## **Supporting Information**

# Is basicity the sole criterion for attaining high carbon dioxide capture in deep-eutectic solvents?

Shashi Kant Shukla<sup>1\*</sup>, Dariush Nikjoo,<sup>2</sup> Jyri-Pekka Mikkola<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Technical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Chemical-Biological Centre, Umeå University, SE-90187 Umeå, Sweden.

<sup>2</sup>Division of Materials Science, Luleå University of Technology, SE-97187, Luleå, Sweden

<sup>3</sup>Industrial Chemistry & Reaction Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Johan Gadolin Process Chemistry Centre, Åbo Akademi University, FI-20500 Åbo-Turku, Finland.

Email: <a href="mailto:shashi.kant.shukla@umu.se">shashi.kant.shukla@umu.se</a>, jyri-pekka.mikkola@umu.se

#### 1. Synthesis of DESs

Choline chloride- ([ChCl]-) and tetrbutylammoinium bromide- ([TBAB]-) based DESs were prepared by complexing them with desired HBDs, at a certain molar ratio at 80 °C for 4h. Diethylenetriamine- ([DETA])-based DESs were prepared in two steps. The first step involved protonation of DETA by the dropwise addition of hydrochloric acid under vigorous stirring at 0°C in 1:3 mole ratio. The resultant protic ionic liquid (PIL) was subject to rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and subsequently to ultra-vacuum at 60 °C for 6h to remove water vapour and other volatile impurities. The second step involved the complexation of the PIL with suitable HBDs to get the DESs. The synthesized DESs were characterized by the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectroscopic methods (see entry 2). The water content of the resulting DES, as measured by the Karl-Fisher Coulometer, were below 40 ppm before experiment. The thermal stability of the synthesised DESs as obtained by the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were between 110 – 240 °C (see Fig S1 – S9). All DESs exhibited lower melting point as shown by their differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) profiles (see Fig S10 – S18). The anomalies in the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra post CO<sub>2</sub> absorption is shown in the entry 6 and Fig S28 – S31.

#### 2. Characterization of DESs

#### [ChCl][EA] = 1:6

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm): 2.64 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.1 (*s*, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.43 (*t*, HO-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.51 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>), 4.0 (*m*, N-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>c</sub> (ppm): 42.2, 53.8, 55.5, 63.0 and 67.4.

#### [ChCl][DEA] = 1:12

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm): 2.61 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.0 (*s*, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.4 (*t*, HO-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.56 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.9 (*m*, N-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>c</sub> (ppm): 49.8, 53.9, 55.6, 60.2 and 67.4.

## [ChCl][TEA] = 1:3

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm): 2.64 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.1 (*s*, N-CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.4 (*t*, HO-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.59 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>), 3.96 (*m*, N-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>c</sub> (ppm): 30.2, 53.8, 55.6, 58.8 and 67.4.

### **[TBAB][EA] = 1:5**

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.87 (*t*, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.29 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.57 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.12 (*m*, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.51 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm c}$  (ppm): 12.9, 19.2, 23.1, 42.3, 58.1 and 63.0.

## [TBAB][DEA] = 1:2

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.85 (*t*, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.28 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.57 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.55 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.11 (*m*, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.62 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm c}$  (ppm): 12.8, 19.1, 23.1, 41.7, 58.1 and 58.5.

## **[TBAB][TEA]** = 1:2

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 0.85 (*t*, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.26 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.55 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.63 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.10 (*m*, N-CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.58 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm c}$  (ppm): 12.9, 19.1, 23.1, 55.6, 58.1 and 58.8.

#### **[DETA.Cl][EDA] = 1:4**

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm): 2.66 (*t*, NH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.74 (*t*, <sup>+</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>c</sub> (ppm): 39.4, 41.5 and 49.3.

# [DETA.Cl][AP] = 1:4

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm): 1.65 (*m*, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.58 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>), 2.65 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), 2.74 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-OH), 3.54 (*t*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C):  $\delta_{\rm c}$  (ppm): 31.8, 37.3, 39.4, 49.6 and 59.2.

