Supplementary Information

for

A Bis(aluminocenophane) with a Short Aluminum–Aluminum Single Bond

Experimental Procedures	1		
NMR Spectra	2	_	3
XRD Data	4	—	5
Computational Details	6	_	21
References	22		

Experimental Procedures

All manipulations were carried out under an argon inert gas atmosphere (argon 5.0), using either Schlenk line techniques or a glovebox. Compound 1¹ and {^{Mes}NacNacMg}₂² were prepared according to literature known procedures. NMR-spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III 300 and Bruker Avance III 400 spectrometers. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were referenced using the solvent signals ($\delta^{1}H(C_{6}HD_{5}) = 7.16$; $\delta^{13}C(C_{6}D_{6}) = 128.06$). Elemental analysis were performed on an Elementar vario micro cube. Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were carried out at low temperatures on Bruker AXS X8 Apex CCD and Bruker AXS D8 Venture diffractometers operating with graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation. Structure solution and refinement was performed using SHELX³.

Synthesis of bis(aluminocenophane) 2:

Compound **1** (236 mg / 0.43 mmol) and 1,3- β -diketiminate magnesium(I) dimer ({^{Mes}NacNacMg}₂) (307 mg / 0.43 mmol) were charged into a Schlenk flask. 20 mL of toluene were added and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After filtration, the solution was concentrated and stored at 253 K overnight, resulting in the crystallization of bis(aluminocenophane) **2**. Isolation of the precipitate and drying *in vacuo* yielded the product as a colorless crystalline solid, which can be stored at 248 K under an inert gas atmosphere for at least several weeks.

Yield: 180 mg / 80%

¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ = 6.08 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 8H, Cp-<u>H</u>(C3-H)), 5.67 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 8H, Cp-<u>H</u>(C2-H)), 1.30 (s, 24H, C(CH₃)₂).

¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.62 MHz, C₆D₆, 298 K): δ = 125.7 (Cp(C1)), 119.7 (Cp(C3)), 106.2 (Cp(C2)), 42.7 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₂), 27.6 (C(<u>C</u>H₃)₂).

No signal was observed for a C_6D_6 solution of 2 in the ²⁷Al NMR spectrum in the range of +200 to -200 ppm.

Elemental analysis for $C_{32}H_{40}Al_2$: calculated: 80.30% C, 8.42% H; found: 78.18% C, 8.50% H (carbon values were repeatedly reproducibly low even upon analysis of crystalline material, presumably due to the formation of aluminum carbide).

Reaction of bis(aluminocenophane), 2, with element chlorides:

Bis(aluminocenophane) **2** (20 mg / 0.04 mmol) and the corresponding element chloride (CCl₄: 8.2 μ L / 0.08 mmol; AlCl₃: 6 mg / 0.04 mmol) were dissolved in 1 mL of deuterated benzene and the solution was stirred for 1 h. Subsequently, insoluble components were filtered off and the mixtures were analyzed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR-spectroscopic, which revealed formation of **1**, in case of the reaction with CCl₄ along with some unidentified byproducts.

¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, C_6D_6 , 293 K): $\delta = 6.26$ (t, J = 1.9 Hz, 8H, $Cp-\underline{H}$), 5.21 (s, 8H, $Cp-\underline{H}$), 1.05 (s, 24H, $C(C\underline{H}_3)_2$). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (100.62 MHz, C_6D_6 , 293 K): $\delta = 146.8$ (Cp), 128.6 (Cp), 117.6 (Cp), 41.6 (C(CH_3)_2), 24.1 (C(CH_3)_2).

C(11) NMM (100.02 MI12, C_6D_6 , 233 N). 0 = 140.0 (CP), 120.0 (CP), 117.0 (CP), 41.0 (C(113)2), 24.1 (C(C113)2))

Reaction of bis(aluminocenophane), 2, with tert-butyl isocyanide to give 3:

Bis(aluminocenophane) **2** (20 mg / 0.042 mmol) and *tert*-butyl isocyanide (12 mg / 0.14 mmol) were mixed and dissolved in toluene and the solution was stirred for 16 h at ambient temperature and subsequently cooled down to 248 K overnight, resulting in the precipitation of **3** in form of orange needles. The crystals were separated from the mother liquor and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 12 mg / 45%.

¹H NMR (400.13 MHz, C₆D₆, 293 K): δ = 6.13-6.11 (m, 4H, Cp-<u>H</u>), 6.07-6.06 (m, 4H, Cp-<u>H</u>), 6.05-6.03 (m, 4H, Cp-<u>H</u>), 5.19 (br s, 4H, Cp-<u>H</u>), 1.48 (s, 12H, C(C<u>H₃)₂), 1.45 (s, 12H, C(C<u>H₃)₂), 1.45 (s, 12H, C(CH₃)₂), 1.18 (s, 18H, CNC(C<u>H₃)₃).</u></u></u>

¹³C{¹H} NMR (75.48 MHz, C₆D₆, 296 K): δ = 146.6 (Cp), 128.6 (Cp), 120.4 (Cp), 116.1 (Cp), 109.6 (Cp), 86.8 (br, <u>C</u>NC(CH₃)₃), 59.0 (CN<u>C</u>(CH₃)₃), 42.6 (<u>C</u>(CH₃)₂), 29.7 (CNC(<u>C</u>H₃)₃), 27.1 (C(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 26.1 (C(<u>C</u>H₃)₂).

No signal was observed in the ²⁷Al NMR spectrum of a C₆D₆ solution in the range of +200 to -200 ppm.

