# **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Interdigitated conducting tetrathiafulvalene-based coordination networks

Damien Bechu,<sup>a</sup> Lilia S. Xie,<sup>b</sup> Nolwenn Le Breton,<sup>c</sup> Sylvie Choua,<sup>c</sup> Mircea Dincă,<sup>b</sup> Mir Wais Hosseini<sup>\*a</sup> and Stéphane A. Baudron<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Tectonique Moléculaire, UMR UdS-CNRS 7140, icFRC

Institut Le Bel, Université de Strasbourg

4 rue Blaise Pascal, CS 90032, F-67081 Strasbourg cedex, France

Fax: (+) 33 3 68 85 13 25

E-mail: hosseini@unistra.fr ; sbaudron@unistra.fr

<sup>b</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge; MA 02139, USA.

<sup>c</sup> Laboratoire POMAM, UMR CNRS 7177, Université de Strasbourg, 4 rue Blaise Pascal, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.

#### **Synthesis**

<sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were recorded at 25 °C on a Bruker AV500 (500 MHz) spectrometer with the deuterated solvent as the internal reference. NMR chemical shifts and *J* values are given in parts per million (ppm) and in Hertz, respectively. Dithiolone **2** and dithiolthione **3** were prepared as described.<sup>1,2</sup> All other reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received.



EDT-TTF 1:

Dithiolone **2** (500 mg; 1.4 mmol; 1eq) and dithiolthione **3** (333 mg; 1.4 mmol; 1eq) were finely ground together and dissolved in dry toluene (12 mL). Then dry triethylphosphite (4 mL) was added and the mixture was heated at 110°C overnight. It was then cooled to room temperature and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was purified by

column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 95/5) to afford **1** as a red solid. (210 mg; 29 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) = 8.51 (dd, *J* = 6.1, 1.6 Hz, 4H), 7.18 (dd, *J* = 6.1, 1.6 Hz, 4H), 3.31 (s, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ (ppm) = 150.10, 145.47, 129.82, 121.93, 114.05, 30.29. HR-MS (ESI): *m/z* (M+H)<sup>+</sup> calcd. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub>: 512.8839; found 512.8834. UV-vis(CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>),  $\lambda_{max}$ /nm ( $\varepsilon$ /dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), 309 (18200), 341 (13400), 418 (2800).



Figure ESI1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **1** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature.



Figure ESI2. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **1** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature.



Figure ESI3. High-resolution mass spectrum of 1.



Figure ESI4. Cyclic voltammetry of 1 in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [5.10<sup>-4</sup> mol.L<sup>-1</sup>], 0.1M *n*Bu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> vs. Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>.

Network 4:

In a crystallization tube, a CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution (8 mL, 2.9 mM) of **1** was layered with an EtOH/ CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mL, 1/1) buffer and then an EtOH solution (8 mL, 4 mM) of  $Co(NCS)_2 \bullet (4Py)_4$ . Orange crystals were obtained after few days (11.8 mg; 33%). Elemental analysis calculated for C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>CoN<sub>6</sub>S<sub>18</sub>: C, 31.59; H, 1.75; N, 5.39. Found: C, 31.94; H, 1.82; N, 5.49. IR(ATR) v(cm<sup>-1</sup>)/strength: 2921(w); 2049(s); 1585(s); 1539; 1482(s); 1410(s); 1321(w); 1284(w); 1217(m); 1104(w); 1052(m); 1009(m); 898(w); 854(w); 812(m); 749(s); 712(s); 665(m); 491(s); 436(w).

#### Network 5 :

Under an argon atmosphere, in a crystallization tube a CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution (8 mL, 2.9 mM) of **1** was layered with an EtOH/ CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 mL, 1/1) buffer and then with an EtOH solution (8 mL, 4 mM) of Fe(NCS)<sub>2</sub>•(4Py)<sub>4</sub>. Orange crystals were obtained after few days (10 mg; 28%). Elemental analysis calculated for  $C_{41}H_{27}Cl_9FeN_6S_{18}$ : C, 31.65; H, 1.75; N, 5.40. Found: C, 32.39; H, 1.88; N, 5.63.

## Oxidation method :

The crystals were filtered and washed with 5 mL of  $CHCl_3$  and 5 mL of EtOH. The materials were soaked 5 mL in a 0.05 M of  $I_2$  of  $CHCl_3$  for 3 hours and then, the crystals were washed several times with a  $CHCl_3/EtOH$  (1/1) solution.



Figure ESI 5. Photographs of the crystals of compounds 4 (top) and 5 (bottom) before (left) and after (right) standing in a [0.05 M] I<sub>2</sub> solution in CHCl<sub>3</sub> for 3 hours.

### X-ray crystallography

Data (Table 1) were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer with Mo-K $\alpha$  radiation at 173 K. The structures were solved using SHELXS-97 and refined by full matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  using SHELXL-2014 with anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms.<sup>4</sup> In the structure of **4** and **5**, the carbon atoms of the ethylenedithio groups show disorder that has been refined over two position using the PART command. CCDC 1973791-1973793. Table 1. Crystallographic data for EDT-TTF **1** and networks **4** and **5**.

