## **Supporting Information**

## Synergetic Promotion by Oxygen Doping and Ca Decoration on

## Graphene for CO<sub>2</sub> Selective Adsorption

Anqi Liu<sup>†</sup>, Jun Long<sup>†</sup>, Shandong Yuan<sup>‡</sup>, Wanglai Cen<sup>\*,‡,§</sup>, Jianjun Li<sup>\*,†</sup>

†. College of Architecture and Environmental and National Engineering Research Center for Flue

Gas Desulfurization, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China

‡. Institute of New Energy and Low Carbon Technology, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610207,

China

§. Department of Chemistry and Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, University of

Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA

\*corresponding authors

Dr. Wanglai Cen (cengwanglai@163.com)

Prof. Dr. Jianjun Li (jjli@scu.edu.cn)



**Fig. S1** Relaxed local geometric structures for DVG (a), Be-decorated DVG (b), Mg-decorated DVG (c), Ca-decorated DVG (d), Sr-decorated DVG (e) and Ba-decorated DVG (f), respectively.



Fig. S2 Relaxed structures for two possible horizontal (h) and vertical (v) configurations of  $CO_2$  molecule adsorbed on Be and Ca decorated DVG.

To investigate the adsorption behaviors of  $CO_2$  molecules on the alkali earth metal-decorated DVG, it is necessary to determine their optimal adsorption configurations. Considering Be and Ca systems as examples, two possible horizonal and vertical configurations of the  $CO_2$  molecule were considered and are shown in Fig. S2 (v and h). The results indicate that the two horizonal configurations (b and d) are both slightly preferred in the exothermic and thermodynamic aspects. On the other hand, the relaxed  $CO_2$  molecules are inclined to tilt as an oxygen atom approaches the decorated metal, suggesting the major role of the oxygen atom. Hence, the initial configuration of the  $CO_2$  molecule is designed to be tilted. These four structural relaxations are converged to 0.01 eV/Å, ignoring the zeropoint energy (ZPE) correction.



Fig. S3 Relations of  $CO_2$  adsorption energy and AEM-O<sup>1</sup> distance, with the radius of metal atom revised or not.

To further study the relations between  $CO_2$  adsorption energy and the adsorption phenomenon, the radius of metal atom is taken into account to revise the AEM-O<sup>1</sup> distance. As shown in Fig. S3, when the O<sup>1</sup>-metal distance is revised by eliminating the radius of metal atom, the adsorption energy monotonically increases (release more energy) as the O<sup>1</sup>-metal distance decreases. Without radius correction, the situation for Be\_DVG is an exception.



**Fig. S4** Optimized structures of B-doped DVG (b, f), N-doped DVG (c, g), O-doped DVG (d, h) and S-doped DVG (e, i) in Py-type and Gr-type, respectively. All lengths are given in Å.



**Fig. S5** PDOS of the Ca adatom and heteroatoms doped in Ca\_PyNDVG (a), Ca\_PyBDVG (b), Ca\_PySDVG (c), Ca\_DVG (d), and Ca\_PyODVG (e) before CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption. The insets are the decomposed charge density corresponding to the labeled two peaks in sequence.



**Fig. S6** PDOS of a CO<sub>2</sub> molecule interacting with Ca adatom anchored on Ca\_PyNDVG (a), Ca\_PyBDVG (b), Ca\_PySDVG (c), Ca\_DVG (d) and Ca\_PyODVG (e) after CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption. The insets are the decomposed charge density corresponding to the labeled three peaks ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ ) in sequence.



**Fig. S7** Average potentials of seven kinds of graphene substrates. Fermi level and the values of work functions are marked, respectively.

AEMs	Slab	$E_{f-21}$ (eV)	$E_{f-31}(eV)$	$E_{f-32}(eV)$
Be	DVG	5.98	3.94	-2.04
Ca	DVG	1.30	2.70	1.40
Ca	PyODVG	0.40	1.65	1.25
Sr	DVG	1.29	2.74	1.45
Ba	DVG	1.54	2.35	0.81

**Table S1** Formation energies  $(E_f)$  required for AEMs to form a dimer and trimer on DVG or O-doped DVG.

The formation energies (Ef) form single metal atom to dimer and trimer are defined as:

$$E_{f-21} = E_{dimer\_slab} - (2 \times E_{single_{slab}} - E_{slab})$$

$$E_{f-31} = E_{trimer\_slab} - (3 \times E_{single_{slab}} - 2 \times E_{slab})$$

Then, the formation energy from dimer or trimer can be calculated by:

 $E_{f-32} = E_{f-31} - E_{f-21}$ Where  $E_{slab}$ ,  $E_{single\_slab}$ ,  $E_{dimer\_slab}$  and  $E_{trimer\_slab}$  refer to the energy of graphene slab, a single metal atom, metal dimer and trimer decorated slab, respectively.

