Supporting Information for

Efficient Synthesis of Chiral γ-Aminobutyric Esters via direct Rhodium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Hydroaminomethylation of acrylates

Dr. Anton Cunillera,^a Dr. Miriam Díaz de los Bernardos,^b Prof. Martine Urrutigoïty,^c Prof. Carmen Claver,^a Prof. Aurora Ruiz^a, Prof. Cyril Godard,^a*

- a Departament de Química Física i Inorgànica, Universitat Rovira I Virgili, C/Marcel·lí Domingo, n1, 43007, Tarragona, Spain. E-mail: <u>cyril.godard@urv.cat</u>
- b Centre Tecnològic de Química de Catalunya-Eurecat, C/Marcel·lí Domingo, s/n, 43007, Tarragona, Spain.
- c Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, LCC, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, 4 allee Emile Monso, 31030 Toulouse Cedex 4, France.

Table of contents

SI.	General considerations			
SII.	Synthesis of α-alkyl acrylates			
SIII.	General procedure for Rh-Catalyzed Asymmetric HAM			
SIV.	Optimization of reaction conditions with aniline			
SV.	Synthesis of γ-aminobutyric esters via Rh-catalyzed HAM			
SVI.	Reduction of γ-aminobutyric esters to alcohols			
SVII.	Synthesis and characterization of rhodium complexes			
SVIII.	. Selected NMR and IR spectra from in situ HP studies			
Α.	$[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ in the presence of L1 under CO pressure	S25		
В.	$[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ in the presence of L1 under H ₂ pressure	S27		
C.	$[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ in the presence of L1 under H ₂ /CO pressure	S27		
D.	Characterization of rhodium hydride species 12 and 13	S28		
E.	. Experiment to control the formation of hydrides 12 and 13			
F.	Reaction monitoring experiments	S35		
SIX.	Selected spectroscopic data	S38		
SX.	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR Spectra of γ-aminobutyric esters and	530		
	aminoalcohols	000		
SXI.	NMR Spectra of Rh-complexes 7, 8 and 9	S63		
SXII.	Enantiomeric excess determination			

SI. General Considerations

General: All the reactions were carried out using Schlenk-line inert atmosphere techniques or glovebox techniques. Anhydrous solvents were collected from the system Braun MB SPS-800 except from 1,2-dichloroethane, which was dried over CaH₂, and stored under inert atmosphere.

Reagents: Commercially available reagents and solvents were purchased at the highest commercial quality from Sigma-Aldrich, Fluka, Alfa Aesar, Fluorochem, Strem and were used as received, without further purification, unless otherwise stated.

Analytical methods: ¹H, ¹³C{¹H} and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded using a Varian Mercury VX 400 (400, 100.6, and 161.97 MHz respectively). Chemical shift values (δ) are reported in ppm relative to TMS (¹H and ¹³C(¹H)) or H_3PO_4 (³¹P{¹H}), and coupling constants are reported in Hertz. The following abbreviations are used to indicate the multiplicity: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet; bs, broad signal. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on an Agilent Time-of-Flight 6210 using ESI-TOF (electrospray ionization-time of flight). Samples were introduced to the mass spectrometer ion source by direct injection using a syringe pump and were externally calibrated using sodium formate. The instrument was operating in the positive ion mode. Reactions were monitored by TLC carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ glass or aluminum plates. Developed TLC plates were visualized under a short-wave UV lamp (254 nm) and by heating plates that were dipped in potassium permanganate. Flash column chromatography was carried out using forced flow of the indicated solvent on Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh).

The enantiomeric excess of γ -aminobutyric esters **6** or analogous aminoalcohols **17** was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy using Eu(hcf)₃, and HPLC analysis on chiral stationary phase performed on a Waters ACQUITY[®] UPC² instrument, employing Daicel Chiralpak IA, IG, IC or OD-H chiral columns, and Trefoil CEL2 chiral column. The exact conditions for the analyses are specified within the supporting information section. HPLC traces were compared to racemic samples prepared performing the reactions in the presence of di-*tert*-butylphenylphosphine.

Catalysis: The Rh-catalyzed HAM reaction was set up in a CAT24 autoclave from HEL Inc. and was stirred with a teflon-coated magnetic stir bar.

SII. Synthesis of α-alkyl acrylates

General Procedure A: Synthesis of α-alkyl acrylates (1b-d)



The reaction was carried out in an oven dried, argon purged, schlenck fitted with a argon inlet and septum and following a modified literature procedure.¹ To a stirred solution of ethyl 2-alkylacetoacetate (17 mmol, 1.0 eq) in THF (136 mL) was added LiHMDS 1.0 M in THF (19 mL, 19 mmol, 1.1 eq) at -78 °C. The solution was left stirring for 30 min then paraformaldehyde (3g, excess) was added as a solid in one portion. The suspension was allowed to reach room temperature and left stirring for 16h. The mixture was filtrated through a pad of Celite to remove the excess of paraformaldehyde. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash chromatography to afford the α -alkyl acrylate **1**.

Ethyl 2-benzylacrylate (1b)¹



General procedure A was followed employing ethyl 2benzyl-3-oxobutanoate as starting material. Purification by flash chromatography eluting with hexane/EtOAc (20:1)

afforded 1b (3.0 g, 93%) as colorless oil.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.22 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.59 (s, 2H), 4.14 (q, $J_{\rm H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.41 (bs, 1H), 6.19 (bs, 1H), 7.15-7.27 (m, 5H, Ar). ¹³C **NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.2 (1C), 38.1 (1C), 60.8 (1C), 126.0 (1C), 126.3-138.8 (6C, Ar), 140.4 (1C), 167.0 (1C). These signals are in agreement with those reported in the literature.

Ethyl 3-methyl-2-methylenebutanoate (1c)¹



General procedure A was followed employing ethyl 2-acetyl-3methylbutanoate as starting material. Purification by flash chromatography eluting with hexane/EtOAc (20:1) afforded 1c

(2.2 g, 54%) as colorless oil.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.07 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 8 Hz, 6H), 1.30 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 8 Hz, 3H), 2.80 (m, 1H), 4.21 (q, $J_{H,H}$ = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.50 (bs, 1H), 6.11 (bs, 1H). ¹³C **NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.3 (1C), 21.9 (2C), 29.4 (1C), 60.6 (1C), 121.6 (1C), 147.5 (1C), 167.6 (1C). Peaks in agreement with those reported in the literature.

