Supporting information

Supporting figures:

Fig. S1 Allosteric processes of nCaM recorded by fraction of discrepant native contacts Q. Native contact map of Apo (PDB ID 1CFD) and Holo (PDB ID 1J7O) structures of nCaM. Contacts only in Apo structure show in solid red triangles, only in Holo structure show in solid green circles; common in both Apo and Holo structures present in solid black squares. (B) and (C) The variation of fraction of discrepant native contacts Q versus simulation time in Traj1 and Traj2 respectively, where the green line is for Holo and the red line is for Apo, with native contacts corresponding to the same color points in (A). The vertical lines correspond to the time Ca²⁺-binding completely, same as those in Fig 1C and 1D.



Fig. S2 Ca²⁺ binding process. (A) and (B) Ca²⁺ binding process in Traj1 and Traj2. For each column, the first and the second panels present the variation of distance between Ca²⁺ ion and six oxygen atoms supposed to be ligated in each EF-loop. Lines in different color indicate the oxygen atoms from different amino acids. The third and the fourth panels display the recorded torsion φ (in red) and ψ (in green) of Ile27 and Ile63. The bottom panels denote the RMSD records of EFβ-scaffold defined by Grabarek,^{1, 2} taking *Holo* structure as reference.



Fig. S3 RMSF of each residue in equilibrium simulations. The red line presents the RMSF values from 1.01 μ s simulation for *Apo* structure; the green line for *Holo* structure with Ca²⁺ parameters from Amber99sb-ildn; the blue line for *Holo* structure with modified Ca²⁺ parameters.



Fig. S4 Binding of other Ca²⁺ ions. (A) One example of Ca²⁺ ion dynamically binds to positively charged residue E6 and D50 indicated by the measurement of minimal distance between them. (B) The exceptional Ca²⁺ ion which binds to D58 and D64 at around 1395 ns and then keep staying the position until end in Traj1.



Fig. S5 Conformation changes of EF-hand motifs in specific simulation time regions of Traj1. (A) and (B) Conformational changes of EF-hand motifs in time region 945ns-965ns and 1360ns-1500ns in Traj1. Same as Fig 4, for each column, the top three panels present for EF-hand 1 and the fourth to the sixth panels present for EF-hand 2; the bottom panel presents RMSD of EF β -bridge region. The angles (black line for φ and magenta line for θ) and outer-end-point distance between two helixes in EF-loop are shown in the second and the fifth, as well as the third and the six panels, respectively, with the corresponding value in *Holo* structure shown as horizontal line in same color. The red and blue lines in the panels of RMSD records indicate the reference structure comes from experimental Apo and Holo conformation respectively. The vertical line in (B) denotes the moment when exceptional Ca²⁺ ion binds with D58 and D64.



Fig. S6 Hydrophobic core explosion analysis for nCaM. The SASA summation of four methionines (M36/M51/M71/M72) versus simulation time in Traj1(A) and Traj2(B). The red and green lines indicate the calculated SASA values for Apo and *Holo* structures determined by experiments, respectively. The shadowed indicate the time regions of transformed *Holo* conformations. (C) Cartoon structures of *Apo* (PDB code 1CFD) and *Holo* (PDB code 1J7O) nCaM and the snapshot (3637 ns with SASA 2.19 nm²) in Traj2. The side chains of four residues M36, M51, M71 and M72 are presented in brown sphere.



Supporting tables:

Table S1. Ca^{2+} and other ions parameters. Here, ε is the depth of the potential well and σ is the finite distance at which the inter-particle potential is zero for ions in Lennard-Jones (LJ) 12-6 potential. CN indicates the coordination number of hydration oxygen. IOD indicates the ion-oxygen distance in the first solvation shell. The Modified Ca²⁺ and Pb²⁺ as well as Ba²⁺ parameters come from table 8 of (Li et al., 2013)³ where they were used with TIP3P water model. Ca²⁺ parameter in Amber99sb-ildn force field comes from (Aqvist, 1990)⁴ Parameters of "Ca²⁺ (experiment)" come from (Jalilehvand et al., 2001).⁵

Ion parameter	σ(Å)	ε(kcal/mol	charge(e)	CN	IOD(Å)
Ca ²⁺ (Experiment)	-	-	-	8	2.46
Ca ²⁺ (AMBER99SB-ILDN)	3.0524	0.459789	2	8.9	2.7
Ca ²⁺ (Modified)	2.9382	0.105929	2	8	2.49
Pb ²⁺	3.1092	0.170180	2	8.7	2.62
Ba ²⁺	3.5974	0.406646	2	9.7	2.94

Table S2. MD simulations in this work and the residue order of Ca^{2+} binding in each simulation. The bold indicates the allosteric transition is detected in the simulation (No.3 corresponds to Traj1 and No.10 corresponds to Traj2). The italic indicates the EF-loop binds with two Ca^{2+} ions, one in its right position, the other ligated residue D58 and D64 same as Fig 3A.

