Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

#### **Supplementary information**

#### Slow magnetic relaxation in Ni-Ln (Ln = Ce, Gd, Dy) dinuclear complexes

Anna Vráblová, Milagros Tomás, Larry R. Falvello, Ľubor Dlháň, Ján Titiš, Juraj Černák, Roman Boča

## Analytical data

#### H<sub>2</sub>(o-van-en)

Anal. [%], calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 65.83; H, 6.15; N, 8.53; found: C, 66.30; H, 6.33; N, 8.57. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of H<sub>2</sub>(*o*-*van*-*en*): 3746w, 2997w, 2931w, 2848w, 1631s, 1463s, 1438m, 1408m, 1325w, 1295w, 1246vs, 1189m, 1170m, 1133m, 1080s, 1054m, 1010m, 987m, 962s, 836s, 791s, 782s, 740s, 729s, 620m, 521m, 441m. UV-Vis (nm) in EtOH: 219, 264; in CHCl<sub>3</sub>: 265, 334. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 3.92 m, 6.84 m, 7.267 s, 8.323 s, 13.5 s, b. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 56.056, 59.467, 114.084, 118.032, 118.419, 123.149, 148.269, 151.402, 166.643.

# $[Ni(o-van-en)Ce(H_2O)Cl_3]$ (1)

Yield: 81 %. Anal. [%], calculated for CeNiC<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 33.28; H, 3.10; N, 4.31; found: C, 32.50; H, 3.39; N, 4.30. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of **1**: 3334wm, 3248m, 2952w, 2926w, 1638m, 1622s, 1608s, 1560m, 1459s, 1431m, 1410w, 1390w, 1342w, 1324w, 1291s, 1243s, 1230s, 1198m, 1165m, 1139w, 1101w, 1077s, 1053m, 989m, 980m, 956s, 900m, 864m, 847m, 783s, 739vs, 686m, 667w, 626m, 579m, 549m, 536m, 494m, 440s, 408s. Single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by recrystallization of the microcrystalline complex using room temperature diffusion of a MeOH solution into iPrOH.

## $[Ni(o-van-en)Gd(H_2O)Cl_3](2)$

Yield: 65 %. Anal. [%], calculated for  $GdNiC_{18}H_{20}Cl_3O_5N_2$ : C, 32.43; H, 3.02; N, 4.20; found: C, 31.82; H, 2.73; N, 4.23. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of **2**: 3347wm, 3242m, 2949w, 2927w, 1622s, 1609s, 1560m, 1471s, 1462s, 1435m, 1409m, 1390w, 1340w, 1323w, 1295s, 1247s, 1230s, 1198m, 1166m, 1142w, 1100w, 1077s, 1053m, 988m, 980m, 957s, 902m, 866m, 845m, 783s, 739vs, 692m, 669w, 629m, 580m, 550m, 539m, 497m, 442m, 409s. Single crystals of [Ni(*o*-van-en)Gd(H<sub>2</sub>O)Cl<sub>3</sub>] (**2**) were obtained by crystallization using diffusion of a MeOH solution of GdCl<sub>3</sub> into an iPrOH solution of [Ni(*o*-van-en)] at room temperature.

#### $[Ni(o-van-en)Dy(H_2O)Cl_3]$ (3)

Yield: 70 %. Anal. [%], calculated for  $DyNiC_{18}H_{20}Cl_{3}O_{5}N_{2}$ : C, 32.18; H, 3.00; N, 4.17; found: C, 31.36; H, 3.23; N, 4.00. IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of **3**: 3342wm, 3242m, 2949w, 2928w, 1623s, 1610s, 1560m, 1462s, 1436m, 1400m, 1389w, 1340w, 1323w, 1294s, 1248s, 1229s, 1197m, 1166m, 1077s, 1053m, 988m, 978m, 958s, 903w, 866m, 788s, 739vs, 693m, 669w, 630m, 580m, 550m, 497m, 443m, 409s. Crystals of [Ni(*o*-van-en)Dy(H<sub>2</sub>O)Cl<sub>3</sub>] (**3**) were obtained by recrystallization using diffusion of a methanol solution of the microcrystalline product into iPrOH with a temperature gradient of from 70 °C at the bottom of the beaker to room temperature at the top of the solution.

