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## Experimental details

## Materials

The chemicals used, i.e. $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$, as well as the acetonitrile solvent were of reagent grade and were purchased from commercial sources. The $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left\{\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})_{3}\right\}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ precursor ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}^{+}=\right.$tetraphenylphosphonium) and the pyim ligand ${ }^{2}$ were prepared as described in the literature. Elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) were performed using a PerkinElmer 2400 analyzer. The values of the Fe : Ln molar ratio (1:1 for 1 and 2) were determined by electron probe X-ray microanalysis at the Servicio Interdepartamental of the University of Valencia.
Synthesis of 1 and 2: an acetonitrile solution $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{P}\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left\{\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})_{3}\right\}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ was poured into an acetonitrile solution $\left(20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ containing pyim ( 0.067 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and the corresponding lanthanide salt as $\mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.067 \mathrm{mmol})[\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1})$, $\mathrm{Sm}(\mathbf{2})]$. A similar reaction was carried out but in the presence of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$. In both cases, X-ray quality crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were obtained after ca. two weeks. Yield: ca. 70 \%. IR (KBr/cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3281 (m), 3147 (m), 2544 (m), 2151(m), 2130 (m), 1471 (s), 1338 (s), 1314 ( s , 1152 ( s , 777 (m), 725 (m), 696 (m) (1); 3275 (m), 3145 (m), 2544 (m), 2149 (m), 2130 (m), 1471 ( s ), 1338 ( s ), 1336 ( s , 1151 ( s , 795 (m), 724 (m), 696 (m) (2). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ (1): C 44.14; H 3.21; N 19.31. Found: C 43.90; H 3.15; N $19.16 \% ; \mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Sm}_{2}$ (2): C 44.24; H 3.13; N 19.35. Found: C 44.10; H 3.20; N 19.31\%.

Caution: cyanides are highly toxic and should be handled with great caution. We worked at the mmol scale and the preparations were performed in a well-ventilated fume hood. Concentrated aqueous solutions of sodium hypochlorite and sodium hydroxide were used to transform the cyanide from the waste into cyanate.

Magnetical measurements. Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements on polycrystalline samples of 1 and 2 were carried out with a Quantum Design MPMSXL-5 SQUID magnetometer in the temperature range of $1.9-300 \mathrm{~K}$ and under applied magnetic fields of $0.1 \mathrm{~T}(100 \leq T \leq 290 \mathrm{~K})$ and $500 \mathrm{G}(1.9 \leq T<100 \mathrm{~K})$. The magnetization versus magnetic field measurements were performed at 2.0 K in the field range of $0-5 \mathrm{~T}$. The magnetic data were corrected for the diamagnetic contributions of the constituent atoms and also for the sample holder (a plastic bag).

Crystallographic data collection and structure determination. Data collection was performed at 100 K using Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ with Bruker D8 Venture areadetector diffractometers. The structures were solved by intrinsic phasing (SHELXT-2013) ${ }^{3}$ and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures based on $F^{2}$ with all measured reflections (SHELXL-2013). ${ }^{3,4}$ The multiscan absorption correction implemented in SADABS ${ }^{5}$ was applied to the data. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atom positions were introduced at their idealized positions and were refined using a riding model. The Diamond program ${ }^{6}$ was used for generating the structural drawings for this publication. ${ }^{5}$ A summary of the crystallographic data and structure refinement for the three compounds is given in Table S1. CCDC 1907944 (for 1) 1907945 (for 2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Table S1. Crystal data and details of the structure determination of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{Sm}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{0} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 2174.84 | 2169.60 |
| $T$ (K) | 100(2) | 100(2) |
| Crystal system | triclinic | triclinic |
| Space group | $P-1$ | $P-1$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 9.3973(7) | 9.3796(6) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 14.5965(11) | 14.6171(9) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 17.0615(14) | 17.0712(12) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 85.914(3) | 85.834(2) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 83.284(3) | 83.227(3) |
| $\chi^{\circ}{ }^{(1)}$ | 71.364(3) | 71.398(2) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 2200.9(3) | 2201.2(3) |
| Z | 1 | 1 |
| $D_{\text {calcd }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.641 | 1.637 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 1.846 | 1.751 |
| Goodness-of-fit, $S$ | 1.119 | 1.754 |
| Final $R$ indices $[I \geq 2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0185 \\ w R_{2}=0.0468 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0213 \\ w R_{2}=0.0539 \end{gathered}$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) ${ }^{b}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0188 \\ w R_{2}=0.0470 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0219 \\ w R_{2}=0.0542 \end{gathered}$ |
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Fig. S1. FTIR spectrum of compound 1.


Fig. S2. FTIR spectrum of compound 2.


Figure S3. Experimental and calculated powder X-ray diffractograms at room temperature for compound 1.


Figure S4. Experimental and calculated powder X-ray diffractograms at room temperature for compound 2.


Figure S5. View of the square units in 2 together with the atom numbering scheme (the molecular fragment generated by the inversion symmetry operation is represented as wires and sticks) [Symmetry code: $(a)=1-x, 1-y, 1-z$,$] .$


Figure S6. Muffin-like coordination polyhedron of the europium(III) ion in $\mathbf{1 .}$


Figure S7. Muffin-like coordination polyhedron for the samarium(III) ion in 2.