Elemental analysis for $C_{42}H_{58}Al_2N_2$: calculated: 78.22% C, 9.07% H, 4.34% N; found: 77.22% C, 9.24% H, 4.19% N (carbon value was repeatedly reproducibly low even upon analysis of crystalline material, presumably due to the formation of aluminum carbide).

NMR Spectra







Figure S2. $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum of 2 (100.62 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6},$ 298 K).



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of 3 (400.13 MHz, C₆D₆, 294 K).





XRD data

Crystal structure data has been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) and is available free of charge from the Cambridge Structural Database (see reference numbers).

> $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$ $\beta = 90^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$

Crystallographic data for 2

CCDC reference number:	1936579	
Empirical formula	C32H40Al2	
Formula weight	478.60	
Temperature	122(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	orthorhombic	
Space group	P212121	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 8.9879(3) Å	α = 9
	b = 10.9776(3) Å	β = 9
	c = 26.2559(7) Å	$\gamma = 9$
Volume	2590.55(13) Å ³	
Z	4	
Density (calculated)	1.227 Mg/m ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.131 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	1032	
Crystal size	0.438 x 0.322 x 0.228 mm ³	
Theta range for data collection	1.551 to 32.766°	
Index ranges	-13<=h<=13, -16<=k<=16, -39<=	l<=39
Reflections collected	46884	
Independent reflections	9536 [R(int) = 0.0232]	
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	100.0%	
Absorption correction	semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	0.7464 and 0.7238	
Refinement method	full-matrix least-squares on F ³	
Data / restraints / parameters	9536 / 0 / 467	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.052	
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0286, wR2 = 0.0717	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0316, wR2 = 0.0733	
Absolute structure parameter	0.02(2)	
Extinction coefficient	n/a	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.301 and -0.200 e.Å ⁻³	

Crystallographic data for 3

CCDC reference number:

Empirical formula Formula weight Temperature Wavelength Crystal system Space group Unit cell dimensions Volume Ζ Density (calculated) Absorption coefficient F(000) Crystal size Theta range for data collection Index ranges **Reflections collected** Independent reflections Completeness to theta = 25.242° Absorption correction Max. and min. transmission Refinement method Data / restraints / parameters Goodness-of-fit on F² Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R indices (all data) Extinction coefficient Largest diff. peak and hole

1936580 $C_{42}H_{58}AI_2N_2$ 644.86 133(2) K 0.71073 Å triclinic *P*-1 $\alpha = 63.7160(13)^{\circ}$ a = 11.2373(4) Å b = 13.3899(4) Å $\beta = 70.0821(13)^{\circ}$ c = 14.1649(5) Å $\gamma = 80.4239(13)^{\circ}$ 1796.37(11) Å³ 2 1.192 Mg/m³ 0.113 mm⁻¹ 700 0.535 x 0.179 x 0.110 mm³ 2.844 to 47.880° -23<=h<=23, -27<=k<=27, -29<=l<=29 227033 34041 [R(int) = 0.0545] 99.1% semi-empirical from equivalents 0.7494 and 0.6929 full-matrix least-squares on F^2 34041 / 167 / 546 1.036 R1 = 0.0435, wR2 = 0.1142 R1 = 0.0752, wR2 = 0.1357 n/a 0.552 and -0.468 e.Å-3

Computational Details

All geometries were optimized without symmetry constraint within the DFT (density functional theory) framework using the M06-2X⁴ in combination with the Ahlrichs def2-TZVPP basis function. In addition, we optimized compounds 2, Al₂H₄ and Al₂Cl₄ using BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP,⁵ for comparison. These calculations were performed using the Gaussian 16 B.01 software.⁶ The stationary points were located with the Berny algorithm⁷ using redundant internal coordinates. Analytical Hessians were computed to determine the nature of stationary points (one and zero imaginary frequencies for transition states and minima, respectively)⁸ and to calculate unscaled zero-point energies (ZPEs) as well as thermal corrections and entropy effects using the standard statistical-mechanics relationships for an ideal gas. We performed single point calculations on the M06-2X-optimized structures with local couple cluster (LCCSD(T)) method⁹ by employing MOLPRO 2019.1¹⁰ software program package. Density fitting (DF) approximation have been used in the local method.¹¹ The cc-pVTZ basis set was use for carbon, hydrogen, aluminum and chloride. In the density fitting calculation we used cc-pVTZ/JKFIT auxiliary fitting basis sets¹² in DF-HF calculations. The Wiberg Bond Indices (WBI)¹³ and NPA¹⁴ atomic partial charges have been calculated at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP level of theory using GENNBO 6.0 programs.¹⁵ The Atoms-in-Molecule (AIM) method¹⁶ was performed at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP level of theory with the program AIMAII program package.¹⁷ The nature of the chemical bond was investigated by means of an energy decomposition analysis (EDA), which was developed by Morokuma¹⁸ and by Ziegler and Rauk.¹⁹ The EDA focuses on the instantaneous interaction energy ΔE_{int} of a bond A-B between the fragments A and B in the particular electronic reference state at the frozen geometry of the molecule AB.²⁰ The interaction energy ΔE_{int} is divided into four main components [Eq. (1)].

$$\Delta E_{int} = \Delta E_{elstat} + \Delta E_{Pauli} + \Delta E_{orb} + \Delta E_{disp}$$
(1)

