HPO₃^{2–} as Building Unit for Sodium-Ion Battery Cathode: 3.1 V Operation of Na_{2-x}Fe(HPO₃)₂ (0 < x < 1)

Zihan Ma^{1†}, Laura Lander^{1†}, Shin-ichi Nishimura^{1,2}, Masashi Okubo^{1,2}, Atsuo Yamada^{1,2,*}

¹The University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan. ²ESICB, Kyoto University, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8245, Japan.

*e-mail address of corresponding author: <u>yamada@chemsys.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp</u> †These authors contributed equally

Supporting Information

Experimental Section

Synthesis: The hydrothermal synthesis method and structure of Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ have been previously reported by W. Liu *et al.*²⁰ However, we found that preparing Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ following the synthesis protocol for its Ni-based counterpart Na₂Ni(HPO₃)₂²¹ using a solvothermal approach, we obtain a higher reproducibility of the reaction outcome. 2 mmol of FeCl₂·4H₂O (Wako, 99%), 32 mmol of H₃PO₃ (Wako, 97%) and 30 mmol of NaOH (Kanto, 97%) were added into a mixed solution of 20 ml methanol (Wako) and 5 ml of distilled water. The 2mmol of L-phenylalanine (C₆H₅CH₂CH(NH₂)CO₂H) which was also added by W. Maalej *et al.* seemed to be unnecessary in our synthesis. The suspension was stirred at room temperature until it was homogeneous and then transferred into a 23 mL TeflonTM-lined Parr autoclave. Note that all the operations were conducted in an Ar filled glovebox to avoid oxidization of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺, which would lead to the formation of impurities in the final product. The under Ar sealed autoclave was heated at 150 °C for 48 hours. Recovered by filtration, the light grey-colored compound was washed with water and ethanol and then dried at 80 °C in air. In a similar way, the series of Na₂*M*(HPO₃)₂ (*M*=Mg, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) could be synthesized using the respective precursors *M*Cl₂·nH₂O. Unfortunately, the compounds with Mg, Mn, Co and Ni seemed to be electrochemically inactive.

The *in situ* carbon coating was conducted by adding 0.5 mmol sucrose to the precursor solution and stirred until homogeneous. After the thermal treatment (same conditions as described above), the formed powder was recovered and washed with water and ethanol and dried at 80 °C in air.

The chemical extraction of Na^+ from $Na_2Fe(HPO_3)_2$ was conducted by stirring 1mmol $Na_2Fe(HPO_3)_2$ and 1mmol NO_2BF_4 in acetonitrile at room temperature overnight. The compound after chemical extraction was washed with acetonitrile and dried at 80 °C in air.

Characterization: X-ray powder diffraction patterns were acquired in the 2θ range of $10-80^{\circ}$ using a Bruker AXS D8 ADVANCE powder diffractometer equipped with a Co $K\alpha$ radiation source operating at 35 kV and 40 mA. High-resolution powder X-ray diffraction pattern was measured at a synchrotron source beamline, BL-4B₂ of Photon Factory, Tsukuba, Japan. The Rietveld refinements were conducted with a computer program TOPAS-Academic V6.

⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra were collected at room temperature with a transmission optics spectrometer (Toplogic System, Inc.). A ⁵⁷Co dispersed Rh matrix was used as the radiation source and the proportional counter was used for detection of the transmitted γ-ray. α -Fe was used as a standard to calibrate velocity and isomer shift at room temperature. The model fitting was performed with MossWinn 3.0 software.

Particle morphology of powder samples was analyzed with a Hitachi S-4800 field-emission scanning electron microscope operating at 5 kV.

Electrochemistry: The working electrode for electrochemical characterization was formulated by mixing 80 wt% Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ active material, 15 wt% Ketjen-black (ECP-600JD, Lion Corp.) and 5wt% polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) binder. Prompt amounts of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) (Kanto, 99%) were added for better extension of the binder. The loading level of the electrodes for electrochemical tests

was controlled to around 5.5 mg cm⁻², while for *ex situ* XRD and *ex situ* Mössbauer the loading level was adjusted to around 10 mg cm⁻². Electrochemical tests were carried out using 2032-type coin cells. Cells were assembled in an Ar-filled glovebox, using sodium as the anode, two glass fiber filter (GB-100R, ADVANTEC) separators, and 1 M NaPF₆ in ethylenecarbonate-dimethyl carbonate (EC/DMC, volume ratio 1:1) liquid electrolyte.

Galvanostatic charge/discharge cycling was conducted with a battery charge-discharge system (TOSCAT 3100, Toyo System Co., Ltd.) in the voltage ranges 1.5-4.5 V vs. Na/Na⁺ at different rates from C/20 to C/2 at 25 °C.

Ex situ/in situ measurements: *Ex situ* studies (XRD and Mössbauer) were conducted by retrieving electrodes from the cycled half cells. The cells after running to desired states were disassembled in an Ar-filled glovebox. Positive electrodes were carefully recovered, thoroughly washed with DMC and dried. The electrodes were well-sealed in argon during all measurements.

In situ XRD was conducted using a homemade cell with a Be window on the cathode side. The cell was cycled with a battery charge-discharge system (HJ1020mSD8, Hokuto Denko Corp.) in the voltage range of 1.5–4.5 V at C/20 current rate (at 25 °C) while recording the XRD patterns with a Bruker AXS D8 ADVANCE diffractometer.



