Reactions of $K_2[Ru(NO)Cl_5$ with pseudotrilacunary $\{XW_9O_{33}\}^{9-}$ (X = As^{III}, Sb^{III}) anions

Anna A. Mukhacheva^{a,b}, Alexandra A. Shmakova^a, Victoria V. Volchek^a, Tamara E. Romanova^a, Enrico Benassi^{b,c}, Artem L. Gushchin^{a,b}, Vadim Yanshole^{b,d}, Dmitri G. Sheven^a, Nikolay B Kompankov^a, Pavel A. Abramov^{*a,e}, Maxim N. Sokolov^{a,b}

Supporting Information

Fig. S1. The FT-IR of IR of $((CH_3)_2NH_2)_7[As_2W_{17}\{Ru(NO)\}O_{61}] \cdot 4.5H_2O$ and $(Bu_4N)_4H_3[\alpha_2-As_2W_{17}\{Ru(NO)\}O_{61}]$



DMAH⁺ salt: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1868 (m), 1825 (sh), 1612 (m), 1580 (sh), 1463 (vs), 1437 (m), 1412 (m), 1378 (m), 1330 (m), 1248 (w), 1233 (w), 1085 (w), 1019 (m), 958 (s), 884 (sh), 853 (vs), 830 (sh), 728 (vs). **TBA⁺ salt:** IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1870 (m), 1580 (w), 1463 (m), 1412 (w), 1330 (w), 1235 (w), 1015 (w), 960 (m), 884 (sh), 852 (vs), 826 (sh), 728 (vs).



Fig. S1a. IR spectra of $[As_2W_{17}{Ru(NO)}O_{61}]^{7-}$ as computed at DFT M06-2X level (for the basis set, see Computational Details) in harmonic approximation. Comparison of the α_1 (black solid line) and α_2 phase (red solid line). No scale factor applied.











Table S1. Peak assignment for ESI-MS of $(Bu_4N)_4H_3[As_2W_{17}\{Ru(NO)\}O_{61}]$ in CH₃CN

anion	calc	exp
$\{(Bu_4N)H_3Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}(H_2O)\}^{3-1}$	1548.6	1548.6
${(Bu_4N)_2H_2Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}}^{3-}$	1623.1	1623.1
${(Bu_4N)_2H_2Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}(CH_3CN)_2}^{3-}$	1650.4	1650.4
$\{(Bu_4N)_2H_2Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}(CH_3CN)_3(H_2O)\}^{3-}$	1670.1	1670.1
${(Bu_4N)_3HRu(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}}^{3-}$	1703.5	1703.6
$\{(Bu_4N)H_4Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}\}^{2-1}$	2314.5	2314.4
$\{(Bu_4N)_2H_3Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}\}^{2}$	2435.1	2435.1
$\{(Bu_4N)_3H_2Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}\}^{2}$	2555.7	2555.7
${(Bu_4N)_4HRu(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}}^{2-}$	2676.4	2676.5
${(Bu_4N)_4HRu(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}(H_2O)_4}^{2-}$	2712.4	2712.4

 Table S2. Atomic ratios W/Ru, W/Sb calculated from HPLC-ICP-AES-data.

Anion	W/Ru	W/Ru	W/Sb	W/Sb
	(theory)	(found)	(theory)	(found)
$[SbW_{18}O_{60}]^{9-}$	-	-	18.0	16.6±1.7
$[SbW_{17} \{ Ru(NO) \} O_{59}]^{10-}$	17.0	16.5±1.5	17.0	16.4±1.5
$[SbW_{15}{Ru(NO)}_{3}O_{57}]^{12}$	5.0	5.4±0.5	15.0	16.0±1.5
$[As_2W_{17}{Ru(NO)}O_{61}]^{7-}$	17.0	17.3±1.6	-	-















c) Zoomed 1140-1205 m/z area (calculated patterns have positive intensities).

d) Zoomed 1470-1520 m/z area (calculated patterns have positive intensities).



m/z



e) Zoomed 1520-1595 m/z area (calculated patterns have positive intensities).

f) Zoomed 1590-1670 m/z area (calculated patterns have positive intensities).



