Long lasting sunscreen controversy on 4-aminobenzoic acid and 4dimethylaminobenzaldehyde derivatives resolved by ultrafast spectroscopy combined with density functional theoretical study

Supporting Information

Chris Tsz-Leung Chan,^a Chensheng Ma,^{*a} Ruth Chau-Ting Chan,^a Hui-Min Ou,^a Han-Xin Xie,^a Allen Ka-Wa Wong,^b Ming-Liang Wang,^a Wai-Ming Kwok^{*b}

^a College of Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P. R. China.

^b Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, P. R. China.

E-mail: macs@szu.edu.cn; wm.kwok@polyu.edu.hk

Table S1 Calculated transition energies and the corresponding oscillation strengths, and major frontier orbital involved for absorption from the S₀ and fluorescence from the LE state of PABA in Figure S1 Early time experimental and fitted decay profile of the fs-TRF at 330 nm (°) of PABA in Figure S2 Optimized structures obtained from (TD)DFT calculations for the ground state and the Figure S3 Experimental and fitted decay profile of fs-TA for PABA in acetonitrile and pH7 buffer at Figure S5 Comparison of the normalized fs-TA spectra of PABA in pH7 and pH4 buffer......3 Figure S6 Steady state absorption and normalized fluorescence spectra of DMABA in cyclohexane, Figure S7 Magnified view of the temporal evolution of the fs-TRF of DMAAP at 0.1-10 ps in Figure S8 Temporal evolution of fs-TA of DMAAP in cyclohexane, acetonitrile and water at late time......4 Figure S9 Experimental and fitted decay profile of fs-TA for DMAAP in cyclohexane, acetonitrile Figure S11 Experimental and fitted decay profile of fs-TA for DMABA in cyclohexane, acetonitrile Figure S12 Diagram of the molecular orbital calculated for DMABA ICT state in acetonitrile and

Table S1 Calculated transition energies (in nm) and the corresponding oscillation strengths (in parentheses), and major frontier orbital involved for absorption from the S_0 and fluorescence from the LE state of PABA in acetonitrile (CH₃CN) and water (H₂O) with the non-specific solute-solvent interaction.

	\mathbf{S}_{0}	LE		
PABA-S	283(0.55)	313(0.79)		
(CH ₃ CN)	H→L(97%)	H←L(98%)		
PABA-S	283(0.55)	314(0.80)		
(H ₂ O)	H→L(97%)	H←L(98%)		
H: HOMO: L: LUMO				



Figure S1 Early time experimental and fitted (—) decay profile of the fs-TRF at 330 nm (\circ) of PABA in CH₃CN.



Figure S2 Optimized structures obtained from (TD)DFT calculations for the S_0 state and the LE state of PABA in CH_3CN .



Figure S3 Experimental and fitted (—) decay profile at indicated wavelengths of the fs-TA for PABA in (a) acetonitrile and (b) pH7 buffer at early time delay up to ~15 ps.



Figure S4 Temporal evolution of broadband fs-TA of PABA recorded at (a) 0.2-1.75 ps (0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7, 1.75 ps) and (b) 1.75-40 ps (1.75, 3.5, 5, 7, 10, 17.5, 40 ps) in pH4 buffer after photo-excitation. The inset in (b) shows the spectral evolution at 40-6000 ps (40, 85, 175, 1500, 2500, 6000 ps). The arrows indicate temporal evolution of the spectra.



Figure S5 Comparison of the normalized fs-TA spectra of PABA in pH7 buffer at 2 ps and in pH4 buffer at 0.5 ps.



Figure S6 Steady state absorption and normalized fluorescence spectra of DMAAP in cyclohexane (black), acetonitrile (blue) and water (red).



Figure S7 Magnified view of the temporal evolution of broadband fs-TRF of DMAAP recorded at 0.1-10 ps (0.1, 0.7, 1.5, 2.5, 4, 6, 10 ps) in CH₃CN after photo-excitation.



Figure S8 Temporal evolution of broadband fs-TA of DMAAP recorded at (a) 30-6000 ps (30, 350, 1250, 2500, 4000, 6000 ps) in cyclohexane; (b) 700-6000 ps (700, 1250, 2500, 6000 ps) in acetonitrile; (c) 20-6000 ps (20, 500, 2000, 6000 ps) in water after photo-excitation.



