Supporting Information

NHC-stabilized Silyl-substituted Silyliumylidene lons

Philipp Frisch and Shigeyoshi Inoue*

Table of Contents

1.	Experimental Section	2
	1.1. General Methods and Instrumentation	2
	1.2 Synthesis of Mes–SiCl ₂ H	3
	1.3 Synthesis of [[#] Bu ₃ Si–Si(IMe ₄) ₂]Cl (1)	5
	1.4 Synthesis of [[#] Bu ₂ MeSi–Si(NHC) ₂]Cl (2a,b)	7
	1.5 Synthesis of [Mes–Si(IMe4)2]Cl (3)	. 13
	1.6 NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a	. 16
2.	X-ray Crystallographic Data	. 23
	2.1 SC-XRD structure of [^{<i>t</i>} Bu ₃ Si–Si(IMe ₄) ₂]Cl (1)	. 24
	2.2 SC-XRD structure of [^{<i>t</i>} Bu ₂ MeSi–Si(IMe ₄) ₂]Cl (2a)	. 24
3.	References	. 26

1. Experimental Section

1.1. General Methods and Instrumentation

All reactions were carried out under exclusion of water and oxygen in an atmosphere of argon 4.6 (≥99.996%) using standard Schlenk techniques or in a Labstar glovebox from *MBraun* with H₂O and O₂ levels below 0.5 ppm. Glassware was heat dried under vacuum prior to use. Acetonitrile, pyridine, Acetonitrile- d_3 , pyridine- d_5 , fluorobenzene and fluorobenzene-d₅ were refluxed over CaH₂, distilled under argon, deoxygenated by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stored over 3 Å molecular sieve in a glovebox. Diethylether, THF and *n*-hexane were refluxed over sodium/benzophenone, distilled under argon, deoxygenated by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stored over 3 Å molecular sieve in a glovebox. C₆D₆ was stirred over Na-K-alloy, distilled under argon, deoxygenated by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and stored over 3 Å molecular sieve in a glovebox. All NMR samples were prepared under argon in J. Young PTFE valve NMR tubes. NMR spectra at ambient temperature (300 K) were recorded on a Bruker AV400US or DRX400 (¹H: 400.13 MHz, ¹³C: 100.62 MHz, ²⁹Si: 79.49 MHz), AVHD300 (¹H: 300.13 MHz) or AV500C (¹H: 500.36 MHz, ¹³C: 125.83 MHz, ²⁹Si: 99.41 MHz). The ¹H, ¹³C and ²⁹Si NMR spectroscopic chemical shifts δ are reported in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are calibrated against the residual proton and natural abundance carbon resonances of the respective deuterated solvent as internal standard (C₆D₆: δ (¹H) = 7.16 ppm and $\delta(^{13}C) = 128.0$ ppm; CD₃CN: $\delta(^{1}H) = 1.94$ ppm and $\delta(^{13}C) = 118.3$ ppm; C_6D_5N : $\delta(^{1}H) = 8.74$ ppm and $\delta(^{13}C) = 150.4$ ppm; C_6D_5F : $\delta(^{1}H) = 6.90$ ppm). ²⁹Si NMR spectra are referenced to the resonance of tetramethylsilane ($\delta = 0$ ppm) as external standard. The following abbreviations are used to describe signal multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, sept = septet, bs = broad signal, m = multiplet. Quantitative elemental analyses (EA) were carried out using a HEKAtech EURO EA instrument equipped with a CHNS combustion analyzer at the Laboratory for Microanalysis at the TUM Catalysis Research Center. Melting Points (M.P.) were determined in sealed glass capillaries under inert gas with a Büchi M-565 melting point apparatus. ESI-MS spectra were recorded on a *Bruker* HCT Instrument with a dry gas temperature of 300 °C and an injection speed of 240 µLs⁻¹. Unless otherwise stated, all commercially available chemicals were purchased from abcr or Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The compounds 1,3,4,5-tetramethylimidazol-2-ylidene (IMe₄)^{S1}, 1,3-diethyl-4,5-dimethylimidazol-2-ylidene (IEt2Me2)^{S1}, MesLi^{S2}, ^tBu3Si-SiCl2H^{S3} and ^tBu2MeSi-SiCl₂H^{S4} were prepared as described in the literature.