Figure S1. Power XRD pattern and pattern matching of (a) $Na_2Mg(HPO_3)_2$, (b) $Na_2Mn(HPO_3)_2$, (c) $Na_2Fe(HPO_3)_2$, (d) $Na_2Co(HPO_3)_2$, (e) $Na_2Ni(HPO_3)_2$. The red dots stand for the observed, black line for the calculated, blue line stands for difference between the observed and the calculated, green bars stand for bragg positions.



Figure S2. SEM images of (a) products without sucrose and (b) products with sucrose.



Figure S3. Charge-discharge capacity and coulombic efficiency of Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ at C/20 rate.



Figure S4. Rate capability test: discharge capacity of Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ at C/20, C/10, C/5 and C/2 rate.



Figure S5. Charge-discharge curves of $Na_2Fe(HPO_3)_2$ – hard carbon full cell between 1.5 and 4.5 V vs. Na/Na^+ at C/10 rate.

Figure S6. In situ XRD of Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ at C/20 rate.

Figure S7. X-ray diffraction patterns and Rietveld refinement of (a) pristine and (b) chemically oxidized $Na_xFe(HPO_3)_2$.

Figure S8. Rietveld refinement of chemically oxidized Na_xFe(HPO₃)₂. The *R*-indices were converged $R_{wp} = 7.63\%$, $R_p = 6.04\%$, $R_{Bragg} = 2.89\%$, and $GoF = R_{wp}/R_e = 2.61$.

Figure S9. XRD profile and pattern matching of 10-time cycled Na₂Fe(HPO₃)₂ electrode.

Sites	Wyckof	x	У	Ζ	g	B / Å ₂
	f					
Fe	4 <i>c</i>	0.15966(3)	1/4	0.63647(4)	1	1.004(8)
P1	4 <i>c</i>	0.01547(5)	-1/4	0.62828(7)	1	0.984(13)
011	4 <i>c</i>	0.10529(13)	1/4	0.41767(18)	1	1.93(4)
012	8 <i>d</i>	0.04790(10)	-0.0207(2)	0.71290(12)	1	1.80(4)
P2	4 <i>c</i>	0.18462(5)	1/4	0.00284(7)	1	1.117(13)
O21	4 <i>c</i>	0.23124(13)	1/4	0.84771(18)	1	1.80(4)
O22	8 <i>d</i>	0.28602(10)	-0.0171(2)	0.58486(12)	1	1.71(3)
Na1	4 <i>c</i>	0.09574(9)	3/4	0.22603(11)	1	1.78(2)
Na2	4 <i>c</i>	0.27994(8)	1/4	0.32671(11)	1	1.96(2)

Table S1 Wyckoff notations, fractional coordinates, occupancy factors, and atomic displacementparameters of the each atomic sites for the as prepared $Na_2Fe(HPO_3)_2^*$.

*The hydrogen atoms were omitted through the refinement.

		Percentage	IS (mm s ⁻¹)	QS (mm s ⁻¹)	LW (mm s ⁻¹)
pristine	Fe ²⁺	94.2%	1.24(3)	1.11(2)	0.35(5)
(Before cycle)	Fe ³⁺	5.8%	0.37(8)	0.24(5)	0.46(9)
After 1 st charge	Fe ³⁺	100%	0.33(1)	0.34(9)	0.37(4)
After	Fe ²⁺	75.8%	1.24(5)	1.11(4)	0.29(3)
1 st discharge	Fe ³⁺	24.2%	0.45(2)	0.39(2)	0.39(9)

Table S2. ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer parameters (isomeric shift (IS), quadrupole splitting (QS) and line width (LW)).

5	J J	
	As prepared	Oxidized
Crystal system	orthorhombic	orthorhombic
Space group	Pnma	Pnma
a / Å	12.186116(19)	12.3044(3)
<i>b</i> / Å	5.449625(7)	5.26941(13)
<i>c</i> / Å	9.170437(13)	9.07982(19)
$V/ Å^3$	609.0066(15)	588.71(2)
Ζ	4	4

 Table S3
 Symmetry and crystal lattice information

Table	S4 .	Wyckoff	notations,	fractional	coordinates,	occupancy	factors,	and	atomic	displacement
parame	eters	of the each	n atomic sit	es for the c	hemically oxi	dized Na _{2-x} F	Fe(HPO ₃)	2*.		

Sites	Wyckof	x	У	Z	g	$B / \text{\AA}_2$
	f					
Fe	4 <i>c</i>	0.16555(15)	1/4	0.61903(17)	1	1.91(5)
P1	4 <i>c</i>	0.0111(3) §	-1/4	0.6470(3)§	1	2.60(8) [†]
011	4 <i>c</i>	-0.0999(6)§	-1/4	0.5791(7) [§]	1	3.17(7)‡
012	8 <i>d</i>	0.0588(4)§	-0.0119(8)§	0.7008(5)§	1	3.17(7)‡
P2	4 <i>c</i>	0.1914(3)§	1/4	-0.0199(4) [§]	1	2.60(8) [†]
O21	4 <i>c</i>	0.2338(5)§	1/4	-0.1764(8) [§]	1	3.17(7)‡
O22	8 <i>d</i>	0.2272(4)§	0.0072(10)§	0.0593(6)§	1	3.17(7)‡
Na1	4 <i>c</i>	0.0906(5)	3/4	0.2283(7)	0.585(6)	2
Na2	4 <i>c</i>	0.2698(6)	1/4	0.3086(9)	0.489(5)	2

* The hydrogen atoms were omitted through the refinement.
† B for P were constrained to be equal.
‡ B for O were constrained to be equal.
§ P—O bond length was restrained to be 1.52±0.01 Å.