# [DETA.Cl][TEPA] = 1:4

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm): 2.48 (*q*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>), 2.53 (*q*, CH<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>), 2.64 (*m*, NH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.72 (*m*, NH-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O, 25 °C): δ<sub>c</sub> (ppm): 36.9, 39.6, 44.8, 49.5, 54.4 and 58.6.

# 3. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) data of DESs



**Fig S1.** TGA pattern for [ChCl][EA] = 1:6



**Fig S2.** TGA pattern for [ChCl][DEA] = 1:12



**Fig S3.** TGA pattern for [ChCl][TEA] = 1:3



**Fig S4.** TGA pattern for [TBAB][EA] = 1:5



**Fig S5.** TGA pattern for [TBAB][DEA] = 1:2



**Fig S6.** TGA pattern for [TBAB][TEA] = 1:2



**Fig S7.** TGA pattern for [DETA.Cl][EDA] = 1:4



**Fig S8.** TGA pattern for [DETA.Cl][AP] = 1:4



**Fig S9.** TGA pattern for [DETA.Cl][TEPA] = 1:4

# 4. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) data of DESs



**Fig S10.** DSC pattern for [ChCl][EA] = 1:6



**Fig S11.** DSC pattern for [ChCl][DEA] = 1:12



**Fig S12.** DSC pattern for [ChCl][TEA] = 1:3



**Fig S13.** DSC pattern for [TBAB][EA] = 1:5



**Fig S14.** DSC pattern for [TBAB][DEA] = 1:2



**Fig S15.** DSC pattern for [TBAB][TEA] = 1:2



**Fig S16.** DSC pattern for [DETA.Cl][EDA] = 1:4



**Fig S17.** DSC pattern for [DETA.Cl][AP] = 1:4



**Fig S18.** DSC pattern for [DETA.Cl][TEPA] = 1:4





Fig S19. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [ChCl][EA] = 1:6 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



**Fig S20.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [ChCl][DEA] = 1:12 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



**Fig S21.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [ChCl][TEA] = 1:3 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



Fig S22. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [TBAB][EA] = 1:5 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



Fig S23. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [TBAB][DEA] = 1:2 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



**Fig S24.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [TBAB][TEA] = 1:2 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



**Fig S25.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [DETA.Cl][EDA] = 1:4 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



**Fig S26.** <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [DETA.Cl][AP] = 1:4 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture



Fig S27. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of [DETA.Cl][TEPA] = 1:4 before (-) and after (-) CO<sub>2</sub> capture

## 6. Anomalies in the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of DESs before and after CO<sub>2</sub> capture

CO<sub>2</sub> absorption in all DESs was also monitored by recording the <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra before and after the CO<sub>2</sub> capture (Fig. S28). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR of DESs post CO<sub>2</sub> capture reveal the presence of the carbamate (NH<sub>2</sub>COO<sup>-</sup>) and carbonate/bicarbonate ( $CO_3^{2-}/HCO_3^{-}$ ) signals in the spectrum at ~164 ppm and ~160 ppm, respectively. Surprisingly, for those DESs which were prepared beyond 1:2 HBA:HBD ratio, the number of <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR signals exceeded those obtained for pure DESs after CO<sub>2</sub> intake (see Figs. S19 –S27). A typical case of [ChCl][EA] = 1:6 is shown in Fig. S28. The extra peaks in <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra are designated as 1 and 2, respectively.







**Fig S28.** (a) <sup>1</sup>H- and (b) <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of [ChCl][EA] = 1:6 before and after  $CO_2$  capture.