Tuble Se. Teak abiginnent for the Est file of Collina a in aqueous solution.	Table S3.	. Peak assignment	for HR-ESI-MS	of CsKNa-2 in	aqueous solution.
--	-----------	-------------------	---------------	---------------	-------------------

anion	calc	exp
${Na_2H_4SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{4-}$	1092.9	1092.9
$\{KNa_3H_2SbW_{17}RuNO\}O_{59}\}^{4-}$	1107.9	1107.9
{K ₃ H ₃ SbW ₁₇ Ru(NO)O ₅₉ } ⁴⁻	1110.6	1110.6
$\{K_2Na_2H_2SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{4-}$	1112.1	1112.1
${K_3NaH_2SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{4-}$	1116.1	1116.1
${K_3Na_3SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{4-}$	1127.1	1127.1
$\{K_2Na_4SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}(H_2O)_2\}^{4-1}$	1132.1	1132.1
$\{CsK_{3}NaHSbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{4-}$	1149.1	1149.1
${CsK_4NaSbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{4-}$	1158.6	1158.6
${Cs_{2}H_{4}SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}(H_{2}O)_{4}}^{-}$	1165.9	1165.8
${Cs_2NaK_3SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{4-}$	1182.1	1182.1
${Cs_3Na_3SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{4-}$	1197.3	1197.3
$\{KNa_{3}H_{3}SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-}$	1477.5	1477.5
{CsH ₆ SbW ₁₇ Ru(NO)O ₅₉ } ³⁻	1486.9	1486.9
$\{CsNa_2H_4SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-}$	1501.5	1501.5
$\{K_3Na_4SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-1}$	1510.5	1510.5
${CsK_2Na_2H_2SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{3-}$	1527.2	1527.2
$CsK_3NaH_2SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}^{3-}$	1532.5	1532.5
{CsK ₅ HSbW ₁₇ Ru(NO)O ₅₉ } ³⁻	1550.1	1550.1
$\{Cs_2Na_3H_2SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-1}$	1552.8	1552.8
$\{Cs_2NaK_3HSbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-1}$	1576.5	1576.5
$\{Cs_2K_3Na_2SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-1}$	1583.8	1583.8
{Cs ₃ KH ₃ SbW ₁₇ Ru(NO)O ₅₉ } ³⁻	1587.5	1587.5
${Cs_{3}KH_{3}SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}(H_{2}O)_{2}}^{3-}$	1599.5	1599.4
$\{Cs_3K_3NaSbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}\}^{3-}$	1620.4	1620.4
${Cs_4Na_3SbW_{17}Ru(NO)O_{59}}^{3-}$	1640.8	1640.9
{Cs ₅ H ₂ SbW ₁₇ Ru(NO)O ₅₉ } ³⁻	1662.7	1662.7

Fig. S5. HPLC-UV (left) and HPLC-ICP-AES (right) for aqueous solution of CsKNa-2.





a) Full spectrum (calculated patterns have negative intensities).

b) Zoomed 2390-2700 m/z area (calculated patterns have negative intensities).



anion	calc	exp
{(Bu ₄ N) ₂ H ₆ RuNOSbW ₁₇ O ₅₉ } ²⁻	2406.6	2406.6
{(Bu ₄ N) ₃ H ₅ RuNOSbW ₁₇ O ₅₉ } ^{2–}	2527.3	2527.4
{(Bu ₄ N) ₃ H ₄ SbW ₁₈ O ₆₀ } ²⁻	2561.3	2561.3
{(Bu ₄ N) ₄ H ₄ RuNOSbW ₁₇ O ₅₉ } ^{2–}	2647.9	2647.9
{(Bu ₄ N) ₄ H ₃ SbW ₁₈ O ₆₀ } ²⁻	2681.9	2681.9

Table S4. Peak assignment for Fig. S7.

Fig. S8. Experimental FT-IR for DMA-2, TBA-2 and Cs₆KNa₃-2.



DMAH⁺ salt: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1842 (s), 1618 (s), 1462 (vs), 1438 (m), 1412 (m), 1326 (sh), 1248 (w), 1232(w), 1085 (w), 1019 (m), 954 (vs), 879 (s), 718 (vs).

TBA⁺ salt: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1837 (m), 1630 (w), 1481 (m), 1466(m), 1379 (m), 1153 (w), 955 (s), 878 (s), 733 (vs).

Cs⁺ salt: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1840 (m), 1608 (m), 952 (s), 882 (s), 718 (vs).



Fig. S10. The cyclic voltammogram of Bu₄N-2 in CH₃CN at potential scan rate of 0.1 V/s.