The term ΔE_{elstat} corresponds to the quasiclassical electrostatic interaction between the unperturbed charge distributions of the prepared atoms and is usually attractive. The Pauli repulsion ΔE_{Pauli} arises as the energy change associated with the transformation from the superposition of the unperturbed electron densities $\rho^{A} + \rho^{B}$ of the isolated fragments to the wavefunction $\Psi^{0} = N\hat{A}[\Psi_{A}\Psi_{B}]$, which properly obeys the Pauli principle through explicit antisymmetrization (\hat{A} operator) and renormalization (N = constant) of the product wavefunction. ΔE_{Pauli} comprises the destabilizing interactions between electrons of the same spin on either fragment. The orbital interaction ΔE_{orb} accounts for charge transfer, polarization effects and electron-pair bonding.²¹ In the case that the Grimme dispersion corrections²² are computed the term ΔE_{disp} is added to the equation to count the dispersion interaction between the fragments (BP86+D3(BJ) method), while when a meta hybrid functional (M06-2X) is used the meta hybrid correction is counted ($\Delta E_{metahybrid}$).

The relaxation of the fragments to their equilibrium geometries at the electronic ground state is termed ΔE_{prep} , because it may be considered as preparation energy for chemical bonding. The addition of ΔE_{prep} to the intrinsic interaction energy ΔE_{int} gives the total energy ΔE , which is - by definition with opposite sign - the bond dissociation energy D_e :

$$\Delta E (-D_e) = \Delta E_{int} + \Delta E_{prep}$$
⁽²⁾

The EDA–NOCV method combines the EDA with the natural orbitals for chemical valence (NOCV) to decompose the orbital interaction term ΔE_{orb} into pairwise contributions. The NOCVs Ψ_i are defined as the eigenvector of the valence operator, \hat{V} , given by Equation (3).

$$\widehat{V}\Psi_i = v_i\Psi_i \tag{3}$$

In the EDA–NOCV scheme the orbital interaction term, ΔE_{orb} , is given by Equation (4),

$$\Delta E_{\rm orb} = \sum_{k} \Delta E_{k}^{\rm orb} = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} v_{k} \left[-F_{-k,-k}^{\rm TS} + F_{k,k}^{\rm TS} \right]$$
(4)

in which $F_{-k,-k}^{TS}$ and $F_{k,k}^{TS}$ are diagonal transition state Kohn–Sham matrix elements corresponding to NOCVs with the eigenvalues $-v_k$ and v_k , respectively. The ΔE_k^{orb} term for a particular type of bond is assigned by visual inspection of the shape of the deformation density $\Delta \rho_k$. The latter term is a measure of the size of the charge deformation and it provides a visual notion of the charge flow that is associated with the pairwise orbital interaction. The EDA–NOCV scheme thus provides both qualitative and quantitative information about the strength of orbital interactions in chemical bonds. The EDA–NOCV calculations were carried out with program package ADF2018.105²³ using DFT functional BP86^{5a,24} with Grimme dispersion corrections D3(BJ)²² and M06-2X with uncontracted Slater-type orbitals (STOs)²⁵ with TZ2P quality as basis functions. The latter basis sets for all elements have triple- ζ quality augmented by two sets of polarization functions. An auxiliary set of s, p, d, f, and g STOs was used to fit the molecular densities and to represent the Coulomb and exchange potentials accurately in each SCF cycle.²⁶ Scalar relativistic effects have been incorporated by applying the zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA).²⁷ The EDA-NOCV calculations at BP86+D3(BJ)/TZ2P and M06-2X/TZ2P level were performed using M06-2X/def2-TZVPPP optimized geometries.



Figure S5. Optimized structures of 2, Al₂H₄ and Al₂Cl₄ at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP) [BP86/def2-TZVPP] level of theory (bond lengths in pm, hydrogen atoms of 2 omitted).

Table S1. NBO results at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP level of theory of compounds 2, Al₂H₄ and Al₂Cl₄: Wiberg bond order (P) and partial charges (q).

	2	Al ₂ H ₄	Al ₂ Cl ₄
q(AI)	+1.25	+0.76	+1.05
P(AI-AI)	0.93	0.90	0.89



Figure S6. NBO results at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of 2. Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity.



Figure S7. NBO results at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of Al₂H₄.



Figure S8. NBO results at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of Al2Cl4.



Figure S9. Second Order Perturbation interaction within the NBO analysis at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of 2.



 AI_2H_4

2

Al₂Cl₄

Figure S10. Laplacian distribution $\nabla 2\rho(r)$ in the Al–Al–X (X = Cp^{centroid}, H, Cl) plane of **2**, Al₂H₄ and Al₂Cl₄. Dashed red lines indicate areas of charge concentration ($\nabla^2\rho(r) < 0$), while solid blue lines show areas of charge depletion ($\nabla^2\rho(r) > 0$). The solid black lines connecting the atomic nuclei are the bond paths, the small black dots are the bond critical points (BCP) and the small red dots are the Non-Nuclear Attractors (NNA).

Table S2. Calculated electron densities ρ (in e/bohr³) at the Bond Critical Points (BCP) and Non-Nuclear Attractors (NNA) at the M06-2X/def2-TZVPP level of theory.