# Structural data

	1	2	3	
Empirical formula	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> CeN <sub>2</sub> NiO <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> GdN <sub>2</sub> NiO <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>20</sub> Cl <sub>3</sub> DyN <sub>2</sub> NiO <sub>5</sub>	
Formula weight [g.mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	649.54	666.67	671.92	
Crystal system, space group	monoclinic, $P 2_1/n$	monoclinic, $P 2_1/n$	monoclinic, $P 2_1/n$	
Unit cell dimensions and unit	a = 7.1269(3)	a = 7.0236(3)	a = 7.00940(10)	
cell volume [Å, °, Å <sup>3</sup> ]	b = 14.4698(6)	b = 14.3753(9)	b = 14.3916(3)	
	c = 21.0732(12)	c = 20.9662(13)	c = 20.9556(5)	
	$\beta = 93.014(4)$	$\beta = 92.216(5)$	$\beta = 91.833(2)$	
	V = 2170.18(18)	V = 2115.3(2)	V = 2112.85(7)	
Ζ	4	4	4	
Calculated density [g cm <sup>-3</sup> ]	1.988	2.093	2.112	
Absorption coefficient [mm <sup>-1</sup> ]	3.341	4.411	4.813	
Crystal form, colour, size	dichroic red-orange	pale orange block,	red/colourless	
[mm]	and colourless blocks,		dichroic, rhombic,	
	0.091 x 0.057 x 0.018	0.175 x 0.079 x 0.034	0.08 x 0.04 x 0.02	
Temperature [K]	299(2)	295(2)	293(2)	
Radiation [Å]	$MoK_{\alpha} (\lambda = 0.71073)$	$MoK_{\alpha} (\lambda = 0.71073)$	$MoK_{\alpha} (\lambda = 0.71073)$	
Diffractometer	XCalibur, CCD	XCalibur, CCD	XCalibur, CCD	
	detector	detector	detector	
$\theta$ range for data collection [°]	3.653 - 28.384	3.230 - 24.997	2.831 - 30.302	
Index ranges	$-9 \le h \le 9, -19 \le k \le$	$-8 \le h \le 8, -10 \le k \le$	$-9 \le h \le 8, -20 \le k \le$	
	19, $-28 \le l \le 26$	17, $-24 \le l \le 23$	19, $-29 \le l \le 28$	
Reflections collected /	6159 / 6159	9912 / 3716	26349 / 5820	
independent				
GooF (S)	0.972	0.943	1.038	
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0370,	R1 = 0.0589,	R1 = 0.0338,	
	wR2 = 0.0990	wR2 = 0.0692	wR2 = 0.0587	
Final <i>R</i> indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0642,	R1 = 0.1320,	R1 = 0.0671,	
	wR2 = 0.1051	wR2 = 0.0864	wR2 = 0.0587	
Largest diff. peak and hole [e.Å <sup>-3</sup> ]	$-0.640 \le \Delta \rho \le 0.708$	$-1.148 \le \Delta \rho \le 1.613$	$-0.821 \le \Delta \rho \le 0.677$	

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1, 2 and 3.