Ethyl 2-cyclopentylacrylate (1d)



General procedure A was followed employing ethyl 2cyclopentyl-3-oxobutanoate (10 mmol) as starting material. by flash chromatography Purification eluting with hexane/EtOAc (30:1) afforded 1d (850 mg, 51%) as colorless oil.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.26 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.58 (m, 2H), 1.64 (m, 5H), 1.70 (m, 1H), 2.84 (m, 1H), 4.20 (q, J_{H,H} = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.51 (bs, 1H), 6.10 (bs, 1H).¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.3 (1C), 25.0 (2C), 31.2 (2C), 41.5 (1C), 60.5 (1C), 121.6 (1C), 144.8 (1C), 167.7 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₀H₁₆O₂. Exact: (M: 168.1150, M+H: 169.1229); Experimental: (M+H: 169.1221).

¹ Wang, X.; Buchwald, S. L. Rh-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydro-formylation of Functionalized 1,1-Disubstituted Olefins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 19080-19083.

SIII. General procedure for Rh-catalyzed Asymmetric HAM with secondary amines

General procedure B: rhodium catalyzed asymmetric hydroaminomethylation of α -alkyl acrylates with secondary amines.



A 2 mL glassware reactor tube was charged with α -alkyl acrylate **1** (0.5 mmol), amine (0.5 mmol), bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium (I) tetrafluoroborate (2 mg, 0.005 mmol) in DCE (0.2 mL) and chiral ligand (*R*,*R*)-QuinoxP^{*}L**1** (2 mg, 0.006 mmol) in toluene (0.2 mL). The reaction tube was placed in the reactor which was pressurized at the desired pressure, heated to 90 °C and left stirring at 900 rpm. The reaction was stopped after 16 h by cooling the reactor in an ice bath for 20 min followed by venting of the system. The mixture was purified by chromatographic column and the enantiomeric excess of the resulting α -alkylγ-aminobutyric esters **6** analyzed by chiral HPLC or ¹H NMR using Eu(hcf)₃.

SIV. Optimization of Reaction Conditions with Aniline

 Table S1: Optimization of reaction conditions for the asymmetric hydroaminomethylation of methyl methacrylate 1a with aniline 2e.

11		(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-Quin	P'tBu P'tBu Bu oxP* L1	ſ	1			
	+	L1 (1.2	$\xrightarrow{\text{mol}\%)}$	_0_ +		C	↓* + +	
1a	2e		18		19a		20a	5e
Entry ^a	RI	า	Conv. [%] ^[b]	18 ^[b]	19a ^[b]	20a ^[b]	6e [IY] ^[b]	ee [%] ^[c]
1 ^{<i>d</i>}	[Rh(COI	D) ₂]BF ₄	22	16	-	6	-	-
2	[Rh(acad	:)(CO)2]	>99	69	11	18	-	-
3 ^{<i>d</i>}	[Rh(acad	:)(CO) ₂]	>99	66	6	16	12 [11]	60
4 ^e	[Rh(acad	:)(CO) ₂]	>99	51	6	16	26 [19]	60
5 ^{<i>f</i>}	[Rh(COD)2]BF4		77	28	16	17	16 [15]	40

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2e** (0.5 mmol), Rh (1 mol%), **L1** (1.2 mol%), P = 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1), toluene (0.4 ml), T = 90°C, t = 16h. ^b % conversion and yield determined by ¹H NMR using naphthalene as internal standard, values in bracket refer to isolated yields. ^c ee determined by HPLC. ^d tol/DCE (1:1, 0.4 ml). ^e Rh (2 mol%), **L1** (2.4 mol%), tol/DCE (1:1, 0.4 ml). ^f NEt₃ (0.5 mol%), tol/DCE (1:1, 0.4 mL).

General procedure C: rhodium catalyzed asymmetric HAM of α -alkyl acrylates 1 with aniline 2e.



A 2 mL glassware reactor tube was charged with α -alkyl acrylate **1** (0.5 mmol), aniline **2e** (0.5 mmol), dicarbonyl(acetylacetonato)rhodium (I) (2.6 mg, 0.01 mmol) in DCE (0.2 mL) and chiral ligand (*R*,*R*)-QuinoxP^{*} **L1** (4 mg, 0.012 mmol) in toluene (0.2 mL). The reaction tube was placed in the reactor which was pressurized with 10 bar of H₂/CO (4:1), heated to 90°C and left stirring at 900 rpm. The reaction was stopped after 16 h by cooling the reactor in an ice bath for 20 min followed by venting of the system. The mixture was purified by chromatographic column and the enantiomeric excess of the resulting α -alkyl- γ -aminobutyric esters **6** analyzed by chiral HPLC.

SV. Synthesis of γ-aminobutyric esters via Rh-catalyzed HAM

Methyl 2-methyl-4-morpholinobutanoate (6a)



General procedure B was followed employing methyl methacrylate **1a** (53.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol) and morpholine (44 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (2:1) afforded **6a** (60 mg, 60%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 73% by UPC² analysis on a Acquity

Trefoil Cel2 column with a gradient 90:10 CO₂/Acetonitrile with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2mL/min, λ = 230 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 2.0 min, $t_{r major}$ = 1.5 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.15 (d, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 2.31 (dt, $J_{H,H} = 2$, 8 Hz, 2H), 2.49 (bs, 4H), 2.52 (m, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.69 (t, $J_{H,H} = 4.4$, 4H). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 17.4 (1C), 30.5 (1C), 37.9 (1C), 51.7 (1C), 53.8 (2C), 56.8 (1C), 67.1 (2C), 177.1 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₀H₁₉NO. Exact: (M: 201.1365, M+H: 202.1443); Experimental: (M+H: 202.1435).

Methyl 4-(benzyl(methyl)amino)-2-methylbutanoate (6b)



General procedure B was followed employing **1a** (53.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol) and N-Benzylmethylamine (66.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (3:1) afforded **6b** (57 mg, 50%) as colorless oil.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.12 (d, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.59 (m, 1H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.37 (t, $J_{H,H} = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.55 (m, 1H), 3.47 (bs, 2H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 7.24-7.31 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 17.3 (1C), 31.4 (1C), 37.5 (1C), 42.2 (1C), 51.7 (1C), 55.0 (1C), 62.6 (1C), 112.7-148.1 (6C, *Ar*) 177.4 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₄H₂₁NO₂. Exact: (M: 235.1572, M+H: 236.1651); Experimental: (M+H: 236.1647).