	ρ (BCP1)	ρ (BCP2)	ρ (NNA)
2	0.063	0.063	0.064
Al ₂ H ₄	0.058	0.058	0.060
Al ₂ Cl ₄	0.062	0.062	0.064

Table S3. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) of Al-Al bond for 2, Al₂H₄ and Al₂Cl₄ at BP86+D3(BJ)/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP (energies are in kJ mol⁻¹).^a

	2	Al ₂ H ₄	Al ₂ Cl ₄
ΔE_{int}	-315.9	-256.9	-262.0
ΔE_{Pauli}	406.1	295.3	248.0
ΔE_{disp}^{a}	-90.0 (12.5 %)	-6.5 (1.2 %)	-13.5 (2.6 %)
ΔE_{elstat}^{a}	-336.7 (46.6 %)	-293.4 (53.1 %)	-228.4 (44.8 %)
ΔE_{orb}^{a}	-295.4 (46.9 %)	-252.3 (45.7 %)	-268.2 (52.6 %)
ΔE_{prep}	22.6	7.4	9.8
$-D_e = \Delta E$	-293.3	-249.5	-252.3

^aThe value in parentheses gives the percentage contribution to the total attractive interactions $\Delta E_{elstat} + \Delta E_{orb} + \Delta E_{disp.}$



Figure S11. Deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ (isovalue 0.001 a.u.) at M06-2X/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of the pairwise orbital interactions between AICp₂ fragments within compound 2. For the deformation densities the red color shows the charge outflow, whereas blue shows charge density accumulation.



Figure S12. Deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ (isovalue 0.001 a.u.) at M06-2X/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of the pairwise orbital interactions between AIH₂ fragments within compound Al₂H₄. For the deformation densities the red color shows the charge outflow, whereas blue shows charge density accumulation.



Figure S13. Deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ (isovalue 0.001 a.u.) at M06-2X/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of the pairwise orbital interactions between AlCl₂ fragments within compound Al₂Cl₄. For the deformation densities the red color shows the charge outflow, whereas blue shows charge density accumulation.



	$\begin{array}{l} Al_{2}^{4+}(n \sigma_{g}^{+})^{2} (n \sigma_{u}^{+})^{0} ((n\!+\!1) \sigma_{g}^{+})^{0} (\pi_{u})^{0} \\ (\pi'_{u})^{0}, (Cp_{2}(CMe_{2}))_{2}^{4-} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} AI_2(n \ \sigma_g^{+})^2 \ (n \ \sigma_u^{+})^1 \ ((n+1) \ \sigma_g^{+})^1 \ (\pi_u)^1 \\ (\pi_u^{'})^1, \ (Cp_2(CMe_2))_2 \end{array}$
ΔE_{int}	-8538.1	-1254.6
ΔE_{Pauli}	732.5	4056.6
ΔE_{disp}^{a}	-78.0 (0.8 %)	-78.0 (1.5 %)
ΔE_{elstat}^{a}	-5881.6 (63.4 %)	-2180.8 (41.1 %)
ΔE_{orb}^{a}	-3310.9 (35.7 %)	-3052.4 (57.5 %)
$\Delta E_{orb \rho 1}^{b}$	-477.4 (14.4 %)	
$\Delta E_{orb \ \rho 2}{}^{\mathrm{b}}$	-379.8 (11.5 %)	
$\Delta E_{orb \ ho 3^b}$	-290.7 (8.8 %)	
$\Delta E_{orb \ ho 4}{}^{ m b}$	-277.7 (8.4 %)	
$\Delta E_{orb \rho 5^{b}}$	-193.4 (5.8 %)	
$\Delta E_{orb \ ho 6}{}^{ m b}$	-193.8 (5.9 %)	
$\Delta E_{orb ho 7^b}$	-198.1 (6.0 %)	

Table S4. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) of 2 at BP86+D3(BJ)/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP (energies are in kJ mol⁻¹).

[a] The value in parentheses gives the percentage contribution to the total attractive interactions $\Delta E_{elstat} + \Delta E_{orb} + \Delta E_{disp}$

Table S5. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) of Al₂H₄ at BP86+D3(BJ)/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP (energies are in kJ mol⁻¹).

	$\begin{array}{lll} Al_2{}^{4+}(n \ \ \sigma_g{}^{*})^2 \ \ (n \ \ \sigma_u{}^{*})^0 \ \ ((n{+}1) \ \sigma_g{}^{*})^0 \ \ (\pi_u)^0 \\ (\pi_u{}^{*}{}_u)^0, \ (H)_4{}^{4-} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Al_2(n \ \sigma_g{}^{*})^2 \ (n \ \sigma_u{}^{*})^1 \ ((n{+}1) \ \sigma_g{}^{*})^1 \ (\pi_u)^1 \ (\pi_u{}^{*})^1, \\ (H)_4 \end{array}$
ΔE_{int}	-9510.5	-1355.1
ΔE_{Pauli}	1383.5	439.1
$\Delta E_{disp}{}^{a}$	1.0 (0.0 %)	1.0 (0.0 %)
ΔE_{elstat}^{a}	-8344.2 (76.6 %)	-460.5 (25.7 %)
ΔE_{orb}^{a}	-2550.7 (23.4 %)	-1334.7 (74.4 %)

[a] The value in parentheses gives the percentage contribution to the total attractive interactions $\Delta E_{elstat} + \Delta E_{orb} + \Delta E_{disp}$

	$AI_2{}^{4*}(\sigma_g{}^*)^2~(\sigma_u{}^*)^0$, $(Cp_2(CMe_2))_2{}^{4*}$
ΔE_{int}	-8570.4
ΔE_{Pauli}	671.4
$\Delta E_{elstat}{}^{a}$	-6149.2 (66.2 %)
$\Delta E_{orb}{}^{a}$	-3137.4 (33.8 %)
$\Delta E_{MetaHybrid}^{a}$	44.7
$\Delta E_{orb \rho 1}{}^{\mathrm{b}}$	-430.9 (13.7 %)
$\Delta E_{orb \rho 2^{b}}$	-333.8 (10.6 %)
$\Delta E_{orb \rho \beta}$	-266.3 (8.5 %)
$\Delta E_{orb \rho 4}{}^{\rm b}$	-262.0 (8.4 %)
$\Delta E_{orb ho 5^{b}}$	-206.1 (6.6 %)
$\Delta E_{orb ho6}{}^{ m b}$	-204.0 (6.5 %)
$\Delta E_{orb ho 7^b}$	-152.6 (4.9 %)

Table S6. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) of 2 at M06-2X/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP (energies are in kJ mol⁻¹).