Table S2. Summary of SHAPE analysis for the $\left[\mathrm{LnN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ fragments in $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}[\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Eu}(\mathbf{1})$ and Sm (2).

| CN $=9^{b}$ | Eu(III) | Sm(III) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EP-9 | 34.383 | 34.404 |
| OPY-9 | 22.475 | 22.436 |
| HBPY-9 | 18.154 | 18.094 |
| JTC-9 | 15.452 | 15.527 |
| JCCU-9 | 8.840 | 8.853 |
| CCU-9 | 7.696 | 7.696 |
| JCSAPR-9 | 2.899 | 2.975 |
| CSAPR-9 | 2.057 | 2.124 |
| JTCTPR-9 | 3.700 | 3.807 |
| TCTPR-9 | 2.855 | 2.944 |
| JTDIC-9 | 12.968 | 12.851 |
| HH-9 | 11.739 | 11.725 |
| MFF-9 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 9 8}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The listed values correspond to the deviation between the ideal and real coordination polyhedra, the lowest values being given in bold. ${ }^{b} \mathrm{EP}-9, D_{9 \mathrm{~h}}$, enneagon; OPY-9, $C_{8 \mathrm{v}}$, octagonal pyramid; HBPY-9, $D_{7 \mathrm{~h}}$, heptagonal bipyramid; JTC-9, $C_{3 \mathrm{v}}$, Johnson triangular cupola J3; JCCU-9, $C_{4 \mathrm{v}}$, capped cube J8; CCU-9, $C_{4 \mathrm{v}}$, spherical-relaxed capped cube; JCSAPR-9, $C_{4 \mathrm{v}}$, capped square antiprism; CSAPR-9, $C_{4 \mathrm{v}}$, spherical capped square antiprism; JTCTPR-9, $D_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$, tricapped trigonal prism J51; TCTPR-9, $D_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$, spherical tricapped trigonal prism; JTDIC-9, $C_{3 \mathrm{v}}$, tridiminished icosahedron; HH-9, $C_{2 \mathrm{v}}$, hula-hoop; MFF-9, $C_{\mathrm{s}}$, muffin.


Figure S8. Detail of the packing diagram for $\mathbf{1}$ showing the supramolecular chains formed through hydrogen bonds, after an axis parallel to the crystallographic $a$ axis [the triphenylphosphine oxide groups, two nitrate groups as well as the pyrazolyl rings of the $\left(\{\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz}))_{3}\right\}^{-}$ligands were omitted for the sake of clarity]. Symmetry code: $(\mathrm{a})=-1+x, y, z$.

Table S3. Selected intermolecular contacts ( $\AA$ ) for $\mathbf{1 *}^{*}$

| $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | Angle $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13^{a \cdots \mathrm{O} 2}$ | $0.82(4)$ | $2.60(4)$ | $3.315(3)$ | $148(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13^{a \cdots \mathrm{O} 4}$ | $0.82(4)$ | $2.21(4)$ | $2.876(3)$ | $139(3)$ |

[^0]$\stackrel{c}{\stackrel{c}{\longrightarrow}} \mathrm{~b}$


Figure S9. Detail of the packing diagram for $\mathbf{1}$ in the crystallographic $b c$ plane, showing the intra- (red-dashed line) and intermolecular $\pi \cdots \pi$ (blue dashed lines) and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ (black dashed lines) stacking interactions established between pyrazolyl, imidazole and phenyl rings of pyim, $\left\{\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})_{3}\right\}^{-}$and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ligands. The values of the centroid-centroid distances are ca. 3.60 (pyrazolyl-pyrazolyl rings), 3.82 (phenyl-imidazolyl rings) and $3.16 \AA\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {phenyl }}\right.$-phenyl ring), with the angles between the normal to the ring and the centroid-centroid vector being ca. 11.90 (pyrazolyl-pyrazolyl rings), 11.13/16.70 (phenyl-imidazolyl rings) and ca. $8.30^{\circ}$ (C$\mathrm{H}_{\text {phenyl }}$-phenyl ring). The nitrate groups were removed for the sake of clarity.


Figure S10. Detail of the packing diagram in 2 showing the hydrogen-bonded ladder-like chains formed along the $a$ axis. The triphenylphosphine oxide groups, two nitrate groups as well as the pyrazolyl rings of the $\left\{\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})_{3}\right\}^{-}$ligands were omitted for the sake of clarity [Symmetry code: $(\mathrm{a})=x, y,-1+z ;(\mathrm{b})=x, y, 1+z]$.

Selected intermolecular contacts $(\AA)$ for $\mathbf{2}^{*}, \&: \mathrm{O} 3 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 12 \mathrm{a}=3.246 \AA$ and $\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 12 \mathrm{a}=2.879$ $\AA$. *Symmetry code: $(\mathrm{a})=1+x, y, z .{ }^{\&}$ The hydrogen atoms from the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{pyim}}$ molecules could not be assigned.


Figure S11. View of the ctystal packing of $\mathbf{2}$ in the $b c$ plane showing the supramolecular layers formed through $\pi \cdots \pi$ (red and blue dashed lines) and C-H $\cdots \pi$ (black dashed lines) intraand intermolecular interactions employing imidazole, pyrazolyl and phenyl rings from pyim, $\left\{\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{pz})_{3}\right\}^{-}$and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ligands. The centroid-centroid distance is ca. 3.82 (intramolecular imidazole-phenyl rings), 3.60 (pyrazolyl-pyrazolyl rings) and $3.15 \AA$ (pyrazolyl-phenyl rings). The angles between the normal to the ring and the centroid-centroid vector is ca. 12.11 (pyrazolyl-pyrazolyl), 11.16/16.75 (intramolecular pyrazolyl-phenyl) and $8.33^{\circ}$ (phenylphenyl). The nitrate ligands were removed for the sake of clarity.


[^0]:    *Symmetry code: $(\mathrm{a})=-1+x, y, z$.