Methyl 4-(*tert*-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate)-2-methylbutanoate (6c)



General procedure B was followed employing **1a** (53.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol) and N-Boc-piperazine (93.1 mg, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (2:1) afforded **6c** (75.1 mg, 50%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 69 % by UPC² analysis on a Daicel Chiralpak IG

column with a gradient 90:10 CO₂/MeOH with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2mL/min, λ = 230 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 2.2 min, $t_{r major}$ = 2.3 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.16 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.57 (m, 1H), 1.91 (m, 1H), 2.33 (m, 6H), 2.50 (m, 1H), 3.40 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 3.66

(s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 17.3 (1C), 28.4 (3C), 30.6 (1C), 37.8 (1C), 43.0 (2C), 51.6 (1C), 52.9 (2C), 56.2 (1C), 79.6 (1C), 154.7(1C), 176.9 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₅H₂₈N₂O₄. Exact: (M: 300.2049, M+H: 301.2127); Experimental: (M+H: 301.2126).

Methyl 2-methyl-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanoate (6d)



General procedure B was followed employing **1a** (53.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol) and piperidine (49.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with Et₂O afforded **6d** (50 mg, 50%) as colorless oil.

⁰ ¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.15 (d, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.44 (bs, 2H), 1.56 (m, 5H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.34 (bs, 4H), 2.45 (m, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 17.2 (1C), 24.4 (1C), 25.9 (2C), 30.9 (1C), 37.9 (1C), 51.5 (1C), 54.6 (1C), 57.0 (2C), 177.1 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for $C_{21}H_{21}NO_2$. Exact: (M: 199.1572, M+H: 200.1651); Experimental: (M+H: 200.1660).

Methyl 2-methyl-4-(phenylamino)butanoate (6e)



General procedure C was followed employing **1a** (53.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol) and aniline (46 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (2:1) afforded **6e** (19 mg, 19%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 60% by UPC² analysis on a Daicel Chiralpak IA

column with a gradient 95:05 CO₂/EtOH with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 3mL/min, λ = 240 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 1.2 min, $t_{r major}$ = 1.1 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.21 (d, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.99 (m, 1H), 2.61 (m, 1H), 3.16 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 6.59 (m, 2H, *Ar*), 6.7 (tt, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H, *Ar*), 7.18 (m, 2H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 17.3 (1C), 33.3 (1C), 37.4 (1C), 41.8 (1C), 51.7 (1C), 112.7-148.1

(6C, *Ar*), 176.9 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₂H₁₇NO₂. Exact: (M: 207.1259, M+H: 208.1338); Experimental: (M+H: 208.1331).

Ethyl 2-benzyl-4-morpholinobutanoate (6f)



General procedure B was followed employing **1b** (95 mg, 0.5 mmol) and morpholine (44 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:2) afforded **6f** (70 mg, 48%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 75 % by UPC² analysis on a

Daicel Chiralpak IG column with a gradient 95:05 CO₂/Methanol with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2mL/min, λ = 210 nm: $t_{r \text{ minor}}$ = 4.7 min, t_{r} major = 3.9 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.08 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.56 (m, 1H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 2.27 (m, 6H), 2.65 (m, 2H), 2.87 (m, 1H), 3.59 (m, 4H), 4.0 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.22 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.2 (1C), 28.5 (1C), 38.7 (1C), 45.9 (1C), 53.7 (2C), 56.8 (1C), 60.3 (1C), 67.0 (2C), 126.4-139.4 (6C, *Ar*), 175.4 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₇H₂₅NO₃. Exact: (M: 291.1834, M+H: 292.1913); Experimental: (M+H: 292.1907).

Ethyl 2-benzyl-4-(benzyl(methyl)amino)butanoate (6g)



General procedure B was followed employing **1b** (95 mg, 0.5 mmol) and N-benzylmethylamine (66.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (4:1) afforded **6g** (58 mg, 37%) as

colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 69 % by UPC² analysis on a CEL2 column with a gradient 98:02 CO₂/Methanol with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2mL/min, λ = 210 nm: $t_{r \text{ minor}}$ = 5.7 min, t_{r} major = 4.9 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.04 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.61 (m, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.29 (m, 2H), 2.68 (m, 2H), 2.84 (m, 1H), 3.36 (bs, 2H),

S11

3.94 (q, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.07-7.23 (m, 10H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.2 (1C), 29.4 (1C), 38.6 (1C), 42.0 (1C), 45.5 (1C), 55.0 (1C), 60.2 (1C), 62.5 (1C), 126.3-139.3 (12C, *Ar*), 175.5 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₂₁H₂₇NO₂. Exact: (M: 325.2042, M+H: 326.2120); Experimental: (M+H: 326.2116).

Tert-butyl 4-(3-benzyl-4-ethoxy-4-oxobutyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (6h)



General procedure B was followed employing **1b** (95 mg, 0.5 mmol) and N-Boc-piperazine (94 mg, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6h** (97 mg, 50%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 71 % by

UPC² analysis on a Daicel Chiralpak IG column with a gradient 85:15 CO₂/Methanol, flow rate 2mL/min, λ = 205 nm: $t_{r \text{ minor}}$ = 2.5 min, $t_{r \text{ major}}$ = 2.7 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.07 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1. 60 (m, 1H), 1.80 (m, 1H), 2.24 (m, 6H), 2.64 (m, 2H), 2.88 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 13.2$, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (m, 4H), 3.99 (m, 2H), 7.08-7.20 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.2 (1C), 28.4 (3C), 28.7 (1C), 38.7 (1C), 45.9 (2C), 52.9 (2C), 56.4 (1C), 60.3 (1C), 79.6 (1C), 126.4-139.1 (6C, *Ar*), 154.8 (1C), 175.4 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₂₂H₃₄NO₂. Exact: (M: 390.2519, M+H: 391.2597); Experimental: (M+H: 391.2596).