D-H…A	D-H [Å]	H…A [Å]	D…A [Å]	D-H…A [°]		
1						
O5-H1W···Cl3 <sup>i</sup>	0.845(11)	2.32(3)	3.122(5)	157(7)		
O5-H2W····Cl2 <sup>i</sup>	0.842(11)	2.35(3)	3.125(5)	154(6)		
C11-H11···Cl2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.65	2.65 3.577(8)			
2						
O5-H1W···Cl3 <sup>i</sup>	0.85	2.36	3.146(7)	155.0		
O5-H2W···Cl2 <sup>i</sup>	0.88	2.34	3.147(8)	152.8		
C11-H11···Cl2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95(10)	2.63(10)	3.572(13)	173(9)		
3						
O5-H1W···Cl3 <sup>i</sup>	0.836(10)	2.363(18)	3.149(3)	157(3)		
O5-H2W···Cl2 <sup>i</sup>	0.836(10)	2.39(2)	3.148(3)	151(3)		
C11-H11···Cl2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.63	3.562(4)	176.9		
Summetry codes: is ?						

Table S2. Potential hydrogen bonds in 1, 2 and 3.

Symmetry codes: I: 1 + x, y, z; II: -1/2 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z.



Figure S1. LeBail refinement of the measured powder diffraction patterns of complexes 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Red line represents the refined pattern; blue line represents measured data; black line represents the difference. Herewith, we give the input and refined unit cell parameters [in brackets] and values of R(p), R(wp) and GooF for samples 1, 2 and 3, respectively: (1) input unit cell parameters [a = 7.1269 (3) Å, b = 14.4698 (6) Å, c = 21.0732 (12) Å,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 93.014$  (4)°,  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ], refined unit cell [a = 7.119 (13) Å, b = 14.46 (3) Å, c = 21.02 (4) Å,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 92.741$  (6)°,  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ], R(p) = 0.0396, R(wp) = 0.0544, GooF = 1.52; (2) input unit cell parameters [a = 7.0236 (3) Å, b = 14.3753 (9) Å, c = 20.9662 (13) Å,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta =$ 92.216 (5) °,  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ], refined unit cell [a = 7.023 (3) Å, b = 14.381 (6) Å, c = 20.901 (9) Å,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta =$ 92.173 (6)°,  $\gamma = 90°$ ], R(p) = 0.0490, R(wp) = 0.0690, GooF = 1.65; (3) input unit cell parameters [a = 7.00940 (10) Å, b = 14.3916 (3) Å, c = 20.9556 (5) Å,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 91.833$  (2)°,  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ], refined unit cell [a = 7.0128 (9) Å, b = 14.4023 (19) Å, c = 20.985 (3) Å,  $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 91.803$  (4)°,  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$ ], R(p) = 0.0457, R(wp) = 0.0616, GooF = 1.69.



Figure S2. The polyhedron of the Ce(III) central atom in 1.



**Figure S3**. Crystal structure of [Ni(o-van-en)Ce(H<sub>2</sub>O)Cl<sub>3</sub>], ab plane. Orange dashed lines represent hydrogen bonds listed in Tab. S2. Blue dashed lines represent  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interaction between Cg1 of the aromatic ring formed by C2-C7 atoms and Cg2 of the ring formed by C12-C17 atoms with the Cg1…Cg2 distance of 3.619(5) Å [3.630(7) and 3.665(2) Å for **2** and **3**, respectively].



Figure S4. Temperature evolution of the AC susceptibility components for 1, 2, and 3.



**Figure S5**. Various representations of the temperature evolution of the HF relaxation time for **3**. Solid curve – fitted with the Raman & tunneling process. Dashed – linear fits for the truncated data.



**Figure S6**. Temperature evolution of the HF relaxation time for **2** (error bars are displayed). Solid curve – fitted with the Raman & strange process. Dashed – linear fits for the truncated data.