[a] The value in parentheses gives the percentage contribution to the total attractive interactions $\Delta E_{elstat} + \Delta E_{orb} + \Delta E_{disp}$





 $\Delta E_{orb\;\rho(6)} = -193.8\;kJ\;mol^{-1};\;\; |v_6| = 0.39$

15

 $v_6 = 0.10$

 $v_6 = -0.04$

 $V_6 = -0.07$

 $v_6 = 0.08$



Figure S15. Deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ (isovalue 0.001 a.u.) at BP86+D3(BJ)/TZ2P//M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of the pairwise orbital interactions between Al₂⁴⁺ ((σ_g^+)² (σ_u^+)⁰) with (Cp₂(CMe₂))₂⁴⁻ within compound **2**. For the deformation densities the red color shows the charge outflow, whereas blue shows charge density accumulation.







Figure S16. Deformation densities $\Delta \rho$ (isovalue 0.001 a.u.) at BP86+D3(BJ)/TZ2P/M06-2X/def2-TZVPP of the pairwise orbital interactions between Al₂⁴⁺ ((σ_g^*)² (σ_u^*)⁰) with (Cp₂(CMe₂))₂⁴⁻ within compound **2**. For the deformation densities the red color shows the charge outflow, whereas blue shows charge density accumulation.

Car	tesian xyz coo	rdinates	
2			
Ene	ergy (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVP	P)= -1728.9993585 a.u.
AI	-1.160931000000	0.395631000000	0.28774600000
AI	1.204858000000	0.444070000000	-0.456306000000
C	-3.966074000000	-0.256181000000	-0.748579000000
C	-4.063916000000	-0.467951000000	0.845761000000
c	-2.803382000000	1 973723000000	-0.580534000000
č	-1.502680000000	2.577798000000	-1.421688000000
С	-1.191442000000	1.678754000000	-2.457932000000
С	-1.979520000000	0.519323000000	-2.294998000000
C	-3.77981000000	-1.607141000000	-1.46534000000
C	-5.265133000000	0.378513000000	-1.295349000000
č	-2.079099000000	0.348163000000	2 336176000000
č	-0.797076000000	-0.224614000000	2.793389000000
č	-0.653372000000	-1.487493000000	2.196409000000
С	-1.782241000000	-1.721387000000	1.366974000000
C	-4.814329000000	0.698587000000	1.524804000000
C	-4.870561000000	-1.740967000000	1.187337000000
c c	4.206262000000	-1 222624000000	0.244921000000
c c	3.012997000000	1 20903600000	0.548424000000
č	2.459448000000	2.229253000000	-0.337009000000
č	1.497926000000	2.981222000000	0.404567000000
Ċ	1.401472000000	2.425619000000	1.688641000000
С	2.311470000000	1.342092000000	1.782275000000
ç	4.96804000000	0.848770000000	-0.982183000000
C C	5.195525000000	0.410926000000	1.427917000000
c	2.403983000000	-0.659987000000	-2.069153000000
č	0.951432000000	-1.231991000000	-2.585004000000
С	0.498229000000	-2.200343000000	-1.676248000000
С	1.407876000000	-2.263671000000	-0.597255000000
C	3.495219000000	-1.903560000000	1.386081000000
Ц	4.842443000000	-2.033340000000	-0.700255000000
н	-1.042925000000	3 546653000000	-1 248417000000
н	-0.442373000000	1.829870000000	-3.231918000000
н	-1.928150000000	-0.365260000000	-2.920227000000
1	-3.736404000000	-1.450898000000	-2.550456000000
1	-4.622582000000	-2.28006000000	-1.269262000000
-	-2.851087000000	-2.109659000000	-1.163828000000
н	-5 215986000000	0.415489000000	-2 39224000000
н	-5.400597000000	1.405432000000	-0.935618000000
н	-2.448551000000	1.287629000000	2.674929000000
Н	-0.074623000000	0.271763000000	3.434185000000
H	0.208541000000	-2.141973000000	2.288473000000
	-1.951128000000	-2.615966000000	0.775042000000
н	-4.305012000000	0.560884000000	2 614272000000
н	-5.864386000000	0.717172000000	1.208220000000
н	-5.026182000000	-1.789891000000	2.273935000000
н	-4.353686000000	-2.659290000000	0.887666000000
н	-5.859079000000	-1.724948000000	0.705018000000
	2.861531000000	2.498582000000	-1.310137000000
н	0.707801000000	2 736021000000	2 466325000000
н	2.451473000000	0.711272000000	2.655664000000
н	4.402262000000	0.780800000000	-1.917592000000
н	5.213453000000	1.906449000000	-0.816927000000
Н	5.911312000000	0.307407000000	-1.126362000000
H	4.725994000000	0.188454000000	2.392038000000
п	5.584993000000 6.050022000000	-0.268331000000	1.479769000000
н	2.816654000000	-0.009731000000	-2.629165000000
н	0.455330000000	-0.914920000000	-3.498099000000
н	-0.428759000000	-2.763418000000	-1.75413000000
н	1.290911000000	-2.893607000000	0.278622000000
Н	2.705966000000	-1.398042000000	1.959273000000
H L	3.184497000000	-2.94497800000	1.233777000000
н	4.407099000000	-1.920912000000	-0 731021000000
Н	4.981127000000	-1.70017300000	-1.73580600000
н	5.808132000000	-1.964735000000	-0.177323000000
Х	-1.990036800000	1.482009800000	-1.578469000000
Х	-1.586930600000	-0.738934200000	2.032512800000
X	1.494689200000	-1.536849000000	-1.546027200000
~	2.10002000000	2.001 444400000	0.01101000000