	1	4	5
Formula	$C_{18}H_{12}N_2S_8$	C41H27Cl9CoN6S18	C41H27Cl9FeN6S18
FW	512.84	1558.74	1555.66
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/c$	C2/c	<i>C</i> 2/c
<i>a</i> / Å	13.6086(6)	36.6636(17)	36.701(4)
b / Å	12.8562(6)	9.5680(5)	9.6026(9)
<i>c</i> / Å	11.7791(6)	20.1665(13)	20.2170(19)
$\beta/\circ$	97.382(2)	121.372(4)	121.187(2)
$V/Å^3$	2043.73(17)	6040.1(6)	6095.3(10)
Ζ	4	4	4
T/K	173 (2)	173 (2)	173 (2)
$\mu$ / mm <sup>-1</sup>	0.883	1.344	1.298
Refls. coll.	23088	215279	33714
Ind. refls. (R <sub>int</sub> )	6025 (0.0480)	8812 (0.0440)	8904 (0.0634)
$R_{I}$ (I>2 $\sigma$ (I)) <sup>a</sup>	0.0309	0.0604	0.0605
$wR_2$ (I>2 $\sigma$ (I)) <sup>a</sup>	0.0720	0.1663	0.1391
$R_1$ (all data) <sup>a</sup>	0.0424	0.0698	0.1056
$wR_2$ (all data) <sup>a</sup>	0.0790	0.1792	0.1610
GOF	1.020	1.064	1.032
$F_1 = \sum   F_o  -  F_c   / \sum  F_o ; \ wR_2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum wF_o^4]^{1/2}.$			

#### EPR spectroscopy

EPR spectra were recorded on an EMXplus spectrometer (Bruker Biospin GmbH), equipped with a high sensitivity resonator (4119HS-W1, Bruker) operating at X-band (*ca.* 9.4 GHz). An ESR900 cryostat (Oxford Instruments) was used for the low temperature measurements.



Figure ESI. 6. EPR spectrum of the Co material **4** in the as synthesized form recorded at 20K at a frequency of 9.3179 GHz with a power of 0.2 mW, a modulation amplitude of 0.4 mT showing the signal associated with the metal center and the absence of organic radical.



Figure ESI. 7. A) X-band EPR spectra recorded at 20 K of polycrystalline powder of **5** upon exposure to  $I_2$  vapor showing the  $1^{+\bullet}$  signature and the Fe(III) signatures. Spectra were recorded with a microwave power of 2 mW and a modulation amplitude of 0.2 mT. B) signal associated to  $1^{+\bullet}$  observed at room temperature, upon leaving polycrystalline powder of **5** in a CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution of  $I_2$  (0.05 M) for 3 hours. The spectrum was recorded with a microwave power of 4 mW and a modulation amplitude of 0.5 mT. The asterisks are associated with trace impurity of Mn(II) cations coming from the starting thiocyanate salts.

## EDX analysis

EDX analysis were performed on a Quanta FEG 250 SEM from FEI equipped with an EDAX detector.



Figure ESI. 8. EDX analysis of the Co material **4** in the oxidized form showing the presence and homogeneous distribution of iodine on the crystal surface.



Figure ESI. 9. EDX analysis of the Fe material **5** in the oxidized form showing the presence and homogeneous distribution of iodine on the crystal surface.

#### **Conductivity measurements**

For single crystal conductivity measurements, two-probe single crystal devices of **4** and **5** (assynthesized and oxidized) were fabricated by contacting single crystals with gold wires dipped in carbon paste (Electron Microscopy Sciences Graphite Conductive Adhesive 112) either along the *b* or *c* crystallographic axes (the shortest and longest crystal dimensions, respectively). The free ends of the wires were anchored to the surface of a glass slide using more carbon paste. After drying for at least one hour, the devices were contacted with gold-plated tungsten probes in a Janis Cryogenics probe station, and measurements were carried out using a Keithley 2450 sourcemeter under ambient conditions (296 K) by sweeping the voltage from -0.5 V to +0.5 V and measuring the current. Device dimensions were measured using a calibrated microscope. For pellet measurements, two-probe pressed pellet devices were made using a home-built in situ press set-up described previously.<sup>4</sup> Samples were loaded into glass tubes and pressed between stainless steel rods under approximately 200 MPa. Measurements were carried out using a Keithley 2450 sourcemeter under ambient conditions (296 K) by sweeping the voltage from -0.5 V to +0.5 V and measuring the current. Pellet thicknesses were measured using a micrometer (Mitutoyo).



Figure ESI 10. Conductivity measurements on single crystals of 4 in the as-synthesized form and oxidized along the *b* and *c* axes. Solid lines represent linear fits to the data.



Figure ESI 11. Conductivity measurements on single crystals of 5 in the as-synthesized form and oxidized along the *b* and *c* axes. Solid lines represent linear fits to the data.



Figure ESI 12. Conductivity measurements on pellets of 4 after treatment with iodine.

#### References

1. D. Bechu, G. Rogez, M. W. Hosseini and S. A. Baudron, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 14291.

2. K. B. Simonsen, N. Svenstrup, J. Lau, O. Simonsen, P. Mørk, G. J. Kristensen and J. Becher, *Synthesis*, 1996, **3**, 407.

- 3. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. C, 2015, 71, 3.
- 4. L. Sun, S. S. Park, D. Sheberla and M. Dincă, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 14772.