Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2019

## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Three-in-one is really better: Exploring sensing and adsorption properties in a newly designed metalorganic system incorporating copper(II) ion

#### Formula for calculating the percentage of Nitrobenzene fluorescence intensity quenching:

#### (*I<sub>o</sub>-I*)/*I*<sub>o</sub> x 100%

Where,  $I_o$  = initial fluorescence intensity, I = intensity of CP (**1**) containing nitrobenzene solution.

Reference: (a) S. Pramanik, C. Zheng, X. Zhang, T. J. Emge and J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4153; (b) D. Banerjee, Z. Hu and J. Li, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 10668.

#### **Stern-Volmer equation:**

### $I_0/I = K_{\rm SV}[{\rm A}] + 1$

Where,  $I_0$  = fluorescent intensity of CP (**1**) before the addition of the analyte I = fluorescent intensity after the addition of the respective analyte  $K_{SV}$  = Stern-Volmer constant [A] = molar concentration of the analyte (M<sup>-1</sup>).

#### **Topological analysis for CP (1)**

1:C7 Cu N2 O S

Topology for Cu1

-----

Atom Cu1 links by bridge ligands and has

Common vertex with			R(A-A)			
Cu 1	-0.0054	0.6154	-0.0523	(010)	3.004A	1
Cu 1	0.0054	0.1154	-0.4477	(00-1)	5.416A	1
Cu 1	0.0054	0.1154	0.5523	(000)	5.416A	1

\_\_\_\_\_

Structural group analysis

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Structural group No 1

-----

Structure consists of layers (100) with Cu

**Coordination sequences** 

-----

Cu1: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Num 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30

Cum 4 10 19 31 46 64 85 109 136 166

\_\_\_\_\_

TD10=166

Vertex symbols for selected sublattice

\_\_\_\_\_

Cu1 Point symbol:{6^3}

Extended point symbol: [6.6.6]

\_\_\_\_\_

Point symbol for net: {6^3}

3-c net; uninodal net

Topological type: hcb; Shubnikov hexagonal plane net/(6,3)



Scheme S1 Important coordination modes of NaSCN ligand.

	_		
Table S1 Selected bo	nd lengths (Å) a	and bond angle (	degree) for CP (1)

Cu1–Cu1	3.0041
Cu1–O1	1.917(3)
Cu1–O1	1.930(3)
Cu1–N1	1.980(4)
Cu1–N2	1.915(4)
N1–Cu1–O1	158.83(14)
N1–Cu1–O1	81.85(14)
N2Cu1O1	99.46(15)
N2–Cu1–O1	169.68(15)
N2-Cu1-N1	100.41(16)
C1–O1–Cu1	138.8(3)
C1–O1–Cu1	115.3(3)
C2–N1–Cu1	113.5(3)
C6–N1–Cu1	127.7(3)
C7–N2–Cu1	168.7(4)



Scheme S2. Important coordination modes of hmp ligand.



**Figure S1:** Formation of 3-D supramolecular network due to various supramolecular interaction in CP (**1**).



Figure S2: Emission spectrum of CP (1) dipped in different solvents upon excitation at 250 nm.



**Figure S3**: Emission spectrum of CP (1), hmpH and NaSCN ligand in methanol upon excitation at 250 nm.



**Figure S4**: The change in fluorescence intensity of CP (1) upon incremental addition of DNT (a), m-DNB (b), NT (c) and p-DNB (d) solution.



Figure S5: Solid and solution state (a), temperature dependent (b) UV-Visible spectra of CP (1) in methanol.



Figure S6: 3-D representation of Stern–Volmer (SV) plot for various nitro aromatic analytes.



**Figure S7:** The change in fluorescence intensity of CP (**1**) upon addition of DNT (a), m-DNB (b), NT (c) and p-DNB (d) followed by NB.

Table S2: - HOMO and LUMO energies calculated for nitroaromatics analytes and hmpH
(ligand) at B3LYP/6- 31G* level of theory.

Compound	НОМО	LUMO	Band Gap
p-NB	-8.4592	-4.3364	4.1228
m-NB	-8.42899	-3.93558	4.4934
d-NT	-7.99661	-3.79708	4.1995
NT	-7.60395	-3.09151	4.5124
NB	-7.63606	-2.94917	4.6868
Ligand	-6.93727	-1.55662	5.3806

Analytes	Vapor Pressure (in mmHg,# at 25 °C)	Reduction Potential <sup>(a)</sup> (in V vs SCE)
Nitrobenzene (NB)16	0.2416	-1.15
1,3-Dinitrobenzene (m- DNB)17	8.82 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	-0.9
1,4-Dinitrobenzene (p-DNB)16	2.406 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	-0.7
2-Nitrotoluene (NT)16	0.1602	-1.2
2,4-Dinitrotoluene (2,4- DNT)16	1.44 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	-1.0

Table S3: The Vapor pressure and reduction potential of common explosives and selectedanalytes

<sup>#</sup>1 mmHg = 1.2468 × 10<sup>3</sup> ppm

<sup>(a)</sup>Debasis Banerjee, Zhichao Hu, and Jing Li, *DaltonTrans.*, 2014, **43**, 10668.