Figure S9 Experimental and fitted (—) decay profile at indicated wavelengths of the fs-TA for DMAAP in (a) cyclohexane, (b) acetonitrile and (c) water at late time delay up to ~6000 ps.



Figure S10 Temporal evolution of broadband fs-TA of DMABA recorded at (a) 0.15-0.3 ps (0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3 ps), (b) 0.3-200 ps (0.3, 0.6, 1.25, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 200 ps) and (c) 200-6000 ps (200, 1500, 3500, 6000 ps) in cyclohexane; at (d) 0.4-10 ps (0.4, 0.6, 1, 1.5, 2.5, 5, 10 ps), (e) 10-70 ps (10, 15, 40, 70 ps) and (f) 70-6000 ps (70, 100, 175, 350, 600, 1500, 6000 ps) in acetonitrile; at (g) 0.15-3 ps (0.15, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.85, 1.25, 2, 2.5, 3 ps), (h) 3-10 ps (3, 4, 5, 7, 10 ps) and (i) 10-6000 ps (10, 200, 1250, 6000 ps) in water after photo-excitation. The arrows indicate temporal evolution of the spectra.



Figure S11 Experimental and fitted (—) decay profile at indicated wavelengths of the fs-TA for DMABA in (a) cyclohexane, (b) acetonitrile and (c) water. The insets in (a) and (b) show the kinetic profile at late time delay up to ~6000 ps.

Details of computational results obtained from DFT and TDDFT calculation of the ground state and the excited state of DMABA in the different solvents:

DMABA at the ground state in acetonitrile (CH ₃ CN)						
Symboli	ic Z-matrix of the	optimize	ed struct	ure:		
C	1.02908	1.38273		-0.00105	5	
C	1.85562	0.23249		-0.0004		
C	1.22045	-1.04251	-	0.00014		
C	-0.16/48	-1.14841	-	0.00004		
C	-0.98326	0.00425		-0.00068	5	
C	-0.34696	1.2845		-0.0012		
H	1.48857	2.36565	-	-0.00144	ł	
H	1.83379	-1.93386)	0.000/1		
H	-0.61449	-2.13284	ŀ	0.00063		
H	-0.93814	2.18924		-0.00191	1	
N	-2.3/493	-0.08/25)	-0.00103	5	
C	-3.01/08	-1.38602	2	-0.00013	5	
H	-2.72959	-1.9648/	, ,	0.88/18	1	
H	-4.09527	-1.23693)	-0.00191	L	
H	-2.72691	-1.96/24	ŀ	-0.8849		
C	-3.20275	1.10015		0.001/9	-	
H	-3.00888	1.72423		-0.88283)	
H	-4.24880	0.81421		-0.00081	l	
H	-3.0115	1./1820		0.8913		
C	3.31649	0.3399		-0.00022	2	
U U	4.09206	-0.03032)	0.00153	-	
H Total an	3./1400	1.38/38	u otumo (T	-0.00026) 70 5077'	72 Houtean
Vibratio	regies of the optimised from the optimised of the optimis	mized str n^{-1}) of the	ucture (f	$2_{\text{Tot}} = -4$	19.5012	/2 Hartree
v_{10}	520627	(21)	e^{0}		(41)	1400 0201
(1)	52.9027	(21)	052 224	/	(41)	1480.0281
$\binom{2}{3}$	09.3723 81 5055	(22)	955.254	1	(42)	1401.3932
(3)	150 2861	(23)	087 048	5	(43)	1405.7054
(4) (5)	166 0402	(24) (25)	1000 01	J 18	(44) (45)	1503.4942
(5)	181 5057	(25)	1009.91	40	(45)	1522.4407
(0) (7)	242 5855	(20) (27)	1027.38	75 41	(40) (47)	1568 9061
$\binom{7}{8}$	242.3033	(27) (28)	1130 13	57	(48)	1620.0672
(0)	330 6582	(20)	1137.71	17	(40)	1689 2864
(10)	341 6642	(20)	1146 62	48	(50)	2908 2678
(11)	439 3437	(30)	1182 19	62	(50) (51)	3006 7588
(12)	472.6642	(32)	1194 58	$02 \\ 02$	(51)	3013 6394
(12) (13)	496 0032	(32)	1257 15	31	(52) (53)	3055 3401
(12) (14)	516.2185	(34)	1264.56	13	(53)	3057.1616
(15)	605.7915	(35)	1337.42	68	(55)	3140.6923
(16)	645.5464	(36)	1367.74	44	(56)	3151.6451
(17)	731.9561	(37)	1391.87	13	(57)	3160.3918
(18)	734.1304	(38)	1425.89	72	(58)	3180.6962
(19)	812.0984	(39)	1446.44	12	(59)	3215.4911
(20)	830.9518	(40)	1468.78	15	(60)	3216.6551
DMABA	A at the lowest en	ergy sing	let excit	e state in	acetoni	trile (CH ₃ CN)
Symboli	ic Z-matrix of the	optimize	ed struct	ure:		<u></u>
Ć	1.02872	1.38298		-0.12051	l	
С	1.85569	0.2327		-0.02014	ł	
С	1.22015	-1.04241	_	0.08023		
С	-0.16736	-1.14834	Ļ	0.08006		
С	-0.98386	0.00431		-0.02043	3	
С	-0.34707	1.28472		-0.13072	2	
Η	1.48815	2.36593		-0.2007		
Η	1.83323	-1.93391	_	0.15066		
Η	-0.61445	-2.13277	7	0.19038		
Н	-0.93837	2.18937		-0.24115	5	
Ν	-2.37429	-0.08729)	-0.05078	3	
С	-3.01668	-1.38648	3	-0.17003	3	
Η	-2.92898	-1.96516	5	0.78722		
Η	-4.09478	-1.25732	2	-0.40171	l	
Η	-2.52646	-1.96728	3	-0.98496	5	
С	-3.20286	1.10611		0.26132		