<i>Т/</i> К	R(χ') /%	R(\chi'') /%	χs	Xlf	$lpha_{ m LF}$	τ <sub>LF</sub> /10 <sup>-3</sup> s	Xнғ	$lpha_{ m HF}$	τ <sub>ΗF</sub> /10 <sup>-3</sup> s	$x_{\rm LF}$	$x_{\rm HF}$	
a) $B_1$	a) $B_{\rm DC} = 0.1  {\rm T}$											
19	0 70	23	0.23(1)	2.6(4)	0.06(2)	18.7(11)	3.22(1)	0.26(10)	3 2(28)	0.82	0.18	
2.3	1.0	17	0.25(1)	2.0(1) 2.1(5)	0.00(2)	17.7(15)	2.69(1)	0.25(9)	3.7(27)	0.02	0.25	
2.7	0.47	1.6	0.27(1)	1.6(3)	0.00(0)	16.7(10)	2.34(1)	0.21(3)	4.6(18)	0.63	0.37	
31	0.45	0.15	0.26(1)	1.6(2)	0.01(3)	12.2(5)	2.07(1)	0.27(2)	4 4(13)	0.63	0.37	
3.5	0.15	1.2	0.25(1)	1.39(14)	0.02(2)	8 78(26)	1.87(1)	0.27(2)	2.74(88)	0.00	0.29	
39	0.52	2.4	0.24(2)	1.03(11) 1.41(13)	0.02(2)	5.31(15)	1.37(1)	0.39(10)	1.9(12)	0.79	0.21	
4.3	0.49	3.1	0.22(6)	1.35(7)	0.01(2)	3.24(7)	1.59(1)	0.50(21)	0.79(141)	0.83	0.17	
4.3	1.4	5.2	0.27(1)	1.59(1)	0.09(1)	2.93(5)		•••••(=-)				
4.7	1.1	4.4	0.27(1)	1.47(1)	0.09(1)	1.93(3)						
5.1	1.0	4.3	0.27(1)	1.39(1)	0.08(1)	1.24(2)						
5.9	1.4	6.2	0.27(1)	1.25(1)	0.08(1)	0.48(1)						
6.7	1.4	7.4	0.24(3)	1.14(1)	0.09(2)	0.195(9)						
7.5	0.87	5.4	0.24(3)	1.05(1)	0.08(2)	0.100(6)						
b) <i>B</i>	$p_{\rm C} = 0$ .	5 T	(-)									
1.9	0.82	2.1	0.24(1)	3.01(1)	0.23(1)	60.3(5)				1		
2.3	0.86	2.6	0.23(1)	2.60(1)	0.21(1)	45.0(4)				1		
2.7	1.3	2.4	0.23(1)	2.27(1)	0.18(1)	32.8(4)				1		
3.1	1.4	3.3	0.23(1)	2.01(1)	0.17(1)	22.5(3)				1		
3.5	0.48	1.3	0.21(1)	1.4(2)	0.03(3)	14.4(2)	1.82(1)	0.42(12)	13.5(14)	0.74	0.26	
3.9	0.38	0.66	0.21	1.35(10)	0.01(1)	8.50(8)	1.67(1)	0.50(10)	6.8(6)	0.78	0.22	
	1	``	17	```	1	> 1/		. /	1	-		

Table S3. Results of the fitting procedure for AC susceptibility components of 1.

 $x_{\text{LF}} = (\chi_{T,\text{LF}} - \chi_S)/(\chi_T - \chi_S), \ x_{\text{HF}} = (\chi_{T,\text{HF}} - \chi_{T,\text{LF}})/(\chi_T - \chi_S), \ \chi_{T,\text{HF}} = \chi_T, \text{ and } x_{\text{HF}} = 1 - x_{\text{LF}}; \ \chi_i \text{ in units of } 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}.$ 



Figure S7. AC magnetic data for 1. Solid lines – fitted by the extended two-set Debye model.