2
~

Ene	rgy (M06-2X/de	f2-TZVPP)= -1	728.2683194 a.u	J.
AI	1.183442000000	0.132507000000	-0.351125000000	
AI	-1.244481000000	0.606321000000	-0.004510000000	
C	4.038989000000	0.097905000000	0.779344000000	
ĉ	4.179591000000 2.848611000000	1 05169000000	-0.550174000000	
č	2 529081000000	1 998799000000	-0.327862000000	
č	1.543828000000	2.872788000000	0.155156000000	
Ċ	1.211586000000	2.492990000000	1.458263000000	
С	1.994461000000	1.386784000000	1.803458000000	
C	3.882668000000	-0.800164000000	2.014047000000	
C	5.304327000000	0.942290000000	1.005685000000	
ĉ	2.811/2000000	-1.101330000000	-1.080705000000	
č	0.907379000000	-1 256723000000	-2.379554000000	
č	0.734956000000	-2.136050000000	-1.309914000000	
С	1.884351000000	-2.065574000000	-0.489226000000	
С	4.955882000000	-0.027978000000	-1.645049000000	
C	4.969428000000	-2.069215000000	-0.286829000000	
C	-4.348387000000	0.107737000000	-0.336341000000	
ĉ	-3.785168000000	-1.138224000000	0.469793000000	
č	-2 72690300000	2 160127000000	-0.593682000000	
č	-1.653451000000	2.515490000000	-1.450529000000	
Ċ	-1.455251000000	1.467369000000	-2.352000000000	
С	-2.389038000000	0.449923000000	-2.057037000000	
С	-5.168948000000	1.056493000000	0.553528000000	
С	-5.306439000000	-0.349172000000	-1.448364000000	
C	-2.474079000000	-0.819043000000	1.201430000000	
c	-2.105439000000	0.346332000000	2.008595000000	
č	-0.502493000000	-1.167418000000	2.338554000000	
č	-1.413206000000	-1.724333000000	1.430389000000	
С	-3.561241000000	-2.347962000000	-0.427589000000	
С	-4.801994000000	-1.576214000000	1.558397000000	
н	3.032301000000	2.100299000000	-1.274513000000	
н	1.099212000000	3.676410000000	-0.410400000000	
п	1 9398/000000	2.933974000000	2.073929000000	
н	3.809661000000	-0.183800000000	2.908377000000	
Н	4.749065000000	-1.445673000000	2.141526000000	
Н	2.988562000000	-1.423151000000	1.966900000000	
Н	6.199645000000	0.316672000000	1.022405000000	
н	5.228612000000	1.449642000000	1.967553000000	
L L	2 600364000000	0.085705000000	-2.01601500000	
н	0 188049000000	-1 046823000000	-3 154800000000	
Н	-0.149320000000	-2.715535000000	-1.100650000000	
Н	2.046111000000	-2.641201000000	0.408005000000	
Н	4.553647000000	0.958437000000	-1.862369000000	
н	4.936598000000	-0.610774000000	-2.566094000000	
н	5.997734000000	0.097596000000	-1.354611000000	
н	4 434048000000	-2.37804000000	0 362262000000	
н	5.937556000000	-1.849414000000	0.166588000000	
Н	-3.135388000000	2.776418000000	0.190455000000	
Н	-1.077899000000	3.423545000000	-1.387551000000	
Н	-0.673194000000	1.407371000000	-3.092202000000	
н	-2.480340000000	-0.485565000000	-2.585458000000	
Н	-4.64181400000	1.380881000000	1.447446000000	
н	-5.442533000000	0.57294000000	0.018138000000	
н	-4.799152000000	-0.905770000000	-2.233268000000	
H	-5.764552000000	0.527141000000	-1.907658000000	
Н	-6.104706000000	-0.973267000000	-1.042958000000	
Н	-2.808769000000	1.192326000000	2.182162000000	
н	-0.474710000000	0.798535000000	3.354811000000	
н	0.422182000000	-1.021560000000	2.002425000000	
Н	-2.832917000000	-2.144575000000	-1.21383000000	
н	-3.193127000000	-3.189090000000	0.157143000000	
н	-4.492360000000	-2.667924000000	-0.890431000000	
Н	-4.448941000000	-2.491393000000	2.033679000000	
н	-4.920544000000	-0.824641000000	2.336668000000	
н	-5.780459000000	-1.779445000000	1.117002000000	