6

Н	-3.13912	1.87333	-0.55	388	
Н	-4.24878	0.81378	0.349	23	
Н	-2.8813	1.56874	1.220	3	
С	3.31598	0.36021	-0.01	995	
Ο	4.09248	-0.63669	0.070	23	
H	3.71483	1.38708	-0.110	076	
Total	energies of the o	optimized struct	$ure(E_{Tot}) =$	-479.402	023 Hartree
Vibra	tional frequency	(cm^{-1}) of the op	ptimized str	ructure:	1 105 001
(1)	20.9627	(21) 827	4.4789	(41)	1437.881
(2)	67.6026	(22) 862	2.4195	(42)	1446./954
(3)	8/.8849	(23) 919	0.9794	(43)	1454.8/41
(4)	144.3812	(24) 944	1.0/91 0116	(44)	1408.8855
(5)	105 6062	(23) 900	2.0140	(43)	1402.277
(0)	230 5014	(20) 960 (27) 101	8 1157	(40) (47)	1409.1900
(7)	259 0504	(27) 101 (28) 107	1 6827	(47) (48)	1551 4138
(0)	305 1378	(20) 107 (29) 110	1.0027	(40)	1628 0145
(10)	389 6475	(30) 112	8 0042	(50)	2916 1223
(11)	451.8964	(31) 114	7.8255	(51)	3035.9942
(12)	469.2711	(32) 118	31.8141	(52)	3037.0283
(13)	474.432	(33) 121	3.5641	(53)	3098.7235
(14)	497.0867	(34) 125	51.09	(54)	3104.1621
(15)	594.0465	(35) 129	2.5799	(55)	3153.28
(16)	641.5855	(36) 130	8.451	(56)	3165.1974
(17)	677.039	(37) 133	31.2292	(57)	3169.637
(18)	709.2557	(38) 137	1.1039	(58)	3178.7631
(19)	773.7675	(39) 140)5.6997	(59)	3180.6762
(20)	813.1979	(40) 141	5.5097	(60)	3190.659
DMA	BA at the groun	d state in cyclol	nexane (C ₆	H <u>12</u>)	
Symt	olic Z-matrix of	t the optimized s	tructure:	0.0	
C	1.03769	1.35732	0.000	08	
C	1.84341	0.20481	0.000	015	
C	1.20110	-1.04891	-0.00	015	
C	-0.1/299	-1.13030	-0.00	047	
C	-0.99474	1 27804	-0.00	079	
с н	-0.34147	2 33518	-0.00	022 47	
11 H	1.30555	-1 94849	0.000	05	
H	-0 62548	-2 1318	-0.000	03	
H	-0.92003	2.1907	0.000	05	
N	-2.35491	-0.08057	-0.00	163	
C	-3.00777	-1.38695	0.001	03	
Ĥ	-2.74356	-1.96698	0.891	39	
Н	-4.08544	-1.24289	-0.00	142	
Н	-2.74042	-1.97167	-0.88	521	
С	-3.1798	1.12415	0.000	91	
Н	-2.9928	1.73976	-0.88	502	
Н	-4.22804	0.83556	-0.00	234	
H	-2.99644	1.73412	0.891	61	
C	3.29139	0.33274	0.000	48	
0	4.0974	-0.59287	-0.00	04	
H	3.65994	1.37805	-0.00	022	
10tal Vibro	energies of the o	$continuation optimized structure (cont^{-1}) of the contract$	$\text{Ire}(E_{\text{Tot}}) =$	-4/9.5010	J03 Hartree
(1)	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	(cm) of the of (21)	s 5005	(41)	1492 2122
(1)	20.00	(21) 040 (22) 056	5.0095	(41) (42)	1402.2123
(2)	80.4051	(22) 958	3068	(42)	1489 4762
(3)	158 3884	(23) 930	2473	(43)	1511 0571
(4)	165 3721	(24) 907 (25) 101	3 6765	(45)	1525 9872
(6)	186.1474	(26) 102	5.8109	(46)	1558.6514
(7)	237.6328	(27) 107	6.7446	(47)	1579.2668
(8)	268.4081	(28) 113	4.026	(48)	1635.3147
(9)	331.998	(29) 113	6.4199	(49)	1720.5545
(10)	341.3259	(30) 114	7.6133	(50)́	2887.813
(11)	437.7698	(31) 118	6.5613	(51)	2999.3957
(12)	471.7243	(32) 119	4.3988	(52)	3006.5559
(13)	494.8978	(33) 125	58.5636	(53)	3044.765
				_	
				7	