T/K	$R(\chi')$	R(\chi')	χs	$\chi_{ m LF}$	$lpha_{LF}$	$ au_{LF}$	χ́нғ	$lpha_{HF}$	$ au_{HF}$	$x_{\rm LF}$	$x_{\rm HF}$
	/%	/%				/ 10 <sup>-3</sup> s			/10⁻ <sup>6</sup> s		
b) $B_{\rm DC} = 0.3  {\rm T}$											
1.9	0.91	3.3	3.3(10)	33(1)	.36(1)	369(13)	46.5(5)	.26(4)	120(18)	.69	.31
2.1	0.88	3.1	2.9(9)	29(1)	.36(1)	290(10)	43.1(4)	.26(4)	127(15)	.65	.35
2.3	0.59	2.1	3.2(5)	26(1)	.35(1)	234(5)	40.1(2)	.24(2)	144(9)	.62	.38
2.5	0.43	1.8	3.1(3)	23(1)	.34(1)	197(3)	37.3(1)	.22(1)	159(6)	.58	.42
2.7	0.72	1.4	3.5(4)	21(1)	.35(1)	181(5)	35.4(2)	.20(2)	174(8)	.56	.44
3.1	0.57	1.4	3.1(3)	15.7(4)	.31(1)	163(4)	31.0(1)	.20(1)	196(6)	.45	.55
3.5	0.26	1.3	2.9(1)	11.6(2)	.2691)	164(3)	27.4(1)	.19(1)	213(3)	.35	.65
3.9	0.36	1.0	2.9(1)	8.8(2)	.23(1)	163(6)	24.8(1)	.16(1)	223(3)	.27	.73
4.3	0.24	1.0	2.9(1)	6.8(1)	.25(1)	160(4)	22.5(1)	.15(1)	221(2)	.20	.80
4.7	0.48	1.5	2.9(1)	5.7(2)	.27(3)	158(10)	20.7(1)	.11(1)	219(3)	.16	.84
5.1	0.42	1.5	3.1(1)	5.1(2)	.28(1)	161(14)	19.1(1)	.09(1)	218(3)	.12	.88
5.7	0.47	1.9	3.1(1)	4.3(2)	.28(1)	170(27)	17.2(1)	.07(1)	205(3)	.08	.92
6.5	0.63	2.9	3.2(2)	2.9(3)	.33(17)	210(99)	15.1(1)	.04(1)	189(3)	.05	.95
a) <i>B</i>	$P_{\rm DC} = 0$	.1 T									
1.9	0.17	1.2	25.6(3)	33.8(3)	.30(1)	116(2)	50.8(1)	.16(1)	130(3)	.33	.67
2.1	0.19	1.0	23.9(2)	30.2(3)	.30(1)	99(3)	46.0(1)	.14(1)	134(3)	.29	.71
2.3	0.22	1.0	22.3(2)	27.4(3)	.31(2)	92(4)	42.5(1)	.13(1)	132(3)	.26	.74
2.5	0.29	1.5	21.2(3)	25.5(4)	.33(3)	80(5)	39.1(1)	.10(1)	136(3)	.24	.76
2.7	0.19	1.2	19.3(2)	22.6(2)	.30(2)	83(4)	36.2(1)	.12(1)	124(3)	.20	.80
3.1	0.24	0.94	17.2(2)	19.3(2)	.27(3)	94(6)	31.5(1)	.10(1)	122(3)	.14	.86
3.5	0.17	1.6	15.5(2)	16.7(1)	.23(4)	123(9)	27.9(1)	.10(1)	115(2)	.10	.90
	/		17	`	/	× /	/	、		1	1

Table S4. Results of the fitting procedure for AC susceptibility components of 2.

 $x_{\rm LF} = (\chi_{T,\rm LF} - \chi_S)/(\chi_T - \chi_S), \ x_{\rm HF} = (\chi_{T,\rm HF} - \chi_{T,\rm LF})/(\chi_T - \chi_S), \ \overline{\chi_{T,\rm HF}} = \chi_T, \text{ and } x_{\rm HF} = 1 - x_{\rm LF}; \ \chi_i \text{ in units of } 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1}.$ 



Figure S8. AC magnetic data for 2. Solid lines – fitted by the extended two-set Debye model.