AIC	p2(CMe2)2				
Ene	Energy (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP)= -1728.99935848 a.u.				
AI	-1.634148000000	0.002021000000	0.000118000000		
С	1.411313000000	-0.760176000000	-0.283428000000		
Ċ	1.413216000000	0.756717000000	0.283444000000		
Ċ	0.060574000000	-1,425836000000	-0.055788000000		
Ċ	-0.569821000000	-1.723659000000	1.198048000000		
С	-1.804856000000	-2.389885000000	0.949702000000		
С	-1.979007000000	-2.487566000000	-0.445128000000		
С	-0.855328000000	-1.873412000000	-1.073003000000		
С	1.732599000000	-0.788295000000	-1.790861000000		
С	2.494319000000	-1.612969000000	0.412047000000		
С	0.064188000000	1.425798000000	0.055754000000		
С	-0.850757000000	1.875460000000	1.072942000000		
С	-1.972947000000	2.492282000000	0.444997000000		
С	-1.798907000000	2.394252000000	-0.949822000000		
С	-0.565409000000	1.725177000000	-1.198091000000		
С	1.734479000000	0.784051000000	1.790902000000		
С	2.498398000000	1.606818000000	-0.411977000000		
н	-0.156723000000	-1.520154000000	2.181452000000		
н	-2.511569000000	-2.710971000000	1.710414000000		
н	-2.846959000000	-2.890039000000	-0.960201000000		
н	-0.692119000000	-1.800941000000	-2.143897000000		
н	1.673710000000	-1.821031000000	-2.159885000000		
н	2.750321000000	-0.426992000000	-1.981441000000		
н	1.040168000000	-0.181467000000	-2.387224000000		
н	3.488272000000	-1.151389000000	0.315350000000		
н	2.536006000000	-2.603549000000	-0.062047000000		
н	2.283881000000	-1.766139000000	1.476811000000		
н	-0.687768000000	1.802692000000	2.143846000000		
н	-2.839986000000	2.896774000000	0.960027000000		
н	-2.504795000000	2.717039000000	-1.710581000000		
н	-0.152782000000	1.520665000000	-2.181482000000		
н	1.040352000000	0.179087000000	2.387179000000		
н	1.678274000000	1.816947000000	2.159911000000		
н	2.751227000000	0.420095000000	1.981674000000		
н	2.542512000000	2.597289000000	0.062123000000		
н	2.288389000000	1.760506000000	-1.476752000000		
н	3.491197000000	1.142762000000	-0.315245000000		

AIC	p2(CMe2)2			
Ene	rgy (M06-2X/de	f2-TZVPP)= -8	64.092817837	a.u.
AI	-1.679965000000	0.000165000000	0.000019000000	
С	1.423524000000	0.758485000000	0.262370000000	

С	1.423524000000	0.758485000000	0.262370000000
С	1.423359000000	-0.758722000000	-0.262431000000
С	0.071991000000	1.414120000000	0.040411000000
С	-0.572691000000	1.658523000000	-1.201489000000
С	-1.823468000000	2.272554000000	-0.954184000000
С	-1.979601000000	2.399023000000	0.430788000000
С	-0.828421000000	1.856933000000	1.049728000000
С	1.767136000000	0.829082000000	1.756371000000
С	2.489191000000	1.591147000000	-0.466897000000
С	0.071683000000	-1.414063000000	-0.040449000000
С	-0.828753000000	-1.856861000000	-1.049745000000
С	-1.980011000000	-2.398755000000	-0.430797000000
С	-1.823917000000	-2.272179000000	0.954170000000
С	-0.573076000000	-1.658269000000	1.201460000000
С	1.766992000000	-0.829598000000	-1.756417000000
С	2.488814000000	-1.591492000000	0.467014000000
н	-0.170942000000	1.444184000000	-2.178393000000
н	-2.543743000000	2.552177000000	-1.705825000000
н	-2.845076000000	2.786342000000	0.942905000000
н	-0.656327000000	1.804148000000	2.112366000000
н	1.694638000000	1.861868000000	2.096876000000
н	2.787316000000	0.494092000000	1.934069000000
н	1.101621000000	0.227428000000	2.373545000000
н	3.475749000000	1.132117000000	-0.375914000000
н	2.538036000000	2.584055000000	-0.018954000000
н	2.261967000000	1.716832000000	-1.523681000000
н	-0.656617000000	-1.804202000000	-2.112383000000
н	-2.845523000000	-2.785993000000	-0.942911000000
н	-2.544270000000	-2.551600000000	1.705812000000
н	-0.171341000000	-1.443846000000	2.178354000000
н	1.101988000000	-0.227427000000	-2.373639000000
н	1.693669000000	-1.862332000000	-2.096905000000
н	2.787452000000	-0.495460000000	-1.934069000000
н	2.537034000000	-2.584701000000	0.019672000000
н	2.261831000000	-1.716391000000	1.523948000000
н	3.475573000000	-1.133010000000	0.375467000000

AI_2H_4

Energy (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP)= -487.274040187 a.u.

AI	0.00000000000000	0.00000000000000	-1.295755000000
Al	0.000000000000	0.000000000000	1.295755000000
н	-0.960755000000	0.961104000000	-2.145776000000
н	0.960755000000	-0.961104000000	-2.145776000000
н	0.960755000000	0.961104000000	2.145776000000
н	-0.960755000000	-0.961104000000	2.145776000000

AI_2H_4

Ene	rgy (M06-2X/de	ef2-TZVPP)= -4	487.169110261	a.u.
A 1	0 000000000000	0 000000000000	1 200000000000	

AI	0.00000000000000	0.00000000000000	-1.288089000000
AI	0.000000000000	0.000000000000	1.288089000000
н	-0.948059000000	0.949585000000	-2.124669000000
LL	0.04905000000	0.040595000000	-2 12466000000

- H H -2.124669000000 2.124669000000 2.124669000000 0.948059000000 0.949585000000
- -0.948059000000 -0.949585000000

AIH₂

Ener	rgy (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVP	P)= -243.588786	5815 a.u.
AI	0.000000000000000000	0.000000000000	0.110861000000	
н	0.000000000000	1.380474000000	-0.720598000000	
н	0.000000000000	-1.380474000000	-0.720598000000	

AIH₂

AI	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.110231000000
н	0.0000000000000	1.357990000000	-0.716500000000

0.0000000000 -1.35799000000 -0.71650000000 Н

Al₂Cl₄

Energy (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP)= -2326.25639960 a.u.