Ethyl 2-benzyl-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanoate (6i)



General procedure B was followed employing **1b** (95 mg, 0.5 mmol) and piperidine (49.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography with Al₂O₃ eluting with pentane/Et₂O (20:1) afforded **6i** (40 mg, 28%) as colorless oil.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.07 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.47 (m, 4H), 1.67 (m, 1H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 2.21 (m, 6H), 2.59 (m, 1H), 2.68 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 13.2$, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 13.6$, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (q, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.08-7.21 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.2 (1C), 24.4 (1C), 25.9 (2C), 29.0 (1C), 38.7 (1C), 46.1 (1C), 54.6 (2C), 57.1 (1C), 60.2 (1C), 126.2-139.2 (6C, *Ar*), 175.4 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₈H₂₇NO₂. Exact: (M: 289.2042, M+H: 290.2120); Experimental: (M+H: 290.2119).

Ethyl 2-benzyl-4-(phenylamino)butanoate (6j)



General procedure C was followed employing **1b** (95 mg, 0.5 mmol) and aniline (46.0 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (4:1) afforded **6j** (26 mg, 18%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 66 % by UPC² analysis on a

Daicel Chiralpak IA column with a gradient 90:10 CO₂/Methanol, flow rate 3mL/min, λ = 241 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 1.5 min, $t_{r major}$ = 1.7 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.14 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 2.77 (m, 2H), 3.01 (m, 1H), 3.15 (m, 2H), 4.06 (q, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 6.55 (d, $J_{H,H} = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, *Ar*), 6.67 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H, *Ar*), 7.14-7.30 (m, 7H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.2 (1C), 31.4 (1C), 38.6 (1C), 42.0 (1C), 45.5 (1C), 60.5 (1C), 112.7-148.0 (12C, *Ar*), 175.3 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₉H₂₃NO₂. Exact: (M: 297.1729, M+H: 298.1807); Experimental (M+H: 298.1802).

Ethyl 2-isopropyl-4-morpholinobutanoate (6k)



General procedure B was followed employing **1c** (71 mg, 0.5 mmol) and morpholine (44 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6k** (117 mg, 96%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 84% by UPC² analysis on a Daicel Chiralpak

IG column with a gradient 95:5 CO₂/Acetonitrile with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2mL/min, λ = 230 nm: $t_{r \, minor}$ = 1.8 min, : $t_{r \, major}$ = 1.7 min. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.92 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.26 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.65 (m, 1H), 1.82 (m, 2H), 2.11 (m, 1H) 2.26 (m, 2H), 2.41 (bs, 4H), 3.68 (t, $J_{H,H}$ = 4.8 Hz, 4H), 4.14 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.5 (1C), 20.3 (1C), 20.5 (1C), 26.4 (1C), 30.9 (1C), 51.0 (1C), 53.9 (2C), 57.6 (1C), 60.1 (1C), 67.1 (2C), 175.6 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₃H₂₅NO₃. Exact: (M: 243.1834, M+H: 244.1913); Experimental: (M+H: 244.1907).

Ethyl 4-(benzyl(methyl)amino)-2-isopropylbutanoate (6l)



General procedure B was followed employing **1c** (71 mg, 0.5 mmol) and N-benzylmethylamine (66.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:3) afforded **6I** (98 mg, 70%) as colorless oil.

The enantiomeric excess was determined to be >80% by ¹H NMR using $Eu(hfc)_3$.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.92 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 6H), 1.23 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.69 (m, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.86 (m, 1H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 2.19 (m, 1H), 2.29 (m, 1H), 2.37 (m, 1H), 3.40 (d, $J_{H,H} = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, $J_{H,H} = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.09 (m, 2H), 7.26-7.30 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.3 (1C), 20.1 (1C), 20.4 (1C), 27.1 (1C), 30.7 (1C), 42.0 (1C) 50.4 (1C), 55.7 (1C), 59.9 (1C), 62.5 (1C), 126.8-139.1 (6C, *Ar*), 175.5 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:**

Calculated for C₁₇H₂₇NO₂. Exact: (M: 277.2042, M+H: 278.2120); Experimental: (M+H: 278.2115).

Tert-butyl 4-(3-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-methylpentyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (6m)



General procedure B was followed employing **1c** (71 mg, 0.5 mmol) and N-Boc-piperazine (93.1 mg, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6m** (94 mg, 55%) as colorless oil The enantiomeric excess was determined to be >90% by ¹H NMR using Eu(hfc)₃.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.91 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 6H), 1.25 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.63 (m, 1H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 2.12 (m, 1H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.34 (bs, 4H), 3.39 (t, $J_{H,H} = 4.8$ Hz, 4H), 4.13 (m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.5 (1C), 20.2 (1C), 20.5 (1C), 26.5 (1C), 28.4 (3C), 30.7 (1C), 43.1 (1C), 43.8 (1C), 50.9 (1C), 53.0 (2C), 57.0 (1C), 59.9 (1C), 79.5 (1C),154.7 (1C), 175.4 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₈H₃₄N₂O₄. Exact: (M: 342.2519, M+H: 343.2597); Experimental: (M+H: 343.2595).

Ethyl 2-isopropyl-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanoate (6n)



General procedure B was followed employing **1c** (71 mg, 0.5 mmol) and piperidine (49.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with Et₂O afforded the **6n** (111 mg, 92%) as colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.92 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 8$, 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.26 (t, $J_{H,H} = 6.8$ Hz, 3H), 1.41 (m, 2H), 1.55 (m, 4H), 1.68 (m, 2H), 1.84 (m, 1H)6, 2.09 (m, 1H), 2.18 (m, 1H), 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.34 (bs, 4H), 4.13 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.4 (1C), 20.1 (1C), 20.5 (1C), 24.4 (1C), 26.0 (2C), 26.8 (1C), 30.8 (1C), 51.2 (1C), 54.7 (2C), 57.8 (1C), 59.9 (1C), 175.6 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₄H₂₇NO₂. Exact: (M: 241.2042, M+H: 242.2120); Experimental: (M+H: 242.2109).

