## **Supporting Information Section**

Interplay of Exciton – Excimer Dynamics in 9,10-Diphenylanthracene Nanoaggregate and Thin Film Revealed by Time Resolved Spectroscopic Studies

Amitabha Nandi,<sup>a,b</sup> Biswajit Manna<sup>a</sup> and Rajib Ghosh<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Radiation and Photochemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai 400085, India

<sup>b</sup>Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai - 400 094, India

**Table S1:** Details of crystal parameters as reported earlier<sup>1-3</sup>

System	a	b	с	beta
Anthracene	8.56 Å	6.04 Å	11.16 Å	124º
9,10-DPA	10.7 Å	13.57 Å	12.29 Å	90.65°



**Figure S1:** Emission spectrum recorded after excitation with 360 nm light and excitation spectrum recoded for 440 nm and 550 nm emission for DPA crystal sample .Inset: Image of

solution grown DPA crystal. Weaker emission oat 440 nm originates from excitonic states and strong emission at 550 nm originates from excimer state. Identical excitation spectra recorded at 440 nm and 550 nm suggest that both emission bands from the same initial state. Time resolved emission studies, as shown below (Figure S2), confirms that exciton state relaxes to excimer state



Figure S2: TRANES spectra observed for (A) DPA NA-2 and (B) DPA crystal



**Figure S3:** (*A*)*Temporal profiles recorded at 430 nm for different excitation energy with 390 nm light for 9,10-DPA thin film and in (B)*  $k_2'$  vs.  $[S_1]_0$  plot for 9,10-DPA thin film sample. The excitation energy per pulse associated with each data point are provided.

**Table S2:** *Fitting parameters for temporal profiles recorded at 430 nm for different excitation energies with DPA NA-1 sample.* 

Pulse/Energy	I <sub>0</sub>	Residual	k <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{k}_1$
11	449.5	86.6	0.17	$0.003 \pm 0.0003$
9	428.73	99.42	0.12	
7	411	110.02	0.09	
4.5	416	92.45	0.055	

**Table S3:** *Fitting parameters for temporal profiles recorded at 430 nm for different excitation energies with DPA TF sample.* 

Pulse/Energy	I	Residual	k <sub>2</sub>	k1
1 4115 67 21161 85	-0	1001000	2	
0	1	0.031	0.45	$0.0027 \pm 0.0003$
,	1	0.051	0.45	$0.0027 \pm 0.0003$
75	1	0.054	0.27	
1.5	1	0.054	0.37	
6	1	0.065	0.000	
6		0.065	0.292	
4.5	1	0.012	0.15	
	_			
3	1	0.015	0 1 2 4	
5	1	0.015	0.121	
15	1	0 10	0.0732	]
1.5		0.19	0.0732	

Other parameters required for exciton density calculation: Abs=0.41 Thickness =100 nm, Beam dia=0.5 mm

## Calculation S1:Calculation of exciton density $([S_1]_0)$ in fluorescence upconversion experiment.

A representative procedure of exciton density estimation is shown for excitation energy 11  $\mu$ J/pulse.

The excitation pulse energy=11  $\mu$ J at  $\lambda_{ex}$ =390 nm corresponds to number of photon per pulse =  $2.16 \times 10^{13}$ 

Absorbance of the sample was 0.22 i.e. 40 % of incident photon are absorbed or  $0.86 \times 10^{13}$  number of photon are absorbed per pulse.

The volume of excitation region  $=\pi r^2 d=19.63 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3$  corresponding to beam diameter (2r) = 0.5 mm, path length (d) =1 mm

Average volume of each aggregate= $\pi R^2 h = 1.54 \times 10^7 \text{ nm}^3$  [R=275 nm, h= 65 nm obtained from AFM experiment]

Number of DPA molecule present per aggregate = $4.97 \times 10^7$  considering volume of each DPA molecule to be about 0.31 nm<sup>3</sup> estimated from molecular volume calculation following Edward et. Al.<sup>4</sup>

Number of DPA molecule present in excitation region=Concentration x volume (in lit.) × 6.023  $\times 10^{23} = 2 \times 10^{-4} \times 19.63 \times 10^{-8} \times 6.023 \times 10^{23} = 23.6 \times 10^{12}$  [Sample Concentration =0.2 mM]

Total number of aggregate present in the excitation region=  $23.6 \times 10^{12}/4.97 \times 10^7 = 4.76 \times 10^5$ Number of photons absorbed by each particle of the nanoaggregate =  $0.86 \times 10^{13}/4.76 \times 10^5 = 1.01 \times 10^7$ 

 $1.81 \times 10^{7}$ 

Exciton density ( $[S_1]_0$ ) = 1.81 × 10<sup>7</sup>/ 1.54 × 10<sup>7</sup> nm<sup>3</sup> = 1.17 × 10<sup>21</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>

## Calculation S2:Calculation of Annihilation radius for DPA NA

The exciton density at threshold of annihilation =  $0.59 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ as obtained fromX-axis intercept of k<sub>2</sub>' vs [S<sub>1</sub>]<sub>0</sub> plot (figure 6B in main text)

At annihilation threshold condition, two excitons are expected to be separated by a distance of 2 × annihilation radius ( $R_a$ ) (assuming homogeneous distribution of excitons inside nanoaggregate) If we assume homogeneous generation of excitons inside the nanoaggregate, then per exciton available volume = 1 cm<sup>3</sup> / 0.59 × 10<sup>20</sup> = 16.9 nm<sup>3</sup>

$$\frac{4\pi R_a^{3}}{3} = 16.9 \ nm^3$$

$$\frac{R_a}{3} = 1.6 \ nm$$

## References

- Mathieson, A. M.; Robertson, J. M.; Sinclair, V. C.; The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Anthracene. I. X-ray Measurements. *Acta Cryst*. 1950, *3*, 245-250.
- Zhang, X.; Yuan, G.; Li, Q.; Wang, B.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, R.; Chang, J. C.; Lee, C. S.; Lee, S. T.; Single-Crystal 9,10-Diphenylanthracene Nanoribbons and Nanorods, *Chem. Mater.* 2008, 20, 6945-6950
- Salzillo, T.; Valle, R. G. D.; Venuti, E.; Brillante, A.; Siegrist, T.; Masino, M.; Mezzadri,
   F.; Girlando, A.; Two New Polymorphs of the Organic Semiconductor 9,10-Diphenylanthracene: Raman and X-ray Analysis. J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 1831-1840
- Edward, J. T. Molecular volumes and the Stokes-Einstein equation, J. Chem. Educ. 1970, 47, 261-270.