Figure S8: Emission spectrum of CP (1) upon incremental addition of 1mM solution of K<sup>+</sup> (a), Ca<sup>2+</sup>(b), Mg<sup>2+</sup> (c) and Cr<sup>3+</sup> (d) metal ions in methanol.



Figure S9: Emission spectrum of CP (1) upon incremental addition of 1mM solution of Mn<sup>2+</sup>(a), Co<sup>2+</sup>(b), Ni<sup>2+</sup>(c) and Cu<sup>2+</sup>(d) metal ions in methanol.



Figure S10: Emission spectrum of CP (1) upon incremental addition of 1mM solution of  $Zn^{2+}$  (a), Hg<sup>2+</sup> (b) and Pb<sup>2+</sup> (c) metal ions in methanol.



**Figure S11**: Spectral overlap between normalized emission spectra of CP (1) ( $\lambda ex = 250 \text{ nm}$ ) and normalized absorbance spectra of the metal ions.



**Figure S12**: PXRD patterns of CP (1): simulated (blue) as-synthesized (red), after immersion in NB (yellow) and Fe<sup>3+</sup>solution (green).



Figure S13 3-D representation of Stern–Volmer (SV) plot for various metal ions.



Figure S14: The change in fluorescence intensity of CP (1) upon addition of K<sup>+</sup> (a), Ca<sup>2+</sup> (b), Mg<sup>2+</sup>
(c) and Cr<sup>3+</sup> (d) solution followed by Fe<sup>3+</sup> solution respectively in methanol.



Figure S15: The change in fluorescence intensity of CP (1) upon addition of  $Mn^{2+}(a)$ ,  $Co^{2+}(b)$ ,  $Ni^{2+}(c)$  and  $Cu^{2+}(d)$  solution followed by  $Fe^{3+}$  solution respectively in methanol.



Figure S16: The change in fluorescence intensity of CP (1) upon addition of  $Zn^{2+}$  (a),  $Hg^{2+}$  (b), and  $Pb^{2+}$  (c) solution followed by  $Fe^{3+}$  solution respectively in methanol.



**Figure S17:** Linear region of fluorescence intensity of CP (**1**) upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in methanol ( $20 - 200 \mu$ L, 1 mM stock solution) (upon  $\lambda_{ex}$ = 250nm) (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.92).



**Figure S18:** Linear region of fluorescence intensity of CP (**1**) upon addition of NB in methanol (2  $-20 \mu$ L, 2 mM stock solution) (upon  $\lambda_{ex}$ = 250nm) (R<sup>2</sup>= 0.97).

### Calculation of standard deviation:

Table S4: Standard deviation for CP (1).

Blank Readings (only CP)	Intensity of CP (1)
Reading 1	907.5
Reading 2	910.2
Reading 3	911.1
Reading 4	904.6
Reading 5	914.4
Standard Deviation ( $\sigma$ )	3.7

## **Calculation of Detection Limit:**

### Table S5: Detection limit calculation of CP (1) for NB

Compound 1	Slope from Graph (m)	Detection limit (3σ/m)	
		μΜ	ppb
1	38550	2.8 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	0.03531

# Table S6: Detection limit calculation of CP (1) for Fe<sup>3+</sup>

Compound 1	Slope from Graph (m)	Detection limit (3σ/m)	
		μΜ	ppb
1	2126.15	5.2 × 10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.8434



**Figure S19**: Recyclability test of CP (1), the upper dots represent the initial luminescence intensity and the lower dots represent the intensity upon addition of NB solution.



**Figure S20**: Recyclability test of CP (1), the upper dots represent the initial luminescence intensity and the lower dots represent the intensity upon addition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> solution.



**Figure S21**: The decolorization of different dyes with increasing time MB (a), MO (b), Rh-B (c) in presence of CP (**1**).



Figure S22: The adsorption of MB onto CP (1) using pseudo-first-order (a), pseudo-second-order (b)and intra particle (c) kinetics model.



**Figure S23**: The adsorption of MO onto CP (1) using pseudo-first-order (a), pseudo-secondorder (b), and intra particle (c) kinetics model.



**Figure S24**: The adsorption of Rh-B onto CP (1) using pseudo-first-order (a), pseudo-secondorder (b), and intra particle (c) kinetics model.