Ethyl 2-isopropyl-4-(phenylamino)butanoate (60)



General procedure C was followed employing **1c** (71 mg, 0.5 mmol) and aniline (46 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6o** (68.7 mg, 55%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 77% by UPC² analysis on a Daicel Chiralpak

IG column with a gradient 85:15 CO₂/Acetonitrile with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 3 mL/min, λ = 243 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 2.3 min, $t_{r major}$ = 1.8 min.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.93 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.6$, 2.4, 6H), 1.25 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.93 (m, 2H), 2.23 (m, 1H), 3.10 (m, 2H), 4.14 (m, 2H), 6.58 (m, 2H, *Ar*), 6.59 (tt, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$, 1.2 Hz, 1H, *Ar*), 7.16 (m, 2H, *Ar*). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.5 (1C), 20.2 (1C), 20.5 (1C), 29.2 (1C), 30.9 (1C), 42.7 (1C), 50.6 (1C), 60.4 (1C) 112.8-148.2 (6C, *Ar*), 175.6 (1C). ESI-HRMS: Calculated for C₁₅H₂₃NO₂. Exact: (M: 249.1729, M+H: 250.1807); Experimental: (M+H: 250.1796).

Ethyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-morpholinobutanoate (6p)



General procedure B was followed employing **1d** (84.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) and morpholine (44 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6p** (101 mg, 75%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 85% by UPC² analysis on a Daicel

Chiralpak IG column with a gradient 96:4 CO₂/MeOH with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2 mL/min, λ = 230 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 3.7 min, $t_{r major}$ = 3.5 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.14 (tt, $J_{H,H} = 6.8$, 2Hz, 1H), 1.22 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 1.26 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.50-1.69 (m, 6H), 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.96 (m, 1H), 2.12 (m, 1H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.31 (m, 1H), 2.40 (bs, 4H), 3.68 (bs, 4H), 4.13 (m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.5 (1C), 25.1 (1C), 28.6 (1C),

30.8 (1C), 31.0 (1C), 43.0 (1C), 50.0 (1C), 53.9 (2C), 54.1 (1C), 57.4 (1C), 60.1 (1C), 67.1 (2C), 176.0 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₅H₂₇NO₃. Exact: (M: 269.1991, M+H: 270.2069); Experimental: (M+H: 270.2065).

Ethyl 4-(benzyl(methyl)amino)-2-cyclopentylbutanoate (6q)



General procedure B was followed employing **1d** (84.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) and morpholine N-benzylmethylamine (66.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6q** (82 mg, 55%) as colorless oil.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.14 (m, 2H), 1.22 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.50-1.83 (m, 8H), 1.97 (m, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 3.41 (d, $J_{H,H} = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (d, $J_{H,H} = 12.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.10 (m, 2H), 7.22-7.31 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.4 (1C), 25.1 (2C), 29.4 (1C), 30.7 (1C), 31.0 (1C), 42.1 (1C) 43.0 (1C), 49.5 (1C), 55.7 (1C), 60.0 (1C), 62.6 (1C), 127.0-139.3 (6C, *Ar*), 176.0 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₉H₂₉NO₃. Exact: (M: 303.2198, M+H: 304.2277); Experimental: (M+H: 304.2280).

Tert-butyl 4-(3-cyclopentyl-4-ethoxy-4-oxobutyl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (6r)



General procedure B was followed employing **1d** (84.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) and 1-Boc-piperazine (93.1 mg, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (4:1) afforded **6r** (136.4 mg, 74%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 84% by

UPC² analysis on a Daicel Chiralpak IG column with a gradient 90:10 CO₂/MeOH with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2 mL/min, λ = 230 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 3.2 min, $t_{r major}$ = 3.7 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ: 1.03 (m, 1H), 1.15 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.15 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 9H), 1.43 (m, 6H), 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.85 (m, 1H), 2.14 (m, 1H), 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.33 (m, 4H), 2.45 (m, 1H), 3.39 (m, 4H), 4.10(m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR**

(100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ :14.2 (1C), 15.9 (1C), 25.0 (1C), 28.1 (3C), 28.6 (1C), 30.5 (1C), 30.8 (1C), 42.9 (2C), 49.9 (1C), 53.0 (2C), 56.8 (1C), 60.0 (1C), 64.7 (1C), 79.7 (1C), 154.5 (1C), 175.6 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for $C_{20}H_{37}N_2O_4$. Exact: (M: 368.2675, M+H: 369.2753); Experimental: (M+H: 369.2696).

Ethyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(piperidin-1-yl)butanoate (6s)



General procedure B was followed employing **1d** (84.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) and piperidine (49.5 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6s** (67 mg, 50%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 84% by UPC² analysis on a Daicel

Chiralpak IC column with a gradient 90:10 CO₂/EtOH, with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2 mL/min, λ = 230 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 3.5 min, t_{r} _{major} = 3.8 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.15 (m, 2H), 1.24 (m, 1H), 1.25 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.43 (bs, 2H), 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.60 (bs, 7H), 1.84 (m, 3H), 1.96 (m, 1H), 2.13 (td, $J_{H,H} = 10$, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.40 (bs, 4H), 4.13 (m, 2H). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ:14.5 (1C), 24.5 (1C), 25.1 (1C), 25.9 (2C), 28.8 (1C), 30.8 (1C), 30.9 (1C) 43.0 (2C), 50.1 (1C), 54.7 (2C), 57.6 (1C), 60.0 (1C), 175.8 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₆H₂₉NO₂. Exact: (M: 267.2198, M+H: 268.2277); Experimental: (M+H: 268.2285).

Ethyl 2-cyclopentyl-4-(piperidin-1-yl) butanoate (6t)



General procedure C was followed employing **1d** (84.1 mg, 0.5 mmol) and aniline (46.0 μ L, 0.5 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with pentane/Et₂O (1:1) afforded **6t** (68.9 mg, 50%) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 84% by UPC² analysis on a Daicel

Chiralpak IG column with a gradient 80:20 CO₂/MeOH, with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 3 mL/min, λ = 243 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 3.4 min, t_{r} major = 2.8 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.11 (m, 1H), 1.20 (m, 1H), 1.24 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 1.56 (m, 6H), 1.84 (m, 3H), 2.01 (m, 1H), 2.26 (m, 1H), 3.11 (m, 2H), 4.14 (q, $J_{H,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.59 (m, 2H, *Ar*), 6.69 (t, $J_{H,H} = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, *Ar*), 7.16 (m, 2H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ :14.3 (1C), 25.0 (2C), 30.7 (1C), 30.8 (1C), 31.2 (1C), 42.4 (1C), 42.8 (1C), 49.5 (1C), 60.3 (1C), 112.8-148.1 (6C, *Ar*), 175.9 (1C). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₇H₂₅NO₂. Exact: (M: 275.1885, M+H: 276.1964); Experimental: (M+H: 276.1962).