1.2 Synthesis of Mes–SiCl₂H

-SiCl₂H

 $HSiCl_3$ (10 mL, 13.5 g, 99.7 mmol, 5.0 eq) was dissolved in 30 mL Et₂O and slowly added to a suspension of MesLi (2.50 g, 19.8 mmol, 1.0 eq) in 50 mL Et₂O cooled to -78 °C. The suspension was allowed to warm

to room temperature and then stirred for 48 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with hexane (3×20 mL). Hexane was removed under reduced pressure and after bulb-to-bulb distillation (6×10^{-3} mbar, 70 °C) the product (3.61 g, 19.8 mmol, 83%) was obtained as a colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 6.52 (s, 2H, C_{mes}H), 6.27 (s, 1H, SiCl₂H), 2.34 (s, 6H, C_{ortho}H), 1.96 (s, 3H, C_{para}H).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 144.7, 142.6, 129.8, 125.4, 22.8, 21.1. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (79 MHz, C₆D₆, 300 K): δ [ppm] = -6.9 (*Si*Cl₂H).

EA: C9H12Cl2Si

calculated [%]: C (49.32), H (5.52). measured [%]: C (49.53), H (5.49).



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR spectrum of Mes–SiCl₂H in C₆D₆ at 300 K.



210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 Fig. S2 ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of Mes–SiCl₂H in C₆D₆ at 300 K.



Fig. S3 $^{29}Si\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum of Mes–SiCl₂H in C₆D₆ at 300 K.

1.3 Synthesis of [^tBu₃Si–Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl (1)



^tBu₃Si–SiCl₂H (1.00 g, 3.34 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in 50 mL toluene and cooled to -50 °C. IMe₄ (1.24 g, 10.0 mmol, 3.0 eq) was dissolved in 20 mL toluene and then added dropwise to the silane solution. The mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature overnight. The orange precipitate was collected by filtration, the residue washed with toluene (2×10 mL) and hexane (2×10 mL) and finally extracted with a mixture of toluene and acetonitrile (5:1,

2×30 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and after drying under vacuum the product **1** (1.11 g, 2.17 mmol, 65%) was isolated as an orange air- and moisture-sensitive powder.

Single crystals suitable for XRD analysis of **1** were obtained by slow diffusion of Et_2O into a concentrated MeCN solution of **1** at -35 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 3.69 (s, 12H, N_{NHC}C*H*₃), 2.15 (s, 12H, C_{NHC}C*H*₃), 1.22 (s, 27H, C(C*H*₃)₃).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 164.1, 128.6, 36.6, 33.0, 26.1, 9.4.

²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (79 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 21.8 (Si*Si*[#]Bu₃), -82.0 (*SiS*i[#]Bu₃).

ESI-MS: calculated: 475.36 ($C_{26}H_{51}N_4Si_{2^+} = 1 - CI^-$)

measured: 475.2

EA: C₂₆H₅₁ClN₄Si₂ calculated [%]: C (61.07), H (10.05), N (10.96). measured [%]: C (60.86), H (9.78), N (10.73).

M.P.: 161-162 °C (decomposition, change to red oil).



Fig. S4 ¹H NMR spectrum of ['Bu₃Si–Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl (1) in CD₃CN at 300 K.



210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 **Fig. S5** ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of ['Bu₃Si–Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl **(1)** in CD₃CN at 300 K.





Fig. S7 ESI-MS spectrum (detailed view) of $[{}^{t}Bu_{3}Si-Si(IMe_{4})_{2}]^{+}$ (**1** – CI⁻) (positive mode, 300 °C, -4000 V; line: measured spectrum; bars: simulated spectrum).

1.4 Synthesis of ['Bu2MeSi–Si(NHC)2]Cl (2a,b)



2a NHC = IMe₄

2b NHC = IEt_2Me_2

^tBu₂MeSi–SiCl₂H (1.00 g, 3.89 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in 50 mL toluene and cooled to -50 °C. NHC (11.7 mmol, 3.0 eq) was dissolved in 20 mL toluene and then added dropwise to the silane solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, the residue washed with toluene (2×10 mL) and hexane (2×10 mL), dried under reduced pressure and finally

extracted with a mixture of toluene and acetonitrile (8:1, 2×30 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and after drying under vacuum the products 2a,b were isolated as yellow to orange air- and moisture-sensitive powders.