The anomalies in the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra of [ChCl][EA] = 1:6 post CO<sub>2</sub> capture arise due to the difference in the chemical shifts of the six moles of [EA] as suggested by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR and HSQC spectra of the DES (Figs. S28-S31). These spectra suggest that during the CO<sub>2</sub> capture only two moles of [EA] out of six moles participate in the binding with CO<sub>2</sub> and the remaining four moles remain unaffected of it and interact only with the HBA *i.e.* [ChCl]. This difference in the behaviour of [EA] results in the appearance of the new peaks in <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra, respectively. The molar uptake plot suggest a semimolar mechanism, where two moles of [EA] interacts with one mole of CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S32). The molar plot forfeits the observations drawn from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR and HSQC spectra.



**Fig S29.** Integrated <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of neat [ChCl][EA] = 1:6



Fig S30. Integrated <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of [ChCl][EA] = 1:6 after  $CO_2$  capture



**Fig S31.** HSQC spectra of [ChCl][EA] = 1:6 post CO<sub>2</sub> capture

A typical molar uptake plot of CO<sub>2</sub> in DES



Fig S33. A typical semimolar CO<sub>2</sub> uptake plot.

7. Polarity determination, viscosity measurement, Hammett basicity measurement and CO<sub>2</sub> capture measurement

#### **Polarity determination**

A stock solution of  $10^{-2}$  M in methanol for all solvatochromic dyes was used for the polarity measurements. The required amount of the stock solution was transferred in a glass vial first and methanol was removed subsequently by blowing nitrogen gas into the vial. To this, 1ml of DESs was added and the resultant solution was taken into a 1.3 ml quartz cuvette under nitrogen atmosphere and sealed with a septum and subjected to UV-visible spectrophotometer. The wavelength of maximum absorption ( $\lambda_{max}$ ) was recorded at room temperature for different dyes in DESs and polarity parameters were derived by the empirical equations given in the results and discussion section.



Figure S32. Structure of solvatochromic dyes.

The  $E_{\rm T}(30)$  measures the dipole-dipole interactions, hydrogen bond donor-acceptor forces and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions present in a medium and can be calculated from the lowest energy absorbance band maxima ( $\lambda_{max}^{abs}$ ) of the betaine dye (30) by following equation 1.

$$E_{\rm T}(30) = 28591.5 \,/^{\lambda} {}^{abs}_{max} \,\,\rm kcal \,\,mol^{-1} \tag{1}$$

The polarity index ( $\pi^*$ ) measures electrostatic strength between the probe and its cybotactic environment. A high  $\pi^*$  value indicates that the medium has higher electrostatic/bipolar interactions. The  $\pi^*$  was estimated based on the wavenumber of absorption maxima of *N*,*N*-diethyl-4-nitroanoline (kK), a non-hydrogen bond donor dye by the following expression,

Polarity index 
$$(\pi^*) = v_{(3) \max} = 27.52 - 3.182\pi^*$$
 (2)

The  $\alpha$  measures the hydrogen bond donor strength of the solvent and was determined from the  $E_{\rm T}(30)$  and  $\pi^*$  according to equation 4.

$$\alpha = [E_{\rm T}(30) - 14.6(\pi^* - 0.23) - 30.31]/16.5 \tag{3}$$

24

The  $\beta$  denotes the hydrogen bond acceptor strength of the solvent and was obtained because of the enhanced solvatochromic shift of 4-nitroaniline absorbance band relative to its homomorph *N*,*N*-diethyl-4-nitroaniline by the following expression.

$$v_{(2)\max} = 1.035 v_{(3)\max} - 2.8\beta + 2.64 \tag{4}$$

#### **Measurement of viscosity**

The viscosity ( $\eta$ ) of different DESs were measured at 298K by using a Brookfield rotating viscometer (RVDV1) with a cone and plate arrangement. The viscosity ( $\eta$ ) values of DESs were obtained according to the given equation:

$$\eta = (100/\text{RPM})(\text{TK})(\text{torque})(\text{SMC})$$

where, TK (0.09373), RPM and SMC (0.327) are the viscometer torque constant, speed and spindle multiplier constant, respectively.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> absorption experiment

In the current work,  $CO_2$  absorption measurements were carried out by bubbling  $CO_2$  gas into a vial containing 3g of DES at a flow rate of 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup> and recording the weight at a regular intervals. The differential weight of the absorbed  $CO_2$  was used to calculate the gravimetric amount of absorbed  $CO_2$ . The electronic balance used for weighing had an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  mg.