SVI. Reduction of γ-aminobutyric esters to alcohols

General procedure D: reduction of α -alkyl- γ -aminobutyric esters 6 into the corresponding aminoalcohols 17



Diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL) solution 1.0 M in DCM (2.5 eq) was added dropwise to a solution of the corresponding amino ester **6** (1 eq) in CH_2CI_2 (2.0 mL) at -40°C. The reaction was left stirring at -40°C for 1h, then

allowed to reach room temperature and left stirring overnight. The reaction was quenched by addition of NH₄Cl sat. solution (2 mL) at 0°C and the mixture was left stirring for 2h. Then, the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 2 mL), the organic phases were dried with MgSO₄, filtrated and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was finally purified by chromatographic column using Al_2O_3 to afford the corresponding **17**.

4-(benzyl(methyl)amino)-2-methylbutan-1-ol (17a)

General procedure D was followed employing **6c** (31 mg, 0.13 mmol) and DIBAL (325 μ L, 0.33 mmol). Purification by flash chromatography eluting with CH₂Cl₂ and 1% of MeOH afforded **17a** (26 mg, 95 %) as colorless oil. The enantiomeric excess was determined to be 62% by HPLC analysis on a Chiralpack IG column with a gradient 95:05 CO₂/MeOH, with 0.1% of diethylamine as additive, flow rate 2 mL/min, λ = 210 nm: $t_{r minor}$ = 7.4 min, $t_{r major}$ = 7.7 min.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.86 (d, $J_{H,H} = 6.8$ Hz, 3H), 1.55 (m, 1H), 1.64 (m, 1H), 1.75 (m, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.52 (m, 1H), 3.29 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 11.4$, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, $J_{H,H} = 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 11.6$, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 3.56 (d, $J_{H,H} = 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.27 (m, 5H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 18.3 (1C), 34.0 (1C), 36.9 (1C), 41.1 (1C), 56.5 (1C), 62.7 (1C), 68.7 (1C), 127.5-137.4 (6C, *Ar*). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for C₁₃H₂₂NO. Exact: (M: 207.1623, M+H: 208.1701); Experimental: (M+H: 208.1698).

SVII. Synthesis and characterization of Rhodium complexes

[Rh(COD)(L1)]BF₄ (7)

Bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I) tetrafluoroborate (40 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (5 mL) and cooled to -20 °C. Then, (R,R)-QuinoxP* L1 (33.4 mg, 0.1 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (5 mL) was added dropwise for 30 min. The reaction was left stirring at -20 °C for 1h and then left stirring at room temperature for another 1h. After that, the crude was concentrated under vacuum, and the complex was precipitated with anhydrous diethyl ether at 0°C. The precipitated was filtrated, and washed with anhydrous hexane to afford the desired complex **7** (49 mg, 78 %) as orange solid.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2CI_2) δ : 1.10 (d, $J_{P,H} = 15.2$ Hz, 18H), 1.86 (d, $J_{P,H} = 8.0$ Hz, 6H), 2.23 (m, 4H), 2.56 (m, 2H), 2.67 (m, 2H), 5.03 (m, 2H), 6.12 (m, 2H), 7.99 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.4$, 3.2 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.29 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.4$, 3.2 Hz, 2H, Ar). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, CD_2CI_2) δ : 4.0 (t, $J_{P,C} = 10.3$ Hz, 2C), 26.2 (2C), 28.4 (6C), 35.8 (2C), 38.4 (t, $J_{P,C} = 12.8$ Hz, 2C), 92.4 (m, 2C), 107.2 (dt, $J_{Rh,C} = 7.8$ Hz, $J_{P,C} = 2.2$ Hz, 2C), 130.2-142.8 (6C, Ar), 154.4 (td, $J_{P,C} = 53.3$ Hz, $J_{Rh,C} = 2.4$ Hz, 2C, Ar). ³¹P NMR (161.97 MHz, CD_2CI_2) δ : 41.6 (d, $J_{Rh,P} = 148.2$ Hz, 2P). ESI-HRMS: Calculated for $C_{26}H_{40}N_2P_2Rh$. Exact: (M+: 545.1716); Experimental: (M+: 545.1715).



Figure S1: ORTEP drawing of the complex [Rh(COD)(L1)]BF₄ **7** (with ellipsoid at 50% probability). Solvent molecules, H, B and F atoms are omitted for clarity and only partial labelling scheme is illustrated.

Bond lengths						
Rh-P(1)	2.258 (3)	Rh-C(20)	2.304 (11)			
Rh-P(2)	2.267 (3)	Rh-C(23)	2.236 (10)			
Rh-C(19)	2.204 (13) Rh-C(24)		2.292 (10)			
Angles						
P(1)-Rh-P(2)	85.43 (10)	C(20)-Rh-(C24)	86.8 (4)			
C(19)-Rh-(C23)	95.9 (4)					

 Table S2: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 7.

[Rh(L1)₂]BF₄ (8)

 $\square_{P} = BF_4$ Bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I) tetrafluoroborate (40 mg, $\square_{P} = BF_4$ 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL). Then, (*R*,*R*)-QuinoxP* L1 (100 mg, 0.3 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (2 mL) was added dropwise, and the reaction was left stirring for 1h. After that, the crude was concentrated under vacuum, and the complex was precipitated with anhydrous diethyl ether at 0 °C. The precipitated was filtrated, and washed with anhydrous hexane to afford the desired complex **8** (73 mg, 85 %) as orange solid.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ : 1.07 (t, $J_{P,H} = 7.2$ Hz, 36H), 2.23 (s, 12H), 7.99 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.4$, 3.2 Hz, 4H, *Ar*), 8.32 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.4$, 3.2 Hz, 4H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ : 9.5 (t, $J_{P,C} = 6.9$ Hz, 4C), 28.4 (12C), 38.3 (t, $J_{P,C} = 6.4$ Hz, 4C), 130.0-142.5 (12C, *Ar*), 155.8 (td, $J_{P,C} = 26.8$ Hz, $J_{Rh,C} = 1.4$ Hz, 4C, *Ar*). ³¹**P NMR** (161.97 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ : 38.9 (d, $J_{Rh,P} = 130.4$ Hz, 2P). **ESI-HRMS:** Calculated for $C_{36}H_{56}N_4P_4Rh+$. Exact: (M+: 771.2505); Experimental: (M+: 771.2539).



