Metal-Organic-Framework Derived Co-Pd Bond is Preferred over Fe-Pd for Reductive Upgrading of Furfural to Tetrahydrofurfuryl alcohol

Saikiran Pendem,^{a,b} Bolla Srinivasa Rao,^{a,b} David J. Morgan^c Digambar B. Shinde,^d Zhiping Lai,^d Nakka Lingaiah,^{a,b} and John Mondal^{*a,b}

^aCatalysis and fine chemicals Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Uppal Road, Hyderabad-500007, India, Email: <u>johncuchem@gmail.com</u>, <u>johnmondal@iict.res.in</u>

^bAcSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad-500007 (India)

°Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Park Place, Cardiff, CF10 3AT. UK

^dDivision of Physical Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and

Technology (KAUST), Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia.



Scheme S1: Plausible mechanistic pathway



Figure S1: FE-SEM images (a & b) of PVP-Pd@ZIF-67



Figure S2: Wide angle powder XRD patterns and simulated pattern of Co-ZIF (a), and wideangle powder XRD patterns of Fe-ZIF (b).

As shown in Figure S2b, The powder XRD patterns of Fe-ZIF are revealing that it has less crystalline nature.



Figure S3: Pore-size distribution of PdCo₃O₄@NC catalyst



Figure S4: Pore-size distribution of PdFe₃O₄@NC catalyst.



Figure S5: FE-SEM images (a) of Pd-Co₃O₄@NC, (b) of Pd-Fe₃O₄@NC, respectively.



Figure S6: Fitted O-1s XPS spectra of Pd-Fe₃O₄@NC & Pd-Co₃O₄@NC.

From O-1s spectrum of Pd-Co₃O₄@NC three peaks at binding energies of ~533.0 eV ~529.5 which can be attributed to trapped water/surface carbonates and lattice oxygen atoms respectively and ~531.5 corresponding to surface hydroxide or O-N/C bonds in the hybrid (Figure S6, ESI).¹



Figure S7: Fe-2p XP spectra of used Pd-Fe₃O₄@NC.



Figure S8: HR-TEM images (a, b) of used Pd-Co₃O₄@NC, (c, d) used Pd-Fe₃O₄@NC, respectively.



Figure S9: N_2 adsorption/desorption isotherms (a) and (b), of used Pd-Co₃O₄@NC and used Pd-Fe₃O₄@NC, respectively.

Entry	Catalyst	FA feed	Т	Р	t	FA	THFAL	Ref.
		(mmol)	(°C)	(bar)	(h)	conv.	yield	
						(%)	(%)	
1	Pd/TiO ₂	300	30	3	4	100	42	2
2	Pd-Pt/TiO ₂	300	30	3	4	100	95	2
3	5 wt % Pd/C ^a	604	120	50	1	99	13	3
4	5 wt % Pd– 3 ^b	2.5	90	20	2	99	51	4
	wt % Cu/Al ₂ O ₃							
5	5 wt %	2.5	90	20	2	100	72	4
	Pd/Al_2O_3 ^b							
6	10 wt	10.4	25	60	8	80.3	78.2	5
	%°Pd/Al ₂ O ₃							
8	Ni/C	0.2	120	10	2	100	100	6
7	Ni-Pd/SiO2 ^b	5	40	80	2	100	96	7

Table S1: Catalytic performances of Pd-Based heterogeneous catalysts for the conversion of

 Furfural to THFAL

^amethanol solvent, ^bH₂O solvent, ^c2-propanol

Catalyst	Pd (mmolg ⁻¹)	Fe (mmolg ⁻¹)	Co (mmolg ⁻¹)
Pd-Co ₃ O ₄ @NC (Fresh)	0.039	-	1.45
Pd-Fe ₃ O ₄ @NC (Fresh)	0.036	1.29	-
Pd-Co ₃ O ₄ @NC (Used)	0.027	-	1.37
Pd-Fe ₃ O ₄ @NC (Used)	0.002	1.15	-
Pd-Fe ₃ O ₄ @NC (Fresh) Pd-Co ₃ O ₄ @NC (Used) Pd-Fe ₃ O ₄ @NC (Used)	0.036 0.027 0.002	1.29 - 1.15	1.37

Table S2: ICP-AES metal analysis data chart for metallic catalysts.

Table S3: Catalytic performances of Pd-Co₃O₄@NC-400, 500 & 600 catalysts for the Conversion of furfural to THFAL.

Entry	Catalyst Used	FA Con (%)	THFAL Yield (%)
1.	PdCo ₃ O ₄ @NC-400	97	94
2.	PdCo ₃ O ₄ @NC-500	80	86
3.	PdCo ₃ O ₄ @NC-600	73	72

Reference:

- S. Pendem, R. Singuru, C. Sarkar, B. Joseph, J. F. Lee, D.B. Shinde, Z. Lai, and J.Mondal, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2018, 1 (9), 4836-4851.
- 2. R. Albilali, M. Douthwaite, Q. He and S. H. Taylor, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 252-267.
- N. Merat, C. Godawa and A. Gaset, J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol., 1990, 48, 145-159.
- M. Lesiak, M. Binczarski, S. Karski, W. Maniukiewicz, J. Rogowski, E. Szubiakiewicz, J. Berlowska, P. Dziugan and I. Witońska, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, 395, 337-348.
- 5. S. Bhogeswararao and D. Srinivas, J. Catal., 2015, 327, 65-77.
- Y. Su, C. Chen, X. Zhu, Y. Zhang, W. Gong, H. Zhang, H. Zhao and G. Wang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 6358-6365.
- 7. Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, Catal. Commun., 2010, 12, 154-156.