(14)	517.6183	(34)	1263.2168	(54)	3047.3774
(15)	606.875	(35)	1337.5982	(55)	3131.3898
(16)	647.2256	(36)	1366.8842	(56)	3143.9066
(17)	731.7614	(37)	1389.9599	(57)	3156.6813
(18)	736.0826	(38)	1423.1089	(58)	3182.5099
(19)	812.7254	(39)	1447.0381	(59)	3212.1274
(20) DMAD	831.1014	(40)	1468.4102	(60)	3213.1/65
<u>DMAB</u>	A at the lowest en	ergy sing	<u>d structure</u>	in cyclone	$exane (C_6 H_{12})$
Symbol	1.02614	1 28552		12	
C	1.02014	0.22835	-0.0102	23	
C	1 2113	-1 04425	-0.007	31	
č	-0.16484	-1.13932	0.0189)	
Č	-0.99449	0.0112	0.0682	1	
C	-0.34345	1.2786	0.0189	6	
Н	1.47974	2.3709	-0.0396	57	
Н	1.80523	-1.9503	-0.0398	33	
Н	-0.60873	-2.1258	0.0087	9	
H	-0.9291	2.18789	0.0089	3	
N	-2.3/11/	-0.08862	2 0.2164	9	
C	-3.00935	-1.39458	-0.098	5/	
H	-2.5/113	-2.23034	0.5620	4	
П U	-4.08977	-1.20390	0.1383	3	
П	-2.90700	1 10063	-1.225	38	
н	-3.12424	1 44276	-1.232	71	
H	-4 24363	0.82123	0 1484	4	
H	-2.88579	2.01438	0.5425	4	
Ĉ	3.25514	0.35202	-0.0090)8	
0	4.1285	-0.62213	-0.0085	53	
Н	3.73997	1.33733	-0.0186	58	
Total en	ergies of the opti-	mized str	ucture $(E_{Tot}) = -$	479.3725	59 Hartree
Vibratio	nal frequency (cr	n^{-1}) of the	e optimized stru	cture:	
(1)	62.8444	(21)	795.8349	(41)	1465.7361
(2)	71.5393	(22)	821.8394	(42)	1483.3131
(3)	120.1834	(23)	926.1305	(43)	1484.1051
(4)	140.4070	(24)	940.575	(44)	1409.927
(5)	188 7326	(25)	001 6302	(43)	1518 8/21
(0) (7)	249 9625	(20) (27)	1075 7743	(40)	1579 1468
(8)	276 3408	(27)	1121 8422	(48)	1534 1018
(9)	340.4768	(29)	1123.7313	(49)	1623.3962
(10)	360.8924	(30)	1140.3036	(50)	2965.1545
(11)	422.0539	(31)	1146.2474	(51)	2971.766
(12)	452.6547	(32)	1182.4597	(52)	3006.2135
(13)	480.7805	(33)	1193.746	(53)	3061.3735
(14)	493.0505	(34)	1231.1709	(54)	3062.2245
(15)	552.4849	(35)	1244.9005	(55)	3118.6906
(16)	588.0685	(36)	1332.9116	(56)	3128.7748
(1/)	642.7342	(3/)	1345.974	(5/)	3165.9234
(18)	696.//S6	(38)	13/4.435/	(58)	3180.6459
(19) (20)	780.0318	(39)	1411.0155	(59)	3203.7121
DMAR	A at the ground st	(+0) ate in wa	ter $(H_{2}\Omega)$	(00)	5207.5751
Symboli	ic Z-matrix of the	optimize	ed structure:		
Ċ	-7.95648	-0.03672	-4.4792	72	
C	-6.78442	-0.85765	-4.4545	54	
С	-6.96845	-2.27008	-4.5645	53	
С	-8.2375	-2.81322	-4.667	18	
С	-9.38492	-2.00017	-4.6768	37	
C	-9.20461	-0.59626	-4.5912	21	
H	-7.85362	1.04127	-4.4264	14	
H	-6.1058	-2.92546	-4.5556	53	
H H	-8.55255	-3.8892	-4.733	10	
п N	-10.0/140	0.04933	-4.0385	7.) 2./	
C	-10.82534	-3.92565	-4.013	13	
~			U.1./.		