Figure S2: ORTEP drawing of the complex [Rh(L1)₂]BF₄ 8 (with ellipsoid at 50% probability). Solvent molecules, H, B and F atoms are omitted for clarity and only partial labelling scheme is illustrated.

Bond lengths						
Rh-P(1)	Rh-P(1) 2.317 (17)		2.305(9)			
Rh-P(2)	2.316 (17)	Rh-P(4)	2.294(9)			
Angles						
P(1)-Rh-P(2)	84.79(6)	P(2)-Rh-P(4)	160.79(19)			
P(1)-Rh-P(3)	163.5(2)	P(3)-Rh-P(4)	85.5(3)			
P(1)-Rh-P(4)	98.15(19)					
P(2)-Rh-P(3)	97.02(2)					

 Table S3: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complex 8.

[Rh(CO)₂(L1)]BF₄ (9)

 \square_{BF_4} Complex [Rh(COD)(L1)]BF₄ 7 (20 mg, 0.035 mmol) was $\square_{P} = Rh = CO$ dissolved in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). Then, CO was bubbled into the previous solution for 1h. After that, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was washed with Et₂O (3 x 1 mL) to afford the desired complex **9** (20 mg, 97 %) as yellow solid.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ : 1.24 (d, $J_{P,H} = 17.2$ Hz, 18H), 2.13 (dd, $J_{P,H} = 10.0$ Hz, $J_{Rh,H} = 1.2$ Hz, 6H), 8.12 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.4$, 3.6 Hz, 2H, *Ar*), 8.38 (dd, $J_{H,H} = 6.4$, 3.6 Hz, 2H, *Ar*). ¹³**C NMR** (100.6 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ : 7.5 (t, $J_{P,C} = 13.5$ Hz,

2C), 27.8 (6C), 36.5 (t, J_{P,C} = 13.8 Hz, 2C) , 130.0-142.7 (6C, Ar), 152.3 (td, $J_{P,C} = 57.8$ Hz, $J_{Rh,C} = 2.6$ Hz, 2C, Ar), 184.6 (m, 2C, CO). ³¹P NMR (161.97) MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ: 47.2 (d, J_{Rh,P} = 114.7 Hz, 2P). IR (neat): ν (CO) = 2097, 2051 cm⁻¹

[Rh(CO)₃(L1)]BF₄ (10)

 $P_{I,I} = Rh_{CO}$ BF₄ Bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I) tetrafluoroborate (6 mg, 0.015 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous dichloroethane (0.25 mL), and (R,R)-QuinoxP* L1 (6 mg, 0.018 mmol) in anhydrous deuterated toluene (0.25 mL) were mixed inside a sapphire NMR tube inside the glovebox. Then, the tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. After that, the tube was pressurized with 30 bar CO, heated at 90 °C and the reaction was left stirring for 16h. After that, the crude was analysed by NMR.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, tol-d) δ : 0.95 (d, $J_{P,H}$ = 14.3 Hz, 18H), 1.81 (d, $J_{P,H}$ = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 7.72 (dd, J_{H,H} = 6.4, 3.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 8.09 (dd, J_{H,H} = 6.4, 3.5 Hz, 2H, Ar). ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz, tol-d) δ: 7.9 (m, 2C), 27.4 (m, 6C), 36.3 (m, 2C) , 126.2-142.7 (6C, Ar), 153.1 (t, $J_{P,C}$ = 57.8 Hz, 2C, Ar). ³¹P NMR (161.97 MHz, CD_2CI_2) δ : 48.7 (d, $J_{Rh,P}$ = 116 Hz). **IR** (neat): v (CO) = 2120, 2086, 2041 cm⁻¹

$[Rh(Solv.)_2(L1)]BF_4(11)$

BF₄ Bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I) tetrafluoroborate (6 mg, 0.015 mmol) dissolved 0.015 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous dichloroethane (0.25 S= Solvent mL), and (R,R)-QuinoxP* L1 (6 mg, 0.018 mmol) in anhydrous deuterated toluene (0.25 mL) were mixed inside a sapphire NMR tube inside the glovebox. Then, the tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. After that, the tube was pressurized with 8 bar H_2 , and then the reaction was left stirring for 1h at room temperature. After that, the crude was analysed by NMR.

¹**H NMR** (400 MHz, tol-d) δ: 0.80 (d, $J_{P,H}$ = 15.3 Hz, 18H), 1.77 (d, $J_{P,H}$ = 8.5 Hz, 6H), 7.55 (dd, $J_{H,H}$ = 6.6, 3.5 Hz, 2H, *Ar*), 7.96 (dd, $J_{H,H}$ = 6.5, 3.5 Hz, 2H, *Ar*). ³¹**P NMR** (161.97 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ: 60.1 (d, $J_{Rh,P}$ = 200 Hz).

SVIII. Selected NMR and IR spectra from in situ HP NMR studies

A. $[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ in the presence of L1 under CO pressure



Figure S3: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of [Rh(COD)₂]BF₄ and **L1** in tol-d⁸/DCE at variable CO pressures and temperatures: a) 10 bar of CO for 16h at room temperature, b) 30 bar CO for 96h at rt, c) 10 bar at rt for 16h, then 10 bar of CO at 90 °C for 16 h.

S25



Figure S4: IR spectra of the resulting solution of mixing $[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ and L1 in tol-d⁸/DCE under 10 bar of CO for 16h at room temperature.



Figure S5: IR spectra of the resulting solution of mixing $[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ and L1 in tol-d⁸/DCE under 10 bar of CO for 16h at 90 °C.

B. $[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ in the presence of L1 under H₂ pressure



Figure S6: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of [Rh(COD)₂]BF₄ and **L1** in tol-d⁸/DCE at 8 bar of H₂ at room temperature.

