## Tailoring the structures and gas adsorption properties of copper-bent diisophthalate frameworks by substituent-driven ligand conformation regulation strategy

Minghui He, Xiaoxia Gao, Tingting Xu, Zhenzhen Jiang, and Yabing He\* Key Laboratory of the Ministry of Education for Advanced Catalysis Materials, College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China. E-mail: <u>heyabing@zjnu.cn</u>



Fig. S1 Electronic photographs of (a) ZJNU-101, (b) ZJNU-102, and (c) ZJNU-103.



**Fig. S2** Comparison of the simulated (black) and experimental (red) PXRD patterns of (a) **ZJNU-101**, (b) **ZJNU-102**, and (c) **ZJNU-103**.



Fig. S3 TGA curves of (a) ZJNU-101, (b) ZJNU-102, and (c) ZJNU-103 under  $N_2$  atmosphere.



Fig. S4 Comparison of FTIR spectra of (a) ZJNU-101 and its corresponding ligand  $H_4L1$ , (b) ZJNU-102 and its corresponding ligand  $H_4L2$ , and (c) ZJNU-103 and its corresponding ligand  $H_4L3$ .



Fig. S5 Topological structural analyses of ZJNU-101.



Fig. S6 Topological structural analyses of ZJNU-102.



Fig. S7 Topological structural analyses of ZJNU-103.



 $S_{\text{BET}} = \frac{1}{(8.43265 \times 10^{-7} + 0.00154)}/(22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 2825 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}{S_{\text{Langmuir}}} = \frac{(1/0.00141)}{(22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 3087 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}{2}$ BET constant  $C = 1 + 0.00154/8.43265 \times 10^{-7} = 1827$ 

$$(p/p_o)_{n_m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}+1} = 0.02286$$

**Fig. S8** The consistency plot (a), BET surface area plot (b), and Langmuir surface area plot (c) for **ZJNU-101**.



 $S_{\text{BET}} = \frac{1}{(4.06687 \times 10^{-6} + 0.00168)} \times 22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18} = 2585 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}{S_{\text{Langmuir}}} = \frac{(1/0.0015)}{22414 \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} \times 0.162 \times 10^{-18}} = 2902 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}}$ BET constant  $C = 1 + 0.00168 \times 4.06687 \times 10^{-6} = 414$ 

$$(p/p_o)_{n_m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}+1} = 0.04684$$

Fig. S9 (a) The consistency plot, (b) BET surface area plot, and (c) Langmuir surface area plot for ZJNU-102.





$$(p/p_o)_{n_m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C}+1} = 0.0265$$

**Fig. S10** (a) The consistency plot, (b) BET surface area plot, and (c) Langmuir surface area plot for **ZJNU-103**.



Fig. S11 (a)  $C_2H_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , and (c)  $CH_4$  isotherms of **ZJNU-101** at three different temperatures of 278 K, 288 K, and 298 K. The solid and open symbols represent adsorption and desorption, respectively.



Fig. S12 (a)  $C_2H_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , and (c)  $CH_4$  isotherms of ZJNU-102 at three different temperatures of 278 K, 288 K, and 298 K. The solid and open symbols represent adsorption and desorption, respectively.



Fig. S13 (a)  $C_2H_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , and (c)  $CH_4$  isotherms of ZJNU-103 at three different temperatures of 278 K, 288 K, and 298 K. The solid and open symbols represent adsorption and desorption, respectively.



Fig. S14 The isosteric heat of  $C_2H_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  adsorption in (a) ZJNU-101, (b) ZJNU-102, and (c) ZJNU-103.



**Fig. S15** Comparison of the pure-component isotherm data for (a)  $C_2H_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , and (c)  $CH_4$  in **ZJNU-101** with the fitted isotherms at 278 K, 288 K, and 298 K.



**Fig. S16** Comparison of the pure-component isotherm data for (a)  $C_2H_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , and (c)  $CH_4$  in **ZJNU-102** with the fitted isotherms at 278 K, 288 K, and 298 K.



