## Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) for Covalently Anchoring Cobalt Phthalocyanine on Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks for Efficient Carbon Dioxide Electroreduction

Zhongjie Yang,<sup>a,b</sup> Xiaofei Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Chang Long,<sup>a,c</sup> Shuhao Yan,<sup>a</sup> Yanan Shi,<sup>a</sup> Jianyu Han,<sup>a</sup> Jing Zhang,<sup>d</sup> Pengfei An,<sup>d</sup> Lin Chang,<sup>a,b</sup> and Zhiyong Tang\*<sup>a,b</sup>

"CAS Key Laboratory of Nanosystem and Hierarchical Fabrication, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, P. R. China.
<sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.
<sup>c</sup>School of Materials Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150080, China.
<sup>d</sup>Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China. E-mail: zytang@nanoctr.cn



## Table of Contents:



Figure S1.  $^{\mathrm{h}}\mathrm{H}$  NMR spectrum of cobalt tetrraaminophthalocyanine.



**Figure S2.** (A) *Ex situ* EXAFS data (solid curve) in *R* space and best fitting result (circled curve) of pristine CoTAPc. (A) *Ex situ* EXAFS data (solid curve) in *R* space and best fitting result (circled curve) of anchored CoTAPc.



Figure S3. Energy dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping images of ZIF-90-4.



**Figure S4.** Representative NMR spectrum of the electrolyte after CO<sub>2</sub> reduction at-0.97 V *vs.* RHE. DMSO is used as an internal standard for quantification of liquid products.



**Figure S5 A-E.** CO and H<sub>2</sub> Faradaic efficiency for ZIF-90, ZIF-90-1, ZIF-90-2, ZIF-90-3 and ZIF-90-4 at different applied potentials.

During 2 h electrolysis, 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous solution electrolyte at the cathodic part was under continuously mild stir and bubbled with CO<sub>2</sub> at the speed of 20 mL/min.



**Figure S6 A-E.** Chronoamperometric curves of ZIF-90, ZIF-90-1, ZIF-90-2, ZIF-90-3 and ZIF-90-4 at different applied potentials as indicated.



Figure S7. (A). Faradaic efficiency of CO for CoTAPc and ZIF-90-4 (B). Chronoamperograms of CoTAPc and ZIF-90-4 over 24 h at -0.97 V vs. RHE.



Figure S8. Partial CO current density of ZIF-90, ZIF-90-1, ZIF-90-2, ZIF-90-3 and ZIF-90-4.



Figure S9. (A) PXRD patterns of ZIF-90-4 before and after electrolysis. (B) SEM image of ZIF-90-4 after electrolysis. The crystal structure of

ZIF-90-4 after 48 h electrocatalysis at a given potential of -0.97 V keeps unchanged. The dodecahedron morphology is well maintained

after 48 h electrocatalytic electrolysis at a given potential of -0.97 V.



Figure S10 (A). The CO and (B) H<sub>2</sub> TONs of ZIF-90-1, ZIF-90-2, ZIF-90-3 and ZIF-90-4 at different applied potentials for 2 h electrolysis.

Samples	ZIF-90-1	ZIF-90-2	ZIF-90-3	ZIF-90-4
Experimental Co (wt.%)	0.46	0.83	1.81	2.61
Calculated Co (wt.%)	0.44	0.88	1.76	2.64
After electrolysis Co (wt.%)	0.39	0.81	1.68	2.59

 $\label{eq:stable} \textbf{Table S1.} \ \texttt{Calculated and experimental Co element content in CoTAPc-ZIF-90.}$ 

Samples	Path	C. N.	R (Å)	$\sigma^2 \times 10^3$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	$\Delta E$ (eV)	R factor	
Pristine	Co-N	3.7 $\pm$ 0.9	$1.92 \pm 0.01$	3.4±1.8	$3.2 \pm 3.4$	- 0.007	
CoTAPc	Со-С	4.5 $\pm$ 2.7	$2.93 \pm 0.03$	8.0±4.6	5.2 $\pm$ 4.9		
Anchored	Co-N	4.0±0.7	$1.91 \pm 0.01$	2.4 $\pm$ 1.	$7.4 \pm 2.7$	0.006	
CoTACo	Со-С	4.3±2.4	2.94 $\pm$ 0.03	$7.8 \pm 4.5$	9.2 $\pm$ 4.0	0.006	

Table S2. Fitting parameters of Co K-edge EXAFS curves for pristine CoTAPc and anchored CoTACo.

 $*S_0^2 = 0.9$ 

## Method: Calculation of turnover numbers

Turnover number (TON) is defined as the mole of reduction product generated per electrocatalytic active site over a given period of time. In this work, bulk electrocatalysis was performed at different applied potentials for 2 h.

The total amount of Co in ZIF-90-4 was calculated as follows:

$$n_{Tot} = \frac{mw_{Co}}{A} = \frac{0.75 \ mg \times 2.61\%}{58.93 \ g/mol} = 3.32 \times 10^{-7} mol$$

where  $n_{Tot}$  was the total amount of Co on the working electrode, m was the mass of the hybrid electrocatalyst loaded on the working electrode,  $w_{Co}$  was the weight fraction of Co in the hybrid electrocatalyst determined from the ICP analysis, and A was the atomic weight of Co.

The calculation of TONs:

$$TONs = \frac{i_{Tot \times FE_{product} \times t}}{2F \times n_{Tot}}$$

Where  $i_{Tot}$  was the total current,  $FE_{product}$  was the Faradaic efficiency of CO and H<sub>2</sub>, t was the electrolysis time, and F was the Faradaic constant.

We took the calculation of TONs at -0.97 V for 2 h electrolysis for ZIF-90-4 as an example. The recorded total current was 14.28 mA (Figure S 6E). TONs were calculated by assuming that all Co sites were involved in CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrocatalysis:

$$TONs = \frac{i_{Tot \times FE_{CO} \times t}}{2F \times n_{Tot}} = \frac{14.28 \ mA \times 90\% \times 7200 \ s}{2 \times 96485C/mol \times 3.32 \times 10^{-7}mol} = 1946$$