[^tBu₂MeSi–Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl (2a)

Yield: 1.19 g, 2.54 mmol, 65%.

Single crystals suitable for XRD analysis of 2a were obtained by storing a concentrated solution of 2a in 1,2-difluorobenzene at -35 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 3.64 (s, 12H, N_{NHC}CH₃), 2.15 (s, 12H, CNHCCH₃), 0.94 (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃), 0.40 (SiCH₃).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 163.2, 128.6, 35.8, 29.9, 22.4, 9.3, -1.7.

²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (79 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 9.0 (SiSi[#]Bu₂Me), -90.7 (SiSi[#]Bu₂Me). calculated: 433.32 (C₂₃H₄₅N₄Si₂⁺ = 2a - Cl⁻) ESI-MS:

measured: 433.2

EA: C₂₃H₄₅CIN₄Si₂ calculated [%]: C (58.87), H (9.67), N (11.94). measured [%]: C (58.62), H (9.63), N (11.77).

M.P.: 158-159 °C (decomposition, change to red oil).





Fig. S11 ESI-MS spectrum (detailed view) of [⁴Bu₂MeSi–Si(IMe₄)₂]⁺ (**2a** − Cl⁻) (positive mode, 300 °C, −4000 V; line: measured spectrum; bars: simulated spectrum).

[^tBu₂MeSi–Si(IEt₂Me₂)₂]Cl (2b)

Yield: 1.15 g, 2.19 mmol, 56%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 4.41 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 8H, C*H*₂CH₃), 2.22 (s, 12H, C_{NHC}C*H*₃), 1.04 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 12H, CH₂C*H*₃), 0.92 (s, 18H, C(C*H*₃)₃), 0.36 (s, 3H, SiC*H*₃).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₅F, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 4.46 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 8H, C*H*₂CH₃), 2.07 (s, 12H, C_{NHC}C*H*₃), 1.05-0.99 (m, 30H, CH₂C*H*₃, C(C*H*₃)₃), 0.28 (s, 3H, SiC*H*₃).

Note: The signal for the ethyl wingtip substituent (t, 12H, CH₂CH₃) overlaps with the signal for the 'Bu-substituent (s, 18H, C(CH₃)₃).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 162.3, 129.3, 44.7, 30.0, 22.5, 14.7, 9.4, -2.4.

²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (79 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 9.7 (SiSi[#]Bu₂Me), -86.2 (SiSi[#]Bu₂Me).

ESI-MS: calculated: 489.38 ($C_{27}H_{53}N_4Si_2^+ = 2b - Cl^-$)

measured: 489.2

EA: C₂₇H₅₃CIN₄Si₂ calculated [%]: C (61.73), H (10.17), N (10.66). measured [%]: C (61.31), H (10.43), N (10.94).

M.P.: 147-148 °C (decomposition, change to red oil).

Fig. S12 ¹H NMR spectrum of [${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSi-Si(IMe_{2}Et_{2})_{2}$]Cl (2b) in CD₃CN at 300 K. Signals from small amounts of residual IEt₂Me₂·HCl are marked with *.

Fig. S13 ¹H NMR spectrum of [${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSi-Si(IEt_{2}Me_{2})_{2}$]Cl **(2b)** in C₆D₅F at 300 K. Signals from small amounts of residual IEt₂Me₂·HCl are marked with *.

Fig. S16 ESI-MS spectrum (detailed view) of $[^{t}Bu_{2}MeSi-Si(IEt_{2}Me_{2})_{2}]^{+}$ (**2b** - Cl⁻) (positive mode, 300 °C, -4000 V; line: measured spectrum; bars: simulated spectrum).