Table S5. Experimental details

	DMA-1	DMA-2	CsNaK-2
Chemical formula	$\begin{array}{c} C_{10.40}H_{40.80}As_2N_{5.20}O_{66}Ru_0\\ W_{18} \end{array}$	$C_8H_{24}N_4O_{62}Ru_{1.02}SbW_{16.98}$	$Cs_{5.37}O_{65.60}RuSbW_{17}$
M _r	4754.02	4514.94	5111.88
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Trigonal, <i>R</i> ⁻ 3 <i>m</i>	Orthorhombic, Pnnm
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	22.9019 (4), 13.94647 (19), 24.2943 (4)	21.1706 (14), 21.1706 (14), 15.680 (2)	12.5497 (4), 18.5385 (7), 15.6808 (5)
a, β, γ (°)	90, 113.566 (2), 90	90, 90, 120	90, 90, 90
$V(Å^3)$	7112.4 (2)	6086.0 (11)	3648.2 (2)
Ζ	4	3	2
μ (mm ⁻¹)	30.01	24.56	29.98
Crystal size (mm)	$0.22\times0.15\times0.07$	$0.27 \times 0.23 \times 0.16$	0.33 imes 0.13 imes 0.10
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.966, 0.988	0.965, 0.974	0.955, 0.986
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	39135, 13888, 10986	5031, 1353, 1079	13707, 3553, 2920
R _{int}	0.043	0.032	0.047
θ values (°)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 26.0, \theta_{\text{min}} = 1.9$	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.7, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.6$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.7, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0$
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{Å}^{-1})$	0.617	0.609	0.610
Range of <i>h</i> , <i>k</i> , <i>l</i>	$-18 \le h \le 28, -16 \le k \le 17,$ $-29 \le l \le 24$	$-12 \le h \le 25, -22 \le k \le 21,$ $-19 \le l \le 15$	$-12 \le h \le 15, -22 \le k \le 17,$ $-17 \le l \le 19$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.042, 0.100, 1.05	0.042, 0.110, 1.10	0.055, 0.164, 1.07
No. of reflections, parameters, restraints	13888, 829,19	1353, 92, 0	3553, 233,6
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters not defined	H-atom parameters constrained	H-atom parameters not defined
Weighting scheme	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.044P)^2 + 40.0442P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0415P)^{2} + 364.224P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$	$w = \frac{1}{[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.099P)^2 + 106.1746P]}$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	3.79, -3.27	1.68, -2.41	4.45, -2.61

Computer programs: *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.38.41 (Rigaku OD, 2015), *SHELXS2014* (Sheldrick, 2014), *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2014), ShelXle (Hübschle, 2011), CIFTAB-2014 (Sheldrick, 2014).



Experimental: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1580 (w), 1461 (m), 1439 (m), 1412 (m), 1390 (m), 1330 (sh), 1231 (w), 1014 (w), 964 (s), 880 (s), 862 (vs), 747 (vs).

Literature data (doi:10.1016/j.molstruc.2007.04.009) for H₆As₂W₁₈O₆₂ (cm⁻¹): 970, 872, 865, 767.

Fig. S11a. FT-IR for Cs⁺ salt of [SbW₁₈O₆₂]⁶⁻, which was precipitated from reaction mixture.



Experimental: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1607 (w), 954 (s), 895 (m), 730 (vs).

According to literature data (<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2010.01.023</u>) characteristic vibrations of $[SbW_{18}O_{60}]^{9-}$ are 961, 892, 747 cm⁻¹.

Fig. S12. ESI-MS of [As₂W₁₇{Ru(NO)}O₆₁]⁷⁻ and [As₂W₁₈O₆₂]⁶⁻ mixture in CH₃CN prepared by precipitation with TBABr from reaction solution. This spectrum was recorded before chromatography.
a) Full spectrum (calculated patterns have negative intensities).



b) Zoomed 1570-1850 m/z region (calculated patterns have negative intensities).







 $\textbf{Table S6. Peak assignment for ESI-MS of [As_2W_{17} \{Ru(NO)\}O_{61}]^{7-} and [As_2W_{18}O_{62}]^{6-} mixture in CH_3CN. }$

anion	calc	exp
${(Bu_4N)_2H_2Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}}^{3-}$	1623.1	1623.2
$\{(Bu_4N)_2HAs_2W_{18}O_{62}\}^{3-1}$	1645.6	1645.6
${(Bu_4N)_3HRu(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}}^{3-}$	1703.5	1703.6
$\{(Bu_4N)_3As_2W_{18}O_{62}\}^{3-1}$	1726.1	1726.0
$\{(Bu_4N)_3H_2Ru(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}\}^{2-1}$	2555.7	2555.8
${(Bu_4N)_3HAs_2W_{18}O_{62}}^{2-}$	2589.7	2589.7
${(Bu_4N)_4HRu(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}}^{2-}$	2676.4	2676.5
$\{(Bu_4N)_4HRu(NO)As_2W_{17}O_{61}(H_2O)_4\}^{2}$	2712.5	2712.4