C. $[Rh(COD)_2]BF_4$ in the presence of L1 under H₂/CO pressure



50 59 58 57 56 55 54 53 52 51 50 49 48 47 46 45 44 43 42 41 40 39 38 37 36 35 34 33 32 31 30 ppm

Figure S7: ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of [Rh(COD)₂]BF₄ and **L1** in tol-d⁸/DCE under variable H₂/CO pressures and at 90°C: a) 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) for 24h, b) 20 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) for 48 h.

D. Characterization of species 12 and 13



Figure S8: ¹H NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence L1 under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) and at 90°C for 16 h recorded at variable temperature.



Figure S9: ¹H NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of **L1** under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) and at room temperature. Inset: hydride region.



Figure S10: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1 under 10 bar $(H_2/CO, 4:1)$ and at room temperature for 16 h.



Figure S11: ¹H NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence **L1** under 20 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) and at 90°C for 16 h. Signals corresponding to species **13** emphasized. Inset: hydride region.



Figure S12: ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence L1 under 20 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) and at 90 °C for 16 h. Signals corresponding to species **13** emphasized. Inset: different areas of the spectra.



ppm









Figure S15: ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1 under 20 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) and at 90°C for 16 h. Signals corresponding to species **13** emphasized.



Figure S16: ¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1 under 20 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) at 90°C for 16 h. Signals corresponding to species **13** emphasized.

 Table S4: Selected spectroscopic data of the rhodium coordination sphere from rhodium complexes 12 and 13.



 Table S5: Selected spectroscopic data corresponding to the quinaxoline backbone of rhodium complexes 12 and 13.

	12	13		
δ ¹ H ppm	δ ¹³ C ppm	δ ¹ H ppm	δ ¹³ C ppm	
1.05 (C(C <u>H</u> ₃) ₃)	28 (C(<u>C</u> H ₃) ₃), 31.9 (t, J _{P,C} = 9.4 Hz, <u>C</u> (CH ₃) ₃)	1.05 (C(C <u>H</u> ₃) ₃)	28 (C(<u>C</u> H ₃) ₃), 31.3 (t, J _{P,C} = 9.9 Hz, <u>C</u> (CH ₃) ₃)	
1.79 (C <u>H</u> ₃)	14.9 (<u>C</u> H ₃)	1.20 (C <u>H</u> ₃)	11.6 (C <u>H</u> ₃)	
-	162.5 (t, J _{P,C} = 47.9 Hz, C)	-	132.2 (t, J _{P,C} = 48.1 Hz, C)	
-	-	4 (N <u>H</u>)	-	
-	141.2 (C)	-	133.8 (C)	
7.3 (C <u>H</u>)	131.3 (<u>С</u> Н)	5.7 (C <u>H</u>)	113.1 (<u>C</u> H)	
7.9 (C <u>H</u>)	129.9 (<u>C</u> H)	6.4 (C <u>H</u>)	123.0 (<u>C</u> H)	

E. Experiments to control the formation of hydrides 12 and 13





-7.2 -7.4 -7.6 -7.8 -8.0 -8.2 -8.4 -8.6 -8.8 -9.0 -9.2 -9.4 -9.6 -9.8 -10.0 -10.2 -10.4 -10 ppm Figure S18: ¹H NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1 under 10 bar (H₂/CO,

4:1) at 90°C using different concentrations. Top: [Rh] = 0.0125M Bottom: [Rh] = 0.0375M.

F. Reaction monitoring experiments



Figure S20: ³¹P NMR spectra of [Rh(COD)₂]BF₄ in the presence of L1, methyl methacrylate 1a and morpholine 2a under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) at 90°C in tol-d8/DCE.



Figure S21: ¹H NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1, methyl methacrylate 1a and morpholine 2a under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) at 90°C in tol-d8.



Figure S22: ³¹P NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1, methyl methacrylate 1a and morpholine 2a under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) at 90°C in tol-d8.


Figure S23: ¹H NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1, methyl methacrylate 1a and morpholine 2a under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) at 90°C in tol-d8/DCE.



Figure S24: ³¹P NMR spectra of [Rh(acac)(CO)₂] in the presence of L1, methyl methacrylate 1a and morpholine 2a under 10 bar (H₂/CO, 4:1) at 90°C in tol-d8/DCE.

SIX. Selected spectroscopic data

Species	δ ³¹ P ppm	IR (vCO)
[Rh(COD)(L1)]BF ₄ 7	41.6 (d, <i>J</i> _{Rh,P} = 148 Hz)	-
[Rh(L1) ₂]BF ₄ 8	38.9 (d, <i>J</i> _{Rh,P} = 130 Hz)	-
[Rh(CO) ₂ (L1)]BF ₄ 9	47.2 (d, <i>J</i> _{Rh,P} = 115 Hz)	2097, 2051
[Rh(CO) ₃ (L1)]BF ₄ 10	48.7 (d, <i>J</i> _{Rh,P} = 116 Hz)	2120, 2086, 2041
$[Rh(Solvent)_2(L1)]BF_4$ 11	60.1 (d, <i>J</i> _{Rh,P} = 200 Hz)	-
[Rh(5a)(L1)]BF ₄ 14	38 ppm (J _{Rh,P} = 123.5 Hz, J _{P,P} = 38.7 Hz) 61.5 ppm (J _{Rh,P} = 151.7 Hz, J _{P,P} = 38.5 Hz)	-
[Rh(acac)(L1)] 15	62.0 ppm (J _{Rh,P} = 187.6 Hz)	-
[Rh(5a)(L1)]acac 16	38 ppm ($J_{Rh,P}$ = 123 Hz, $J_{P,P}$ = 41 Hz) 59.8 ppm ($J_{Rh,P}$ = 154 Hz, $J_{P,P}$ = 41 Hz)	-

 Table S6: Selected spectroscopic data of the rhodium species detected by HP-NMR.

SX. Spectra of novel compounds

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz)



S39















































¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz)





4.4.15 4.4.15 4.4.15 4.4.15 4.4.11 4.









SXI. Spectroscopic data of rhodium complexes 7, 8 and 9

 ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{} NMR (CD_2CI_2, 161.97 MHz)$

¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz)

³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 161.97 MHz)

¹³C{¹H} NMR (tol-d, 100.6 MHz)

SXII. Enantiomeric excess determination









S76





2.0 1.9 1.8 1.7 1.6

1.5 1.4

1.3 1.2 1.1



1.0 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 ppm 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.0

0.4







S80













S85