H	-10.51845	-4.6041	6	-4.3697	_	
H	-11.87015	-4.1319	4	-5.4162	2	
H	-10.22463	-4.1384	9	-6.0639	6	
C	-11.63297	-2.1551	5	-3.7297		
H	-11.57783	-1.0898	4	-3.514/	1	
H	-12.65251	-2.3906	4 5	-4.0401	5	
H C	-11.40882	-2.7099	5	-2.80/0	5	
C	-5.514/0	-0.2829	0	-4.341/	9	
U	-4.3/004	-0.9098	0	-4.3234	כ. ד	
	-3.30070	0.00031	1	6 8/07	/	
U Ц	-11.09009	-0.7637	4 5	-6.2420		
H	-12 69477	-0.5117	2	-6 3848	9	
$\hat{0}$	-1 73732	-1 0713	$\frac{2}{4}$	-4 6994	5	
н	-1 36055	-0.9971	5	-3 8171	3 7	
H	-2.72366	-1.0327	0	-4.5681	5	
Total en	ergies of the opti	mized st	ructure (E_{Tot} = -6	532.4024	74 Hartree
Vibratic	onal frequency (ci	n^{-1}) of th	e optimi	zed struc	cture:	
(1)	22.7783	(ŹŹ)	646.740)7	(53)	1477.9689
(2)	28.9387	(28)	656.234	18	(54)	1488.906
(3)	32.3768	(29)	735.835	52	(55)	1495.2735
(4)	36.0961	(30)	738.330)2	(56)	1501.9008
(5)	43.3602	(31)	820.877	2	(57)	1521.485
(6)	73.1517	(32)	841.184	13	(58)	1548.7069
(7)	81.1714	(33)	853.067	78	(59)	1577.0281
(8)	90.8174	(34)	944.027	12	(60)	1618.4451
(9)	110.1811	(35)	971.644	13	(61)	1622.0381
(10)	126.9127	(36)	992.828	38	(62)	1628.2681
(11)	163.537	(37)	1014.14	13	(63)	1682.0916
(12)	169.067	(38)	1033.96	501 52	(64)	2948.4222
(13)	181.3252	(39)	1065.10	153	(65)	3006.6898
(14)	21/.1/84	(40)	1119.41	31	(66)	3011.3145
(13)	249.1034	(41)	1142.30	004	(0/)	3098.0002
(10) (17)	218 574	(42)	1149.59	194 102	(00)	3101.3333
(17) (18)	316.574	(43)	1100.30	1	(09) (70)	3130.2442
(10) (10)	366.0644	(44) (45)	1234.07	145	(70) (71)	3167 4375
(20)	372 6943	(45)	1267.94	4 <i>3</i> 174	(71)	3187.0638
(20)	433 4434	(47)	1341 33	326	(72)	3224 1216
(22)	468.21	(48)	1356.40)88	(74)	3225.5305
(23)	493.7323	(49)	1364.67	158	(75)	3529.8618
(24)	524.3388	(50)	1427.05	548	(76)	3652.9715
(25)	529.4068	(51)	1447.13	346	(77)	3860.7979
(26)	605.3409	(52)	1464.30)31	(78)	3862.5011
DMAB	A at the lowest er	nergy sin	glet exci	te state in	n in wate	er (H ₂ O)
Symbol	ic Z-matrix of the	e optimiz	ed struct	ture:		_
С	1.24936	1.27596	5	0.43134	ł	
C	2.18825	0.25959		0.06563	3	
C	1.66515	-1.0206	2	-0.2926	3	
C	0.30277	-1.2633	7	-0.2606	5	
C	-0.61209	-0.2630	5	0.11365)	
C	-0.09/98	1.01564	-	0.44/52	2	
H	1.00811	2.20//8	1	0.08240) C	
П U	2.34280	-1.8130	1 7	-0.3814	0	
п Ц	-0.04977	1 81587	1	0.5250	4)	
N	-0.79020	-0.4598	2	0.07602	,)	
Ċ	-2 51911	-1 6902	- 7	-0 4870	6	
й	-2.26879	-2 5900	, 6	0,09386	í	
Ĥ	-3.60585	-1.6244	7	-0.5582	2	
Ĥ	-2.11557	-1.7977	6	-1.4943	8	
Ĉ	-2.67767	-0.1970	9	1.41899)	
Ĥ	-2.34503	0.75317	,	1.83186	5	
Н	-3.75725	-0.1463	8	1.26665	5	
Н	-2.46215	-0.9901	1	2.149		
С	3.56002	0.52978	5	0.04965	5	
0	4.51073	-0.2938	4	-0.2766	5	

