# Supporting Information

## Stepwise and hysteretic sorption of CO2 in a polycatenated Metal-

### **Organic Framework**

Di-Ming Chen\*and Xue-Jing Zhang

Henan Provincial Key Laboratory of Surface & Interface Science, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, Zhengzhou 450002, P. R. China. E-mail: cdm700@sina.com

#### **Experimental Section**

**Materials and Methods.** The ligand bpydbH<sub>2</sub> were prepared by a modified literature method<sup>1</sup>, and the other chemicals purchased were of reagent grade and used without further purification. Powder X-ray diffraction measurements were recorded on a Rigaku D/Max-2500 X-ray diffractometer using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. Gas adsorption experiments were performed on a gas adsorption analyzer Autosorb-IQ2 or Autosorb-IQ (Quantachrome Instruments). Ultrahigh purity N<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> were used in the adsorption study. Before measurements, the samples were soaked in methanol for 3 days to remove the solvents of high boiling point, and then filtrated and dried at room temperature. The samples were loaded in sample tubes and activated under high vacuum at 80 °C. About 140 mg of degassed samples was used for gas sorption measurements, and the weight of each sample was recorded before and after outgassing to confirm the removal of guest molecules.

Synthesis of  $\{[Zn_2(bpydb)_2(bpy)(EtOH)_2](DMF) (EtOH)\}_n$  (1). A mixture of  $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (0.045 g, 0.15 mmol), bpydbH<sub>2</sub> (0.032 g, 0.075 mmol), bpy (0.015 g, 0.1 mmol), DMF (2 mL), H<sub>2</sub>O (1 mL) and EtOH (1 mL) was added to a 5 mL glass bottle, and then heated at 80 °C for 3 days under autogenous pressure. After cooling to room temperature, yellow block crystals were obtained by filtration and washed with DMF and EtOH in sequence, and dried in air. The yield was 75% for 1 (based on based on bpydbH<sub>2</sub>). Elemental analysis calcd (%) for 1 (C<sub>67</sub>H<sub>61</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>12</sub>Zn<sub>2</sub>): C 62.62, H 4.63, N 7.63; found: C 62.41, H 4.78, N 7.59.

Synthesis of {[Cd<sub>2</sub>(bpydb)<sub>2</sub>(bpy)(MeOH)<sub>2</sub>](DMA)(MeOH)}<sub>n</sub> (2). A mixture of Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.047 g, 0.15 mmol), bpydbH<sub>2</sub> (0.032 g, 0.075 mmol), bpy (0.015 g, 0.1 mmol), DMA (4 mL), H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL) and MeOH (4 mL) was added to a 20 mL glass bottle, and then heated at 80 °C for 3 days under autogenous pressure. After cooling to room temperature, yellow block crystals were obtained by filtration and washed with DMF and EtOH in sequence, and dried in air. The yield was 35% for 2 (based on based on bpydbH<sub>2</sub>). Elemental analysis calcd (%) for 2 (C<sub>65</sub>H<sub>61</sub>Cd<sub>2</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>12</sub>): C, 57.53; H, 4.53; N, 7.23; found: C 57.69, H 4.35, N 7.68.

**X-ray Single Crystal Analysis.** Data was collected on an Agilent Technologies SuperNova Single Crystal Diffractometer at different temperatures equipped with graphite-monochromatic Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The structures were solved by SHELXS (direct methods) and refined by SHELXL (full matrix least-squares techniques) in the Olex2 package.<sup>2</sup> All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms attached to carbon were placed in geometrically idealized positions and refined using a riding model. For the highly disordered nature of the solvents, they could not be finely made out in the refinement, so the SQUEEZE<sup>3</sup> routine of PLATON<sup>4</sup> was applied to remove the diffraction contributed from the highly disordered guest molecules. The chemical formulas were determined by the combination of the crystal data, TGA, and elemental analysis.

compound	1	2
formula	$C_{67}H_{61}N_7O_{12}Zn_2$	$C_{65}H_{61}Cd_2N_7O_{12}$
fw	1287.00	1359.24
temp, K	129(2)	273.15
crystal syst	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	C2/c	Сс
<i>a</i> , (Å)	25.1240(12)	25.864(5)
<i>b</i> , (Å)	17.0584(5)	17.137(3)
<i>c</i> , (Å)	30.6102(13)	15.838(3)
α, (deg)	90.00	90.00
$\beta$ , (deg)	113.725(5)	118.80(3)
γ, (deg)	90.00	90.00
V, (Å <sup>3</sup> )	12010.1(8)	6151(2)
Ζ	8	4
$D_c (g/cm^3)$	1.292	1.330
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	0.860	0.749
R <sub>int</sub>	0.0475	0.0302
reflections collected/unique	22446/10540	8488/508
GOF on $F^2$	1.066	1.032
$R_1, wR_2 \left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]$	0.0603, 0.1589	0.0483, 0.1174
$R_1, wR_2$ (all data)	0.0844, 0.1710	0.0539, 0.1209
largest peak, hole (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.89/-0.50	0.98/-0.43

Table S1. Crystallographic data and structure refinement details for **1** and **2**.



Fig. S1 A comparison for the asymmetric units of complex 1 (left) and 2 (right).



Fig. S2 The schematic representation of the 1D channels of the 2D bilayers.





Fig. S3 The space filling mode views of 1 along *a*, *b*, *c* axis.

а



Fig. S4 TGA-DSC curves for complexes 1 and 2.



Fig. S5 TGA curves for 1 and 2 after different treatments.



Fig. S6 PXRD patterns for 1 and 2.



**Fig. S7** 195 K CO<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherms of **1a** and **1b** (closed symbols, adsorption; open symbols, desorption).



Fig. S8 273K CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms of 1a in three runs.

Calculation of sorption heat for CO<sub>2</sub> uptake using Virial model:

$$\ln p = \ln N + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i N_i \qquad Q_{st} = -R \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i N_i$$

The above equation was applied to fit the combined  $CO_2$  isotherm data for **1a** at 273 and 298 K, where *P* is the pressure, *N* is the adsorbed amount, *T* is the temperature,  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are virial coefficients, and *m* and *n* are the number of coefficients used to describe the isotherms.  $Q_{st}$  is the coverage-dependent enthalpy of adsorption and *R* is the universal gas constant.



Fig. S9 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms for 1a with fitting by Virial model.



Fig. S10 CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms for 2a with fitting by Virial model.

### **IAST Adsorption Selectivity Calculation**

The ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) was adopted based upon the experimental single gas adsorption measurements as described in the main text, including carbon dioxide and nitrogen at 273 K and 298 K, which is commonly used to predict binary mixture adsorption selectivity. Using the pure component isotherm fits, the adsorption selectivity is defined by:

$$S_{ads} = (q_1/q_2)/(p_1/p_2),$$

Where  $q_i$  is the amount of i adsorbed and  $p_i$  is the partial pressure of i in the mixture fits.



Fig.S11 IAST predicted CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> selectivity at 273 K and 298 K for 1a.

Reference:

- S1 D. Chen, X. Zhang, W. Shi, and P. Cheng, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 6261.
- S2 Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.
- S3 Spek, A. L. Acta Crystallogr. 1990, A46, 194.
- S4 PLATON; Spek, A. L. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 7.