**Table S5.** Results of the fitting procedure for AC susceptibility components of **3** at  $B_{DC} = 0.15$  T.

											20		
T/K	R(\chi')	R(χ'')	Хlf	$lpha_{LF}$	$\tau_{LF}$	$\chi_{ ext{if}}$	$lpha_{IF}$	τ <sub>IF</sub> /10-3 c	<i>Х</i> нг	$lpha_{HF}$	<i>τ</i> <sub>НF</sub> /10-б.с	$X_{\rm LF}$	$x_{\rm HF}$
	/ 70	/ 70			/ 5			/10-5			/10-5		
1.9	2.0	1.0	52(14)	.21(13)	1.29(23)	79(13)	.17(13)	74(21)	101(9)	.75(16)	964	.49	.23
2.3	1.7	1.3	29(8)	.01	0.77(9)	61(14)	.27(14)	72(25)	82(3)	.66(12)	999	.31	.28
2.7	1.6	2.0	24(16)	.01	0.50(8)	48(15)	.31(31)	58(51)	71(1)	.53(8)	965	.29	.35
3.1	2.5	2.3	22(10)	.03	0.36(10)	35(7)	.18	36(25)	62(1)	.40(6)	889(505)	.30	.48
3.5	2.1	1.5	16(9)	.06	0.34(14)	25(4)	.17	37(32)	55(1)	.34(4)	660(155)	.22	.60
3.9	1.1	.64	11(4)	.01	0.32(9)	18(1)	.16	39(22)	50(1)	.28(2)	450(30)	.14	.71
4.3	.63	.83	11(2)	.15(10)	0.29(8)	14(1)	.10	25(9)	46(1)	.21(1)	275(6)	.14	.78
4.7	.48	1.7	1.6(16)	.31(10)	0.18(7)	12(1)	.09	9.6(5)	42(1)	.13(1)	137(2)	.15	.81
5.1	.44	2.8	1.6(5)	.53(4)	0.09(1)	-	-	-	40(1)	.11(1)	64(1)	.16	.84
5.5	.67	3.8	9.1(8)	.56(9)	0.091(36)				37(1)	.14(4)	26(2)	.13	.87
5.9	.37	3.9	8.2(6)	.63(8)	0.097(4)				34(1)	.09(4)	12(1)	.11	.89
6.3	.35	8.1	8.7(33)	.80(18)	0.064				33(1)	.01	5.8(26)	.13	.87
	(		)/(		(	)/(				. )/(			

 $x_{\rm LF} = (\chi_{T,\rm LF} - \chi_S) / (\chi_T - \chi_S), \ x_{\rm IF} = (\chi_{T,\rm IF} - \chi_{T,\rm LF}) / (\chi_T - \chi_S), \ x_{\rm HF} = (\chi_{T,\rm HF} - \chi_{T,\rm IF}) / (\chi_T - \chi_S), \ \chi_{T,\rm HF} = \chi_T, \text{ and} x_{\rm IF} = 1 - x_{\rm LF} - x_{\rm HF}.$  Fixed  $\chi_S = 5.0 \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m^3 \, mol^{-1}}; \ \chi_I \text{ in units of } 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{m^3 \, mol^{-1}}.$ 

# Ab initio calculation of the magnetic functions for 1.

Calculated energies of seven Kramers doublets:

Eigenv	alues:	cm-1	eV	Boltzmann populations at T = 300.000 K
0:		0.00	0.0000	3.73e-01
1:		0.00	0.0000	3.73e-01
2:	29	9.43	0.0371	8.87e-02
3:	29	9.43	0.0371	8.87e-02
4:	47	4.36	0.0588	3.83e-02
5:	47	4.36	0.0588	3.83e-02
6:	224	4.82	0.2783	7.87e-06
7:	224	4.82	0.2783	7.87e-06
8:	264	4.34	0.3279	1.16e-06
9:	264	4.34	0.3279	1.16e-06
10:	2698	8.78	0.3346	8.92e-07
11:	2698	8.78	0.3346	8.92e-07
12:	2889	9.86	0.3583	3.57e-07
13:	2889	9.86	0.3583	3.57e-07