1.5 Synthesis of [Mes-Si(IMe4)2]Cl (3)

Mes–SiCl₂H (1.00 g, 4.56 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in 60 mL toluene and cooled to -50 °C. IMe₄ (1.70 g, 13.69 mmol, 3.0 eq) was dissolved in 20 mL toluene and then added dropwise to the silane solution. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. The yellow precipitate was collected by filtration, the residue washed with toluene (2×10 mL) and hexane (2×10 mL), dried under reduced pressure and then extracted with pyridine (3×15 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced

pressure and after drying under vacuum the product **3** (1.20 g, 2.78 mmol, 61%) was isolated as a pale yellow air- and moisture-sensitive powder.

The compound is very poorly soluble in benzene and well soluble in acetonitrile, pyridine and fluorobenzene. Decomposition occurs in acetonitrile (<4 hours).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₅D₅N, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 6.92 (s, 2H, C_{mes}H), 3.68 (s, 12H, N_{NHC}CH₃), 2.29 (s, 6H, C_{mes}CH_{3,ortho}), 2.28 (s, 3H, C_{mes}CH_{3,para}), 2.12 (s, 12H, C_{NHC}CH₃).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100 MHz, C₅D₅N, 300 K): δ [ppm] = 160.6, 144.8, 137.9, 137.3 130.0, 128.7, 34.3, 25.2, 21.5, 9.4.

²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (79 MHz, C₅D₅N, 300 K): δ [ppm] = -68.7 (MesSi).

²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (79 MHz, CD₃CN, 300 K): δ [ppm] = -71.2 (MesSi).

ESI-MS: calculated: 311.15 (C₁₄H₂₄N₄SiCl (**3** – Mesityl))

measured: 311.0

Note: The molecular ion peak of $3 - CI^-$ (395.2) could only be observed for a short time upon fast injection. Regular injection speed led to quantitative cleavage of the Si–C bond to the mesityl substituent.

EA: C₂₃H₃₅ClN₄Si calculated [%]: C (64.08), H (8.18), N (13.00).

measured [%]: C (63.62), H (8.02), N (13.32).

M.P.: 180-181 °C (decomposition, color change to black).

Fig. S17 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[Mes-Si(IMe_4)_2]CI$ (3) in pyridine- d_5 at 300 K. Signals from small amounts of residual IMe₄·HCI are marked with *.

210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -2 **Fig. S18** ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of [Mes–Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl **(3)** in pyridine-*d*₅ at 300 K.

Fig. S21 ESI-MS spectrum (detailed view) of [Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl (**3** – Mes) (positive mode, 300 °C, –4000 V; line: measured spectrum; bars: simulated spectrum).

1.6 NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a

Procedure for the NHC exchange reaction:

[${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSi-Si(IEt_{2}Me_{2})_{2}]CI$ (2b) (50.0 mg, 95.2 µmol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in 2 mL THF and a solution of IMe₄ (23.6 mg, 190.3 µmol, 2.0 eq) in 0.5 mL THF was added dropwise. Rapid formation of a yellow precipitate was observed, which was collected by filtration and washed with THF (2×2 mL) and hexane (1×1 mL) and after drying under vacuum product **2a** (36.6 mg, 78.0 µmol, 82%) was isolated as a yellow powder. Analytical data in CD₃CN are the same as previously mentioned (*cf.* section 1.4)

Procedure for the NHC exchange reaction (NMR scale):

[^tBu₂MeSi–Si(IEt₂Me₂)₂]Cl (**2b**) (20.0 mg, 38.1 µmol, 1.0 eq) and Si(TMS)₄ (12.2 mg, 38.1 µmol, 1.0 eq) were dissolved in 0.3 mL C₆D₅F, transferred to a J. Young NMR tube and then frozen at –78 °C. IMe₄ (9.5 mg, 76.1 µmol, 2.0 eq) was dissolved in 0.2 mL C₆D₅F and then slowly added to the frozen solution. The frozen mixture was then allowed to warm up slightly, then shaken strongly and several ¹H NMR measurements were taken immediately followed by ¹H NMR measurements in selected intervals (*cf.* Table S1). Si(TMS)₄ was used as internal standard.