**Fig. S17** Comparison of the pure-component isotherm data for (a)  $C_2H_2$ , (b)  $CO_2$ , and (c)  $CH_4$  in **ZJNU-103** with the fitted isotherms at 278 K, 288 K, and 298 K.



**Fig. S18** The IAST-predicted adsorption selectivity for the equimolar (a)  $C_2H_2$ -CH<sub>4</sub>, and (b) CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> binary gas mixtures in **ZJNU-101** at three different temperatures of 298 K, 288 K, and 278 K.



**Fig. S19** The IAST-predicted adsorption selectivity for the equimolar (a)  $C_2H_2$ -CH<sub>4</sub>, and (b) CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> binary gas mixtures in **ZJNU-102** at three different temperatures of 298 K, 288 K, and 278 K.



**Fig. S20** The IAST-predicted adsorption selectivity for the equimolar (a)  $C_2H_2$ -CH<sub>4</sub>, and (b) CO<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>4</sub> binary gas mixtures in **ZJNU-103** at three different temperatures of 298 K, 288 K, and 278 K.











Fig. S21 <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra.

MOFs	ZJNU-101	ZJNU-102	ZJNU-103		
Empirical formula	$C_{40}H_{58}Cu_2N_6O_{17}$	$C_{44}H_{65}Cu_2N_7O_{18}$	$C_{37}H_{52}Cu_2N_6O_{16}$		
Formula weight	1022.00	1107.11	963.95		
λ (Å)	1.54178	0.71073	0.71073		
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Cubic	Hexagonal		
Space group	<i>C</i> mc2(1)	<i>Pm-3</i>	P6 <sub>3</sub> /mmc		
	<i>a</i> = 24.9038(10) Å	<i>a</i> = 25.3148(4) Å	a = 18.5762(5)  Å		
	<i>b</i> = 33.4493(12) Å	<i>b</i> = 25.3148(4) Å	b = 18.5762(5) Å		
TT-:411 J:	c = 18.3388(7) Å	c = 25.3148(4) Å	c = 23.8042(16)  Å		
Unit cell dimensions	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$	$\alpha = 90^{\circ}$		
	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$	$\beta = 90^{\circ}$		
	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$	$\gamma = 90^{\circ}$	$\gamma = 120^{\circ}$		
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	15276.5(10)	16222.7(8)	7113.7(6)		
Ζ	12	12	6		
$D_{\rm c} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	1.333	1.360	1.350		
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	1.632	0.860	0.966		
<i>F</i> (000)	6408	6960	3011		
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	2.212 to 66.489	2.276 to 28.279	2.673 to 24.994		
	$-28 \le h \le 20$	$-33 \le h \le 21$	$-16 \le h \le 22$		
Limiting indices	$-39 \le k \le 39$	$-33 \le k \le 28$	$-22 \le k \le 22$		
	$-21 \le l \le 21$	$-30 \le l \le 28$	$-28 \le l \le 28$		
Reflections collected / unique	30571 / 11714	50295 / 7179	74827 / 2361		
R <sub>int</sub>	0.0448	0.0640	0.0594		
Definement method	Full-matrix least-squares	Full-matrix least-squares	Full-matrix least-squares		
Kennement method	on $F^2$	on $F^2$	on $F^2$		
Data/restraints/parameters	11714 / 1 / 466	7179 / 0 / 176	2361 / 8 / 86		
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.004	1.021	1.089		
Final Dindiana [I > 2-(D)]	$R_1 = 0.0447$	$R_1 = 0.1166$	$R_1 = 0.0941$		
Final K mulces $[I > 20(I)]$	$wR_2 = 0.1253$	$wR_2 = 0.3343$	$wR_2 = 0.3623$		
	$R_1 = 0.0470$	$R_1 = 0.1470$	$R_1 = 0.1159$		
R indices (all data)	$wR_2 = 0.1285$	$wR_2 = 0.3882$	$wR_2 = 0.4170$		
Largest diff. peak and hole (e <sup>.</sup> Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.974 and -0.450	2.326 and -1.033	2.182 and -1.245		
CCDC	1895248	1895249	1895250		

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement for ZJNU-101, ZJNU-102, andZJNU-103.