# Determination of Hammett basicity $(^{H}-)$

The Hammett basicity denotes the tendency of a basic solution to capture protons. In case of weak acids, it can be calculated by using the following equation,

$$H_{-} = pK(HI) + \log([I^{-}]/[HI])$$
 (5)

where, pK(HI) is the ionization constant of indication in water,  $[I^-]$  and [HI] represents molar concentrations of anionic and neutral forms of indicator, respectively. A basic medium with a larger value of  $H^-$  will have higher basicity. The measurement of the  $H^-$  depends on the proper selection of the Hammett indicator. The commonly used indicators are 4-nitrobenzylcyanide, 4-nitrophenol and 2,5-dinitrophenol. The pK(HI) of these indicators are 13.43, 7.15 and 5.15, respectively. For the reliability of our measurements, we first measured the Hammett basicity of different w/w% of aqueous hydrazine solutions and compared them with the reported value (Table S1).<sup>1</sup> The accuracy of the  $H^-$  measurement depend on the complete conversion of indicator into anionic form.<sup>2,3</sup>

| Solvents                          | <sup>H</sup> - (calculated) | <sup>H</sup> - (reported) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 15% N <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> | 11.77                       | 11.93                     |
| $20\% N_2 H_2$                    | 12.29                       | 12.29                     |
| 25% N <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> | 12.64                       | 12.72                     |
| 30% N <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> | 13.05                       | 13.15                     |

Table S1. Comparison of Hammett basicity for aqueous hydrazine solution

| DESs                  | $E_{\rm T}(30)/{\rm kcal.mol^{-1}}$ | π*   |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| [ChCl][EA] = 1:6      | 49.6                                | 1.10 |
| [ChCl][DEA] = 1:12    | 50.0                                | 0.97 |
| [ChCl][TEA] = 1:3     | 51.1                                | 1.02 |
| [TBAB][EA] = 1:5      | 53.4                                | 1.08 |
| [TBAB][DEA] = 1:2     | 54.0                                | 1.06 |
| [TBAB][TEA] = 1:2     | 55.1                                | 1.06 |
| [DETA.Cl][EDA] = 1:4  | 58.7                                | 1.32 |
| [DETA.Cl][AP] = 1:4   | 60.1                                | 1.17 |
| [DETA.C1][TEPA] = 1:4 | 53.2                                | 1.18 |

8. Table S2.  $E_{\rm T}(30)$  and  $\pi^*$  polarity parameters for DESs

9. Depiction of pseudo-first order rate constant



**Figure S34.** A representative plot of weight of absorbed  $CO_2$  against time in a DES to derive pseudo 1st order rate constant (*k*).

| DESs                 | R <sup>2</sup> |
|----------------------|----------------|
| [TBAB][EA] = 1:5     | 0.83395        |
| [TBAB][DEA] = 1:2    | 0.97892        |
| [TBAB][TEA] = 1:2    | 0.97359        |
| [ChCl][EA] = 1:6     | 0.85967        |
| [ChCl][DEA] = 1:12   | 0.8572         |
| [ChCl][TEA] = 1:3    | 0.99805        |
| [DETA.C1][EDA] = 1:4 | 0.93594        |
| [DETA.Cl][AP] = 1:4  | 0.82838        |
| [DETA.Cl][TEPA]= 1:4 | 0.94747        |

10. Table S3. Table showing goodness of fit of the Box-Lucas equation in DESs

- <sup>1</sup> N. C. Deno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 2039.
- <sup>2</sup> M. A. Paul and F. A. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 1957, **57**, 1.
- <sup>3</sup> R. J. Gillespie and T. E. Peel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 5173.