Fig. S22 and Fig. S23 show the time resolved NMR data in C₆D₅F with 2.5 eq of IMe₄. The third set of signals belongs to the intermediate **2INT** [(${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSi$ –Si(IMe₄)(IEt₂Me₂)]Cl. While we have been unable to isolate **2INT** in a clean fashion, in addition to the observations made in the ¹H NMR measurements, we were able to investigate the reaction mixture with ²⁹Si NMR (Fig. S24). As expected, the ²⁹Si NMR resonances of **2INT** (9.2 ppm (${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSiSi$), –89.2 ppm (${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSiSi$)) fall between that of **2b** (9.8 ppm (${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSiSi$), –86.2 ppm (${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSiSi$)) and **2a** (9.0 ppm (${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSiSi$), –90.7 ppm (${}^{t}Bu_{2}MeSiSi$)).

To record a ²⁹Si NMR of the mixture in CD₃CN for better comparability, C₆H₅F was removed quickly from the reaction mixture after roughly 20 minutes of reaction time. The residue was dissolved in CD₃CN, the suspension was filtered and a ²⁹Si NMR was measured immediately.

Fig. S22 Time resolved ¹H NMR spectra (overview) of the NHC exchange of 2b with excess IMe₄ to 2a.

Fig. S24 ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR spectrum in CD₃CN of the reaction mixture of the NHC exchange of 2b to 2a *via* the intermediate 2INT.

Table S1 Relative [%] and absolute [mmol/L] molar concentrations of 2b, 2INT and 2a by time as determined by ¹H NMR.

4 [min]	∆t [min]	2b		2INT		2a	
t (minj		[%]	[mmol/L]	[%]	[mmol/L]	[%]	[mmol/L]
0	-	100.0	76.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	3	71.9	54.7	26.9	20.5	1.2	0.9
5	2	58.1	44.2	39.2	29.8	2.8	2.1
7	2	48.7	37.0	46.5	35.4	4.9	3.7
9	2	40.6	30.9	52.2	39.8	7.2	5.5
11	2	34.0	25.9	56.0	42.7	10.0	7.6
13	2	29.5	22.4	58.5	44.5	12.1	9.2
16	3	24.0	18.2	60.8	46.3	15.2	11.6
18	2	20.2	15.4	61.7	47.0	18.1	13.8
20	2	17.6	13.4	62.1	47.3	20.3	15.5
22	2	14.7	11.1	62.0	47.2	23.4	17.8
27	5	10.0	7.6	60.3	45.9	29.7	22.6
37	10	5.9	4.5	55.6	42.3	38.5	29.3
47	10	3.5	2.7	49.4	37.6	47.1	35.8
58	11	2.5	1.9	42.9	32.7	54.6	41.5
72	14	1.5	1.2	35.0	26.7	63.4	48.3
87	15	1.2	0.9	28.8	22.0	70.0	53.3
102	15	0.9	0.7	24.5	18.7	74.6	56.8
117	15	0.8	0.6	21.2	16.1	78.0	59.4
132	15	0.6	0.5	18.4	14.0	81.0	61.7
147 177 208 238 268	15 30 31 30 30	0.3 0.0 - - -	0.3 0.0 - -	16.0 13.3 11.2 10.3 9.7	12.2 10.1 8.5 7.8 7.4	83.6 86.7 88.8 89.7 90.3	63.6 66.0 67.6 68.3 68.7
298	30	-	-	9.2	7.0	90.8	69.1
328	30	-	-	8.9	6.8	91.1	69.4
358	30	-	-	8.5	6.4	91.5	69.7
388	30	-	-	8.0	6.1	91.9	70.0
448	60	-	-	7.7	5.9	92.3	70.3
508	60	-	-	7.6	5.8	92.4	70.4
568	60	-	-	7.5	5.7	92.5	70.5
628	60	-	-	7.3	5.6	92.7	70.6

Plotting the relative molar concentrations *vs.* the reaction time (Fig. S25 (overview), Fig. S26 (**2b**), Fig. S27 (**2INT**), Fig. S29 (**2a**)) allows easy observation of the reaction progress.

Fig. S25 Plot of the relative concentration of 2b, 2INT and 2a vs. the time in the NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a via 2INT.

Fig. S26 Plot of the relative concentration of 2b vs. time in the NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a via 2INT.