As listed in Table S1, all the positive values indicate the corresponding formation processes are thermodynamically unfavorable. For Be bound DVG, although the formation energy from dimer to timer is negative, the formation referring to single atom dispersion is positive. Consequently, all the four alkali earth metals prefer to form single metal atom dispersion.

<b>Doing-types</b>		$E_d$ (eV)	Substrates	$E_b(eV)$	$E_{co}(\mathrm{eV})$	Stability
BDVG	PyBDVG	1.02	Ca_PyBDVG	-4.05		acceptable
	GrBDVG	4.49	-	-		-
NDVG	PyNDVG	-3.36	Ca_PyNDVG	-6.37		acceptable
	GrNDVG	2.84	-	-	1 20	-
ODVG	PyODVG	-9.20	Ca_PyODVG	-2.01	-1.89	acceptable
	GrODVG	1.50	-	-		-
SDVG	PySDVG	-0.92	Ca_PySDVG	-2.79		acceptable
	GrSDVG	10.31	-	-		-

Table S2 Selection of doping-types for heteroatoms, and their stability testing.

 Table S3 Bader charge of the selected atoms in different substrates.

Substrates	Adsorption state	∆q (4∗Pya)	∆q (Ca)	$\Delta \mathbf{q}$ (CO <sub>2</sub> )
	before adsorption	-0.89	1.45	-
Ca_DVG	after adsorption	-0.89	1.46	0.04
Ca_PyNDVG	before adsorption	-4.94	1.50	-
	after adsorption	-4.90	1.50	0.04
	before adsorption	4.36	1.41	-
Ca_PyBDvG	after adsorption	4.37	1.43	0.03
Ca_PySDVG	before adsorption	0.06	1.42	-
	after adsorption	-0.01	1.43	0.01
Ca_PyODVG	before adsorption	-4.35	1.47	-
	after adsorption	-4.35	1.57	-0.70

Here,  $\Delta q$  stands for the total charge of the selected atoms and is given in e. A negative value means the electron acquirement.

4\*Pya represents the four atoms in the pyridine position. The atoms are C, N, B, S and O atoms in Ca\_DVG, Ca\_PyNDVG, Ca\_PyBDVG, Ca\_PySDVG and Ca\_PyODVG, respectively.

The Bader charge listed in Table S2 shows that the  $\Delta q$  values of all selected atoms has no evident change before and after CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption, except for those of Ca and CO<sub>2</sub> in Ca\_PyODVG. By comparison, it is found that CO<sub>2</sub> obtains 0.70e, while the Ca atom loses 0.10e. Given that the oxygen atoms in the pyridine position have no electron gain or loss, the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule still needs to accept a large number of electrons from the carbon atoms on graphene.

**Table S4** Adsorption energies ( $E_{ads}$ ) of CO<sub>2</sub> on GP, DVG and heteroatom-doped DVG.

Configurations	GP	DVG	PyBDVG	PyNDVG	PyODVG	PySDVG
$E_{ads(eV)}$	-0.14	-0.12	-0.15	-0.28	-0.16	-0.08

As listed in Table S4, all the adsorption energies of  $CO_2$  on pristine graphene, DVG and heteroatom-doped DVG are rather limited. Therefore, our work aims to enhance the adsorption by introducing AEM atoms.

**Table S5** Adsorption energies of four different gases on Ca-decorated graphene and the selectivityfactors of  $CO_2$  to competitive gases.

Configurations	Gas adsorption energies (eV)					
Configurations	$CO_2$	$N_2$	CH <sub>4</sub>	$H_2$		
Ca_DVG	-0.45	-0.28	-0.28	-0.11		
Ca_PyODVG	-0.74	-0.58	-0.44	-0.21		