**Table S2** Langmuir-Freundich parameters for adsorption of  $C_2H_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  in **ZJNU-101**.

Guest	$q_{\rm sat}$ (mmol g <sup>-1</sup> )	$b_0$ (kPa) <sup>-<math>\nu</math></sup>	v	$R^2$		
$C_2H_2$	20.56898	1.83018×10 <sup>-5</sup>	18.245	0.65466	0.99922	
CO <sub>2</sub>	21.01804	2.96428×10 <sup>-7</sup>	22.109	1	0.99997	
CH <sub>4</sub>	13.18114	1.6832×10 <sup>-6</sup>	14.953	1	0.99995	

**Table S3** Langmuir-Freundlich parameters for adsorption of  $C_2H_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  in **ZJNU-102**.

Guest	$q_{\rm sat}$ (mmol g <sup>-1</sup> )	$b_0$ (kPa) <sup>-<math>\nu</math></sup>	E (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	v	$R^2$		
$C_2H_2$	14.00042	1.92974×10 <sup>-5</sup>	18.863	0.69987	0.99967		
CO <sub>2</sub>	14.88163	3.02368×10 <sup>-7</sup>	23.168	1	0.99976		
CH <sub>4</sub>	13.35692	1.39833×10 <sup>-6</sup>	15.289	1	0.99997		

**Table S4** Langmuir-Freundlich parameters for adsorption of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in **ZJNU-103**.

Guest	$q_{\rm sat}$ (mmol g <sup>-1</sup> )	$b_0$ (kPa) <sup>-<math>\nu</math></sup>	E (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> )	v	$R^2$
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	14.60785	5.96129×10 <sup>-6</sup>	21.720	0.75546	0.99977
CO <sub>2</sub>	17.14944	3.96943×10 <sup>-7</sup>	22.407	1	0.99976
CH <sub>4</sub>	10.27678	1.18441×10 <sup>-6</sup>	16.689	1	0.99998

**Table S5** Summaries of textural parameters (obtained from  $N_2$  adsorption isotherms at 77 K) and gas adsorption properties of three MOFs investigated in this work.

5 /C		V	V D	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> uptake <sup><i>a</i></sup>		CO <sub>2</sub> uptake <sup><i>a</i></sup>		CH <sub>4</sub> uptake <sup>a</sup>		C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> IAST selectivity <sup>a</sup>			CO <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> IAST selectivity <sup>a</sup>					
MOFs	$S_{\text{BET}}/S_{\text{Langmuir}}$	$(am^3 a^{-1})$	$D_{\rm c}$	$(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{g}^{-1}, \mathrm{STP})$		$(\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{g}^{-1}, \mathrm{STP})$			(cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> , STP)		(v/v = 1:1)			( <i>v</i> / <i>v</i> = 1:1)				
	(mg)	(cm g)	(g chi )	298 K	288 K	278 K	298 K	288 K	278 K	298 K	288 K	278 K	298 K	288 K	278 K	298 K	288 K	278 K
ZJNU-101	2825/3087	1.101	0.690	173.5	204.9	238.7	90.8	115.6	147.0	20.6	25.2	30.6	31.5	35.3	40.4	5.27	5.88	6.66
ZJNU-102	2585/2902	1.041	0.687	157.7	180.1	203.3	91.0	113.6	139.2	19.9	24.4	29.9	30.0	32.6	36.1	5.86	6.58	7.46
ZJNU-103	2132/2502	0.894	0.762	184.5	209.8	233.9	101.3	128.4	156.0	22.2	27.4	34.0	36.2	42.3	51.0	6.01	6.66	7.48

<sup>*a*</sup> at 800 mmHg;  $S_{\text{BET}}$  = BET surface area;  $S_{\text{Langmuir}}$  = Langmuir surface area;  $V_{\text{p}}$  = pore volume;  $D_{\text{c}}$  = framework density (without solvent molecules and terminal water

molecules) derived from single-crystal X-ray structures;