The kinetics of a reaction can be deduced from a linear correlation between either $1/c_{2b}$ *vs.* the time (2nd order kinetics) or ln(c_{2b}) *vs.* the time (1st order kinetics). However, the first NHC exchange from **2b** to **2INT** is heavily influenced by the concentration of IMe₄, which changes during the reaction because of the additional consumption of IMe₄ from the second step of the reaction. Choosing a smaller time window to determine the reaction order does not allow reliable conclusions to be drawn from the data. We therefore looked at the second step of the reaction from **2INT** to **2a** in a time period where the overwhelming majority of **2b** had already been consumed (Fig. S27 and Fig. S28).

Fig. S27 Plot of the relative concentration of 2INT vs. time in the NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a via 2INT.

Plotting $1/c_{2INT}$ vs. the reaction time shows a linear correlation (Fig. S28, excluding the startup period where **2INT** is formed from **2b**), which indicates the reaction follows 2nd order kinetics. The rate constant of the second exchange reaction is 0.558 L·mol⁻¹·min⁻¹. The first exchange reaction should exhibit the same reaction kinetics.

Fig. S28 Plot of 1/c_{2INT} vs. time in the NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a via 2INT.

Fig. S29 Plot of the relative concentration of 2a vs. the time in the NHC exchange reaction of 2b to 2a via 2INT.

2. X-ray Crystallographic Data

General Information

The X-ray intensity data of 1 and 2a were collected on an X-ray single crystal diffractometer equipped with a CMOS detector (Bruker Photon-100), a rotating anode (Bruker TXS) with MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and a Helios mirror optic by using the APEX III software package.^{S5} The measurements were performed on single crystals coated with the perfluorinated ether Fomblin® Y. The crystals were fixed on the top of a micro sampler, transferred to the diffractometer and frozen under a stream of cold nitrogen. A matrix scan was used to determine the initial lattice parameters. Reflections were merged and corrected for Lorenz and polarization effects, scan speed, and background using SAINT.^{S6} Absorption corrections, including odd and even ordered spherical harmonics were performed using SADABS.^{S6} Space group assignments were based upon systematic absences, E statistics, and successful refinement of the structures. Structures were solved by direct methods with the aid of successive difference Fourier maps, and were refined against all data using the APEX III software in conjunction with SHELXL-2014^{S7} and SHELXLE.^{S8} All H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model, with methylene and aromatic C–H distances of 0.99 and 0.95 Å, respectively, and U iso(H) = 1.2 · U eq(C). Full-matrix least-squares refinements were carried out by minimizing $\Delta w (F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2$ with SHELXL-97 weighting scheme.^{S9} Neutral atom scattering factors for all atoms and anomalous dispersion corrections for the nonhydrogen atoms were taken from International Tables for Crystallography.^{S10} The images of the crystal structures were generated by Mercury.^{S11} The CCDC numbers CCDC-1914471 (1) and CCDC-1914472 (2a) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for the structures. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/.

2.1 SC-XRD structure of ['Bu₃Si-Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl (1)

Fig. S30 Ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the molecular structure of compound **1**. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Si1–Si2 2.424(1), Si1–C13 1.937(2), Si1–C20 1.943(3), Si2–Si1–C13 122.1(1), Si2–Si1–C20 113.5(1), C13–Si1–C20 93.1(1).

2.2 SC-XRD structure of [^tBu₂MeSi–Si(IMe₄)₂]Cl (2a)

Fig. S31 Ellipsoid plot (50% probability level) of the molecular structure of compound **2a**. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: Si1–Si2 2.388(1), Si1–C10 1.943(2), Si1–C17 1.945(3), Si2–Si1–C10 107.4(1), Si2–Si1–C17 117.9(1), C10–Si1–C17 93.6(1), Si3–Si4 2.396(1), Si3–C33 1.940(3), Si3–C40 1.947(3), Si4–Si3–C33 117.5(1), Si4–Si3–C40 105.8(1), C33–Si3–C40 94.1(1).

Table S2 Crystal data and structural refinement parameters for compounds 1 and 2a.

