Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

Supporting Information

PtNi_xCo_y Concave Nanocubes: Synthesis, Application in Photocatalytic Hydrogen Generation

Xinjia Jia^a, Shuang Liu^a, Li Huang^a, Perumal Devaraji^a, Laxman Walekar^a, Wei Chen^{a,b}, Xiying Li^{a,b}, Shanhu Liu^b and Liqun Mao^{a,*}

^a Henan Engineering Research Center of Resource & Energy Recovery from Waste, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, PR China

^b Institute of Functional Polymer Composites, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, PR China

Corresponding author:

E-mail: mlq@henu.edu.cn, Tel: +86-13513781969

For the investigation of quantum efficient (QE), the cut-off filter (λ >420 nm) was replaced by a 420±5 nm band-pass filter, and the irradiance was measured by means of an ultraviolet radiation meter (UV-B, Beijing normal University). QE can be calculated as:

$$QE = \frac{The number of evolved hydrogen atoms(N_H)}{The number of incident photons(N_p)} \times 100\%$$

$$N_H = 2 \times n_{H_2} \times L$$

$$N_p = \frac{A \cdot I}{E} = \frac{A \cdot I}{(\frac{hc}{\lambda})}$$

QE = Where n_{H2} is the moles of evolved hydrogen molecular, mol; *L* the Avogadro constant, 6.02×10^{23} mol⁻¹; *I* the irradiance at 420 nm, W/m²; *A* the illuminate area, m²; *E* the photon energy, eV; *h* the Plank Constant, 6.63×10^{-34} , J·s; *c* the light rate, 3×10^8 m/s.



Fig. S1 (a) the effect of synthesis temperature of Pt CNCs on the hydrogen evolution rate of CdS, (c) the effect of synthesis time of Pt CNCs on the hydrogen evolution rate of CdS, (e) the effect of the amount of Pt CNCs on hydrogen evolution rate of CdS, (b), (d), (f) the hydrogen evolution rate of each photocatalyst when their activity is stable.

The reaction conditions: 0.1 g CdS photocatalyst (loading amount of Pt CNCs is 2.0 wt % in Fig. a and Fig. c), 50 mL of 1 M $(NH_4)_2SO_3$ solution, 300W Xenon lamp ($\lambda \ge 420$ nm).



Fig. S2 Hydrogen generation rate of (a) $PtNi_x/CdS$ (x=0, 0.3, 1, 3) and (c) $PtCo_y/CdS$ (y=0, 0.2, 0.5, 1) photocatalysts. The hydrogen generation rate of (b) $PtNi_x/CdS$ (x=0, 0.3, 1, 3) and (d) $PtCo_y/CdS$ (y=0, 0.2, 0.5, 1) when its activity gets stable.

The reaction conditions: 0.1 g CdS (loading amount of $PtNi_x$ or $PtCo_y$ CNCs is 2.0 wt %), 50 mL 1 M (NH₄)₂SO₃ solution, 300W Xenon lamp ($\lambda \ge 420$ nm).



Fig. S3 Comparison of hydrogen production rate of sphere-like Pt/CdS and CNCs Pt/CdS and sphere-like PtNiCo/CdS and CNCs PtNiCo/CdS.

The reaction conditions: 0.1 g CdS photocatalyst (under the same loading (2 wt %)), 50 mL 1 M $(NH_4)_2SO_3$ solution, 300W Xenon lamp ($\lambda \ge 420$ nm).



Fig.S4 Isotherms for nitrogen adsorption-desorption of sphere-like Pt, PtNiCo and CNCs Pt, PtNiCo loaded CdS.

| Table S1 Surface areas of spl | here-like Pt, PtNiCo and CNCs Pt, PtNiCo loaded CdS. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| | |

| Sample | Surface area/ (m^2/g) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| sphere-like Pt/CdS | 27.52 |
| CNCs Pt/CdS | 34.35 |
| Sphere-like PtNiCo/CdS | 28.07 |
| CNCs PtNiCo/CdS | 37.30 |

Table S2 The relationship between the photocatalytic performance and hydrogen evolution overpotential for $PtNi_xCo_y/CdS$.

| Photocatalyst | Activity toward H ₂ evolution | Overpotential of H ₂ evolution | |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| | (mmol/h/g) | (V) | |
| Pt/CdS | 37.80 | 0.66 | |
| PtNi/CdS | 42.71 | 0.65 | |
| PtNiCo/CdS | 50.60 | 0.63 | |



Fig. S5 TEM images of (a) sphere-like Pt and (b) sphere-like PtNiCo.



Fig. S6 XRD spectra of CdS, Pt/CdS, PtNi/CdS and PtNiCo/CdS photocatalysts before photocatalytic reaction.



Fig. S7 XPS spectra of Pt CNCs, PtNi CNCs and PtNiCo CNCs prepared at 473 K for 10 h.

| | Lattice | | | Ι | | | | |
|-------|---------|------|--------|----------|------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| 20 | Plane | CdS | Pt/CdS | PtNi/CdS | PtNiCo/CdS | I _{Pt/CdS} /I _{CdS} | I _{PtNi/CdS} /I _{CdS} | IPtNiCo/CdS/ICdS |
| 24.84 | (100) | 1708 | 1345 | 1136 | 1240 | 0.787 | 0.665 | 0.725 |
| 26.53 | (002) | 1335 | 1598 | 1352 | 1409 | 1.197 | 1.013 | 1.055 |
| 28.22 | (101) | 2149 | 2039 | 1678 | 1871 | 0.949 | 0.781 | 0.871 |
| 36.66 | (102) | 631 | 682 | 676 | 745 | 1.081 | 1.071 | 1.181 |
| 43.74 | (110) | 1399 | 1094 | 1102 | 1109 | 0.782 | 0.788 | 0.792 |
| 47.89 | (103) | 831 | 886 | 847 | 852 | 1.066 | 1.019 | 1.025 |
| 50.95 | (200) | 416 | 378 | 414 | 403 | 0.909 | 0.995 | 0.969 |
| 51.89 | (112) | 843 | 843 | 787 | 783 | 1 | 0.936 | 0.929 |
| 52.89 | (201) | 513 | 466 | 501 | 502 | 0.908 | 0.977 | 0.979 |

Table S3 XRD analysis of $PtNi_xCo_y/CdS$ photocatalysts.

Table S4 Lifetime of CdS, Pt/CdS, PtNi/CdS and PtNiCo/CdS photocatalysts after photocatalytic reaction.

| Sample | τ_1 (ns) | α_1 (%) | τ_2 (ns) | α_2 (%) | $\tau_{\rm ave} ({\rm ns})$ |
|------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| CdS | 771.90 | 79.65 | 7527.65 | 20.35 | 5592.79 |
| Pt/CdS | 784.18 | 70.11 | 8371.24 | 29.89 | 7004.48 |
| PtNi/CdS | 788.80 | 64.01 | 8445.00 | 35.99 | 7354.31 |
| PtNiCo/CdS | 777.70 | 57.67 | 8467.80 | 42.33 | 7612.59 |