(AuAr)₂:



(*R*)-DTBM-SEGPHOS(AuAr)₂:



(*R*)-DIFLUORPHOS(AuAr)₂:



7. References

1) H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar, A. Nudelman, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 7512-7515.

2) M. Sawaguchi, S. Ayuba, S. Hara, Synthesis 2002, 13, 1802–1803.

3) M. P. Munoz, J. Adrio, J. C. Carretero, A. M. Echavarren, Organometallics 2005, 24, 1293–1300.

4) M. J. Johansson, D. J. Gorin, S. T. Staben, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 18002–18003.

5) S. Handa, D. J. Lippincott, D. H. Aue, B. H. Lipshutz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10658–10662.

6) A. D. Melhado, M. Luparia, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12638-12639.

7) E. S. Andreiadis, M. R. Vitale, N. Mezailles, X. Le Goff, P. Le Floch, P. Y. Toullec, V. Michelet, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, **39**, 10608–10616.

8) F. Kleinbeck, F. D. Toste, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9178-9179.

9) M. Genov, A. Almorin, P. Espinet, Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 9346-9352.

10) (a) J. Buter, D. Heijnen, C. Vila, V. Hornillos, E. Otten, M. Giannerini, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, **55**, 3620–3624; (b) T. Tu, Z. Sun, W. Fang, M. Xu, Y. Zhou, *Org. Lett.* 2012, **14**, 4250–4253.