Compound #	1	2a		
Chemical formula	$C_{30}H_{57}CI_1N_6Si_2$	$C_{52}H_{94}CI_2F_2N_8Si_4$		
Formula weight	593.45	1052.62		
Temperature	100(2) K	100(2) K		
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	0.71073 Å		
Crystal size	0.178 x 0.243 x 0.472 mm	0.199 x 0.226 x 0.272 mm		
Crystal habit	clear orange fragment	clear yellow fragment		
Crystal system	trigonal	triclinic		
Space group	R -3	P -1		
Unit cell dimensions	a = 45.605(5) Å, α = 90°	a = 11.0482(19) Å, α = 66.805(5)°		
	b = 45.605(5) Å, β = 90°	b = 17.171(3) Å, β = 74.978(5)°		
	$c = 9.2968(11) \text{ Å}, y = 120^{\circ}$	$c = 21.066(4) \text{ Å}, y = 88.261(6)^{\circ}$		
Volume	16745(4) Å ³	3536.2(11) Å ³		
z	6	4		
Density (calculated)	1.059 g/cm ³	0.989 g/cm ³		
Absorption coefficient	0.193 mm ⁻¹	0.199 mm ⁻¹		
F(000)	5832	1140		
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Venture	Bruker D8 Venture		
Radiation source	TXS rotating anode. Mo	TXS rotating anode. Mo		
Theta range for data collection	2.25 to 25.35°	2.22 to 25.68°		
...	-54<=h<=54	-13<=h<=13		
Index ranges	-54<=k<=54	-20<=k<=20		
	-11<= <=11	-25<=l<=25		
Reflections collected	226492	63614		
Independent reflections	6800 [R(int) = 0.0716]	13410 [R(int) = 0.0838]		
Coverage of independent				
reflections	99.9%	99.9%		
Absorption correction	Multi-Scan	Multi-Scan		
Max. and min. transmission	0.9660 and 0.9140	0.9610 and 0.9470		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Refinement program	SHELXL-2016/6 (Sheldrick, 2016)	SHELXL-2016/6 (Sheldrick, 2016)		
Function minimized	$\Sigma W(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2$	$\Sigma W(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2$		
Data / restraints / parameters	6800 / 0 / 371	13410 / 132 / 716		
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.104	1.024		
∆/σmax	0.002	0.001		
Final R indices	5960 data; I>2σ(I)	9895 data; I>2σ(I)		
	R1 = 0.0432, wR2 = 0.1240	R1 = 0.0521, w $R2 = 0.1114$		
	all data	all data		
	R1 = 0.0512, wR2 = 0.1296	R1 = 0.0802, wR2 = 0.1207		
Weighting scheme	$w=1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{0}^{2})+(0.0634P)^{2}+45.3570P]$	$w=1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2)+(0.0378P)^2+3.4602P]$		
	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$		
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.857 and -0.262 eÅ-3	0.728 and -0.336 eÅ ⁻³		
R.M.S. deviation from mean	0.061 eÅ ⁻³	0.056 eÅ ⁻³		

3. References

- S1 N. Kuhn and T. Kratz, *Synthesis*, 1993, **1993**, 561-562.
- S2 A. Hübner, T. Bernert, I. Sänger, E. Alig, M. Bolte, L. Fink, M. Wagner and H.-W. Lerner, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 7528-7533.
- S3 N. Wiberg, W. Niedermayer, H. Nöth, J. Knizek, W. Ponikwar and K. Polborn, *Z. Naturforsch. B.*, 2000, **55**, 389.
- S4 V. Y. Lee, K. Takanashi, R. Kato, T. Matsuno, M. Ichinohe and A. Sekiguchi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 2800-2810.
- S5 APEX suite of crystallographic software, APEX 3 version 2015.5-2; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2015.
- S6 SAINT, Version 7.56a and SADABS Version 2008/1; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2008.
- S7 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-2014, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 2014.
- S8 C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick and B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Cryst., 2011, 44, 1281-1284.
- S9 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1998.
- S10 A. J. C. Wilson, International Tables for Crystallography, Vol. C, Tables 6.1.1.4 (pp. 500-502), 4.2.6.8 (pp. 219-222), and 4.2.4.2 (pp. 193-199); Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992.
- S11 C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. van de Streek and P. A. Wood, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2008, **41**, 466-470.