Ca ²⁺ paramete	No	Simulation Time	Ca ²⁺ binding order			
	1 1010ns		Ca ²⁺ -free (<i>Apo</i>)			
	2	1010ns	Ca ²⁺ -loaded (<i>Holo</i>)			
	2	3000ns	$EF\text{-loop1 D24(96ns)} \rightarrow D22(104ns) \rightarrow T26(247ns) \rightarrow D20(248ns) \rightarrow E31(899.5ns)$			
	3		$EF\text{-loop2 }E67(250ns) {\rightarrow} T62(242ns) {\rightarrow} D56(288ns) {\rightarrow} D58(299ns) {\rightarrow} N60(513ns)$			
	4	4495ns	EF-loop1 D24(320ns) \rightarrow T26(463ns) \rightarrow D20(468ns)			
	4		$EF\text{-}loop2\ D58(11ns) \rightarrow D56(56ns) \rightarrow T62(123ns) \rightarrow E67(588ns) \rightarrow N60(2723ns)$			
AMBER99	5	4760ns	EF-loop1 E31(100ns)			
SB-ILDN	5	4700118	EF-loop2 D58(177ns) \rightarrow E67(228ns)			
	6	5000ns	EF-loop1 D24(10ns) \rightarrow D22(24ns) \rightarrow T26(69ns) \rightarrow D20(99ns)			
	0		$\text{EF-loop2 E67(21ns)} \rightarrow \text{D56(34ns)} \rightarrow \text{D58(59ns)} \rightarrow \text{T62(307ns)} \rightarrow \text{N60(4892ns)}$			
	7	5000ns	EF-loop1 D24(23ns) \rightarrow D22(244ns) \rightarrow E31(3689ns)			
	1		EF-loop2 E67(34ns) \rightarrow D56(582ns) \rightarrow D58(650ns)			
	0	5000	EF-loop1 D24(490ns) \rightarrow D22(500ns) \rightarrow T26(790ns)			
	8 5000ns		$\text{EF-loop2 E67(200ns)} \rightarrow \text{D58(417ns)} \rightarrow \text{T62(655ns)} \rightarrow \text{D56(1022ns)}$			
	9	1010ns	Ca ²⁺ -loaded (Holo)			
	10	4000ns	$EF\text{-loop1 D24(32ns)} \rightarrow D22(71ns) \rightarrow T26(735ns) \rightarrow D20(759ns) \rightarrow E31(2348ns)$			
	10		$ EF-loop2 D58(31ns) \rightarrow D56(562ns) \rightarrow E67(715ns) \rightarrow T62(1171ns) \rightarrow N60(1173ns) $			
	11	5000ma	EF-loop1 D24(6ns) \rightarrow D22(335ns) \rightarrow T26(385ns) \rightarrow D20(386ns)			
	11	5000118	EF-loop2 D58(27ns) \rightarrow E67(28ns) \rightarrow D56(4458ns)			
	12	2 5000ns	EF-loop1 D24(74ns) \rightarrow D22(90ns) \rightarrow D20(181ns) \rightarrow T26(185ns)			
Modified	12		EF-loop2 D56(27ns) →58D(1142ns) →E67(3778ns)			
	13	4165ns	$\text{EF-loop1 D24(24ns)} \rightarrow \text{D22(30ns)} \rightarrow \text{D20(78ns)} \rightarrow \text{T26(82ns)} \rightarrow \text{E31(2246ns)}$			
			$\text{EF-loop2 D58(126ns)} \rightarrow \text{T62(361ns)} \rightarrow \text{D56(849ns)} \rightarrow \text{E67(3926.7ns)}$			
	14	4450ns	EF-loop1 D22(159ns) \rightarrow D24(183ns) \rightarrow D20(509ns) \rightarrow T26(511ns)			
			EF-loop2 D58(56ns) \rightarrow D56(142ns)			
	15	5 4450ns	$\textbf{EF-loop1 D24(2ns) \rightarrow D22(36ns) \rightarrow T26(183ns) \rightarrow D20(633ns) \rightarrow E31(2192ns)}$			
			EF-loop2 D58(42ns) \rightarrow D56(43ns)			