Н	3.94691	1.52226	5	0.32081		
0	-3.04962	1.90833	5	-1.2481	5	
Н	-2.71745	1.0682		-0.8752	6	
Н	-3.68808	2.21171		-0.5947	1	
0	7.09701	-0.2192		-0.49013	8	
Н	7.3912	-0.2582	3	0.42516		
Н	6.15553	-0.5433		-0.4786	7	
Total en	ergies of the opti	mized st	ructure (E	E_{Tot} =-6.	32.24055	51 Hartree
Vibratio	onal frequency (ci	n ⁻¹) of th	e optimiz	zed struc	ture:	
(1)	32.5771	(27)	642.3354	4	(53)	1464.3403
(2)	41.8528	(28)	699.283	8	(54)	1472.5204
(3)	55.362	(29)	700.882	5	(55)	1480.8051
(4)	72.9272	(30)	709.763	5	(56)	1492.5312
(5)	82.5538	(31)	727.583	1	(57)	1498.2321
(6)	95.5796	(32)	793.716	8	(58)	1512.2048
(7)	104.7928	(33)	812.2444	4	(59)	1529.4515
(8)	119.3227	(34)	838.052	7	(60)	1535.2336
(9)	157.1924	(35)	937.9043	3	(61)	1615.919
(10)	164.9052	(36)	942.222	5	(62)	1627.1926
(11)	187.5421	(37)	959.1344	4	(63)	1677.7618
(12)	203.7642	(38)	1000.852	26	(64)	2969.4336
(13)	228.7967	(39)	1056.970	03	(65)	2975.758
(14)	277.5981	(40)	1112.398	39	(66)	3075.3996
(15)	278.2713	(41)	1132.762	29	(67)	3079.1247
(16)	296.7515	(42)	1149.385	56	(68)	3117.4566
(17)	306.5928	(43)	1171.374	47	(69)	3121.5946
(18)	328.2795	(44)	1178.103	3	(70)	3133.9291
(19)	390.066	(45)	1199.139	99	(71)	3164.2338
(20)	406.9612	(46)	1202.62	63	(72)	3178.417
(21)	425.5252	(47)	1229.250	01	(73)	3185.4867
(22)	436.9236	(48)	1317.444	4	(74)	3197.1338
(23)	439.4822	(49)	1331.76	03	(75)	3493.8813
(24)	489.6095	(50)	1340.000	08	(76)	3791.1384
(25)	525.428	(51)	1416.31	55	(77)	3854.693
(26)	570.6854	(52)	1442.86	53	(78)	3876.6827



Figure S12 Diagram of the molecular orbital calculated for the DMABA (left) $n_0\pi^*$ state in H₂O and (right) $n_N\pi^*$ (ICT) state in CH₃CN.