Copper Coordination Polymer Electrocatalyst for Strong Hydrogen Evolution Reaction Activity in Neutral Medium: Influence of Coordination Environment and Network Structure

Pandi Muthukumar,^[a] Dohyun Moon,^{*[b]} and Savarimuthu Philip Anthony^{*[a]}



Figure S1. Molecular structure of green crystal. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Cu PDA-1



Cu PDA-2



Cu PDA-3

Cu PDA-4



Cu PDA-6

Figure S2. Different structural motifs of Cu-PDA CPs and complexes with different coordination geometry and mode. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Figure S3. (a) Different coordination geometry of Cu and coordination mode of PDA in Cu PDA-1 with elemental labelling, (b) 1D coordination polymeric network and (c) Asymmetric unit of Cu PDA-1 with included DABCO and water molecule in the crystal lattice of Cu PDA-1. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Figure S4. (a) H-bonding interaction between protonated DABCO and carboxylate oxygen (b) molecular packing in the crystal lattice of Cu PDA-1. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange); H-bonds (broken line). $d_{D...A}$ distances are marked (Å).



Figure S5. 1D coordination network structure with different coordination geometry of Cu and PDA coordination mode in the crystal lattice of Cu PDA-2. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Figure S6. 1D coordination network structure in the crystal lattice of (a) Cu PDA-3 and (b) Cu PDA-4. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Figure S7. H-bonding interactions between coordinated water molecules and carboxylate oxygen in the crystal lattice of Cu PDA-3. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange); H-bonds (broken line). $d_{D...A}$ distances are marked (Å).



Figure S8. Molecular packing in the crystal lattice of (a) Cu PDA-3 and (b) Cu PDA-4. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Figure S9. Molecular structure in the crystal lattice of (a) Cu PDA-5 and (b) Cu PDA-6. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange).



Figure S10. H-bonding interactions between coordinated water molecules and carboxylate oxygen in the crystal lattice of Cu PDA-6. C (grey), N (blue), O (red), H (white) and Cu (orange); H-bonds (broken line). $d_{D...A}$ distances are marked (Å).



Figure S11. TGA analysis of Cu PDA-1 to Cu PDA-3.



Figure S12. TGA analysis of Cu PDA-4 to Cu PDA-6.



Figure S13. Comparison of simulated and experimental PXRD of Cu PDA-1 and Cu PDA-2.



Figure S14. Comparison of simulated and experimental PXRD of Cu PDA-3 and Cu PDA-4.



Figure S15. Comparison of simulated and experimental PXRD of Cu PDA-5 and Cu PDA-6.



Figure S16. Absorption spectra of Cu PDA-1 and 3 in different water medium after immersing in 1h.

Table S2. HER electrocatalytic activities of CPs/MOFs in presence of conducting composites. (* $0.5M H_2SO_4$ was used as electrolyte for all catalysts)

Catalyst (*)	Overpotential [mV] @ 10 mA/cm ²	Tafel slope [mV/dec]	Stability tests	Ref
(GO 8 wt%) Cu-MOF	209 (30 mA/cm ²)	84	N/A	1
1.7 wt% AB &Cu.BTC	208	80	2000 cycles and 18h	2
AB&CTGU-5 (1:4) (Co)	44	45	96 h	3
AB&CTGU-9 (3:4) (Co)	128	87	2000 cycle and 21h	4
Gr(4wt%) &Co-MOF	125	91	1000 cycle	5
UiO-66-NH2-Mo-5 (Zr& Mo)	200	59	5000 cycles and 7h	6
MSZIF-900 (Co)	233	N/A	2.5 h	7



Figure S17. HER Polarization curves of two more batch prepared Cu PDA-3 in 1.0 M KOH and 1.0 M PBS.



Figure S18. HER polarization curves of Cu PDA-3 in 0.5 M H₂SO₄.



Figure S19. High resolution XPS spectrum of Cu^{2+} after catalysis of Cu PDA-3 in 1.0 M PBS.



Figure S20. Current–time chronoamperometric response of Cu PDA-1, Cu PDA-2, Cu PDA-4, Cu PDA-5 and Cu PDA-6 in 1.0 M KOH.



Figure S21. Current–time chronoamperometric response of Cu PDA-1, Cu PDA-2, Cu PDA-4, Cu PDA-5 and Cu PDA-6 in 1.0 M PBS.



Figure S22. Possible H-bonding site of water molecule with Cu PDA-3 is shown in blue circle whereas crossed red circle shows the absence of such H-bonding site in Cu PDA-4.

References:

- 1) M. Jahan, Z. Liu, K. P. Loh, Adv. Funct. Mat. 2013, 23, 5363–5372.
- X. Wang, W. Zhou, Y.-P. Wu, J.-W. Tian, X.-K. Wang, D.-D. Huang, J. Zhao, D.-S. Li, J. All. Comp. 2018, 753, 228–233.
- Y.-P. Wu, W. Zhou, J. Zhao, W.-W. Dong, Y.-Q. Lan, D.-S. Li, C. Sun, X. Bu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13001–13005.
- 4) W. Zhou, Y.-P. Wu, X. Wang, J.-W. Tian, D.-D. Huang, J. Zhao, Y.-Q. Lan, D.-S. Li, Cryst. Eng. Comm. 2018, 20, 4804–4809.
- 5) J.-W. Tian, M.-X. Fu, D.-D. Huang, X.-K. Wang, Y.-P. Wu, J. Y. Lu, D.-S. Li, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.* **2018**, *95*, 73-77.
- 6) X. Dai, M. Liu, Z. Li, A. Jin, Y. Ma, X. Huang, H. Sun, H. Wang, X. Zhang, J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 12539–12548.
- 7) G. Jia, W. Zhang, G. Fan, Z. Li, D. Fu, W. Hao, C. Yuan, Z. Zou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13781–13785.