## Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanogranular films by gas phase synthesis as hybrid SERS platforms

Nicolò Bontempi,<sup>*a,b* \*</sup> Emanuele Cavaliere,<sup>*a*</sup> Valentina Cappello<sup>*c*</sup>, Pasqualantonio Pingue,<sup>*d*</sup> Luca Gavioli,<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Interdisciplinary Laboratories for Advanced Materials Physics (i-LAMP) and Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Via Musei 41, 25121 Brescia, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Smart Bio-Interfaces, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (IIT), Viale Rinaldo Piaggio 34, Pontedera (Pisa) 56025, Italy

<sup>c</sup> Center for Nanotechnology Innovation@NEST, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia Piazza San

Silvestro, 12, 56127, Pisa, Italy.

<sup>d</sup> NEST, Scuola Normale Superiore and CNR Istituto Nanoscienze, Piazza San Silvestro 12, 56127, Pisa (PI), Italy

## **Supporting Information**

- SI 1: Short times stability of nanogranular Ag@TiO\_2  $\,$
- SI 2: Long Time stability nanogranular  $Ag@TiO_2$  in sensing Methylene Blue
- SI 3: Main text Fig.3, complete data serie
- SI 4: UV-vis
- SI 5: Enhancement Factor Calculations

SI 1: Short times stability of nanogranular Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub>



**Fig. SI1:** Intensity of the Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> band at 126 cm<sup>-1</sup> (left axis, in blue) and intensity of the silicon main band at 520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (right axis, in red) as function of time.

In order to test the  $Ag@TiO_2$  nanogranular film stability under a laser beam we have tested them under multiple measurements in the same spot region. Fig. SI1 reports 30 measurements sent every 120s. The spectra have been all obtained using the same experimental conditions (Laser Power 5%, Acquisition time 30s, the sample used was 60 nm thick).

Even the Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> signal oscillates between 0.2 and 1.2, the signal of the Silicon substrate underneath is really stable (between 0.8 and 1) in line with classic plasmonic SERS substrate (doi:10.3390/nano7060142). This also indicates a regular thickness of the nanogranular film.

[\*: the normalization has been done on the first measurement done at time zero.]

SI 2: Long Time stability nanogranular Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> in sensing Methylene Blue



**Fig. SI2:** Raman Spectra of Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> samples with a thickness of 60nm; sensing Methylene Blue  $10^{-5}$  M after drying of 10 µl droplet of an aqueous solution directly after the fabrication (in blue) and after 3 months of sample storage at room conditions (in red).

The spectra have been obtained using the same experimental conditions (Laser Power 5%, Acquisition time 30s, same methylene blue  $10^{-5}$  M aqueous solution).

	Intensity (arb. Units)
As deposited	$15500 \pm 3700$
After 3 months	$14900\pm4200$

**Table SI1:** Averaged Intensity of the Methylene Blue band at 1621 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> 60nm sample right after the deposition and after 3 months.

Both Fig. SI2 and Table SI1 present a comparison between the Raman enhancement results for the sample measured right after the deposition and a sample produced in the same deposition batch but after 2 months of storage in room conditions ( $T = 20^{\circ}C$ ; P = 1 atm; not directly exposed to air and sun light).

**Fig. SI2** compares two selected Raman spectra. It is possible to appreciate that the main features (of the  $Ag@TiO_2$  substrate, the Silicon underneath and the MB) are not modified by the time and by the storage. In **Table SI2** is reported the averaged intensity (of 20 different spectra) of the measurements done. There is a little decrement in the averaged value and a very little increment of the error, but this variation stays inside the experimental valations.

## SI 3: Main text Fig.3, complete data serie

The following graph shows all the data serie regarding Fig 3 in the main test, where, for clariry, we have reported just the reference spectrum on Si (blue) and the 120nm Ag@TiO<sub>2</sub> sample.



**Fig. SI3:** Raman Spectra of  $Ag@TiO_2$  samples with a thickness of 30nm (black), 60nm (green), 90nm (light blue), 120nm (red), 150nm (purple) in comparison with a Si reference. All the spectra have been obtained under the same experimental conditions: Laser Power 5%, Acquisition time 30s.

SI 4: UV-vis



Fig. SI4: Absorbance spectrum of a 20  $\mu$ M aqueous solution of Methylene Blue.

## SI 5: Enhancement Factor Calculations

This SI section shows the details of our calculations for obtainting a value of  $1.6 \ 10^6$  of analytical enhancement factor. By considering our Ref [35] indicated in the main text (E. C. Le Ru, E. Blackie, M. Meyer and P. G. Etchegoin, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 37, 13794-13803) the analytical enhancement factor may be calculated as follow:

$$AEF = \frac{I_{SERS}/c_{SERS}}{I_{RS}/c_{RS}}$$

Where  $c_{RS}$  is analyte solution for non-SERS conditions with concentration which produces a Raman signal  $I_{RS}$  and  $c_{SERS}$  and  $I_{SERS}$  are the same under SERS experimental conditions. And the author indicates that in this case the spectra must be "Under identical experimental conditions (laser wavelength, laser power, microscope objective or lenses, spectrometer, etc.), and for the same preparation conditions, the same analyte on a SERS substrate, with possibly different concentration ( $c_{SERS}$ ), now gives a SERS signal  $I_{SERS}$ . "

By inserting our results presented in the main text in the above mentioned formula, we get:

$$AEF = \frac{I_{SERS}/c_{SERS}}{I_{RS}/c_{RS}} = \frac{23000 cps/10^{-9}M}{170 cps/10^{-5}M} = 1.3 \cdot 10^{6}$$