	Ca ²⁺ binding	Ca ²⁺ binding completely		Conformational transition		
	Ca ²⁺ -EF-loop1	Ca ²⁺ -EF-loop2	EF-hand1	EF-hand2	nCaM	
Traj1(1)	899.5	513.1	934.2-934.4	906.5-934.4	906.5-934.4	
Traj1(2)	-	-	1427.7-1441.	1433.5-1441.1	1427.7-1441.1	
Traj2	2347.9	1173.4	2393.3-2393.9	2315.4-2320.4	2315.4-2393.9	

Table S3. The moments of Ca^{2+} binding completely and the time regions of conformational transition in Traj1 and Traj2.

The time unit is nanosecond.

Table S4. The values of angle θ , φ and outer-end-point distance *D* between two helixes in each EF-hand from experimentally determined structures (*Apo* from PDB 1CFD and *Holo* from PDB 1J7O) according to VGM definition.⁶

	Structure	θ (degrees)	φ (degrees)	<i>D</i> (Å)
EF-hand1	Apo	44.92	117.01	12.18
	Holo	76.00	97.45	19.46
EF-hand2	Apo	50.84	102.01	13.13
	Holo	79.75	76.27	16.86

Table S5. Artificial Ca^{2+} binding simulation with different strategies. The italic indicates the EF-loop binds with two Ca^{2+} ions, one in its right position, the other ligated residue D58 and D64 as shown in Fig 3A. The bold indicates the allosteric transition is detected in the simulation. The brackets means the residue is ligated by Ca^{2+} during the simulation but disassociated later.

Ca ²⁺ parameters	Strategy	No	Simulation time	Ligated residues
	A	1	1000ns	EF-loop1 E31 EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62,E67
		2	1000ns	EF-loop1 D22,D24
			1000115	EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62
		3	1000ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24(d),T26,E31
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60(d),T62,E67
AMDEDOOGD II DN		4	1000ns	EF-loop1 E31
AMBER995B-ILDN				EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62,E67
		5	1000ns	EF-loop1
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,T62,E67
	В	6	724ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24,T26,E31
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,T62,E67
	С	7	500ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24,T26,E31
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62,E67
Modified	A	8	1000ns	EF-loop1 D22,D24,T26
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,T62,E67
		9	1000ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24,T26
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,E67
		10	1000ns	EF-loop1 D22,E31
				EF-loop2 E67
		11	1000ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,E67
		12	1800ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24,T26
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62,E67
	В	13	340ns	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24,T26,E31
				EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62,E67
	С	14	902	EF-loop1 D20,D22,D24,T26,E31
			893ns	EF-loop2 D56,D58,N60,T62,E67

Strategy A – Ca^{2+} ions were placed near EF-loops in starting structure.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Strategy B} - \mbox{Based on strategy A, the distance restraint between Ca^{2_+} ion and the six oxygens supposed to bind with in each EF-loop was executed in pre-equilibration simulation. \end{array}$

Strategy C– Based on strategy A, the distance restraint between Ca²⁺ ion and the six oxygens supposed to bind with in each EF-loop was executed in whole simulation.

References

- 1. Z. Grabarek, J. Mol. Biol, 2005, 346 , 1351-1366.
- 2. Z. Grabarek, J. Mol. Biol, 2006, 359 , 509-525.
- 3. P.F. Li, B.P. Roberts, D.K. Chakravorty, K.M. Merz, J Chem Theory Comput, 2013, 9, 2733-2748.
- 4. J. Aqvist, J. Phys. Chem, 1990, 94, 8021-8024.
- 5. F. Jalilehvand, D. Spangberg, P. Lindqvist-Reis, K. Hermansson, I. Persson, M. Sandstrom, J Am Chem Soc, 2001, 123, 431-441.
- 6. K.L. Yap, J.B. Ames, M.B. Swindells, M. Ikura, Proteins, 1999, 37, 499-507.