AI	0.000000000000	0.0000000000000	-1.270324000000	
AI	0.000000000000	0.000000000000	1.270324000000	
CI	-1.278579000000	-1.278906000000	-2.350140000000	
CI	1.278579000000	1.278906000000	-2.350140000000	
CI	-1.278579000000	1.278906000000	2.350140000000	
CI	1.278579000000	-1.278906000000	2.350140000000	

Energy (M06-2X/def2-TZVPP)= -2325.93732022 a.u.

AI	0.000000000000	0.000000000000	-1.266219000000
ΔI	0.0000000000000	0 0000000000000	1 266219000000

	0.00000000000000	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.200213000000
CI	-1.261594000000	1.261988000000	-2.34881500000
~ .			

CI	-1.261594000000	1.261988000000	-2.348815000000
CI	1.261594000000	-1.261988000000	-2.348815000000
CI	1.261594000000	1.261988000000	2.348815000000

CI	-1.261594000000	-1.261988000000	2.348815000000

AICI₂

Energy (BP86+D3(BJ)/def2-TZVPP)= -1163.07916583 a.u.

AI	0.0000000000000	0.0000000000000	0.776605000000	
CI	0.000000000000	1.830158000000	-0.296937000000	

<u>.</u>	0.000000000000	1.000100000000	0.200001000000
CI	0.000000000000	-1.830158000000	-0.296937000000

AICI₂

- Al
 0.0000000000
 0.0000000000
 0.77716500000

 CI
 0.00000000000
 1.80639000000
 -0.29715100000

 CI
 0.00000000000
 -1.80639000000
 -0.29715100000

References

- [1] P. J. Shapiro, S.-J. Lee, P. Perrotin, T. Cantrell, A. Blumenfeld, B. Twamley, *Polyhedron* 2005, 24, 1366-1366.
- [2] a) R. Peloso, I. Resa, A. Rodríguez, E. Carmona, K. Freitag, C. Jones, A. Stasch, A. J. Boutland, F. Lips, P. P. Power (Ed.), *Inorg. Synth.* 2019, Volume 37, Chapter Three; b) J. Hicks, M. Juckel, A. Paparo, D. Dange, C. Jones, *Organometallics* 2018, 24, 4810-4813.
- [3] G. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. A 2008, 64, 112-122.
- [4] Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215-241.
- [5] a) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A* 1988, 38, 3098-3100; b) J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B* 1986, 33, 8822-8824.
- [6] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 16, Revision B.01. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, **2016**.
- [7] C. Y. Peng, P. Y. Ayala, H. B. Schlegel, M. J. Frisch, J. Comput. Chem. 1996, 17, 49-56.
- [8] J. W. McIver, A. Komornicki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1972**, *94*, 2625-2633.
- [9] H. J. Werner, M. Schütz, J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 135, 144116.
- [10] H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby, M. Schütz, P. Celani, W. Györffy, D. Kats, T. Korona, R. Lindh, A. Mitrushenkov, G. Rauhut, K. R. Shamasundar, T. B. Adler, R. D. Amos, S. J. Bennie, A. Bernhardsson, A. Berning, D. L. Cooper, M. J. O. Deegan, A. J. Dobbyn, F. Eckert, E. Goll, C. Hampel, A. Hesselmann, G. Hetzer, T. Hrenar, G. Jansen, C. Köppl, S. J. R. Lee, Y. Liu, A. W. Lloyd, R. A. Mata, A. J. May, S. J. McNicholas, W. Meyer, T. F. Miller III, M. E. Mura, A. Nicklass, D. P. O'Neill, P. Palmieri, D. Peng, K. Pflüger, R. Pitzer, M. Reiher, T. Shiozaki, H. Stoll, A. J. Stone, R. Tarroni, T. Thorsteinsson, M. Wang, M. Welborn, http://www.molpro.net, 2019.
- [11] a) R. Polly, H. J. Werner, F. R. Manby, P. J. Knowles, Mol. Phys. 2004, 102, 2311-2321; b) H. J. Werner, F. R. Manby, P. J. Knowles, J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 118, 8149-8160.
- [12] a) F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2002, 4, 4285-4291; b) F. Weigend, A. Köhn, C. Hättig, J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 3175-3183.
- [13] a) J. P. Foster, F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7211-7218; b) A. E. Reed, F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 1736-1740.
- [14] A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 735-746.
- [15] E. D. Glendening, J. K. Badenhoop, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, J. A. Bohmann, C. M. Morales, F. Weinhold, GENNBO 6.0 ed., Theoretical Chemistry Institute, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, 2012.
- [16] a) R. F. W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory, Clarendon, Oxford, 1990; b) R. F. W. Bader, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 893-928.
- [17] T. A. Keith, T. K. Gristmill, 19.02.13 ed., Overland Park KS, USA (aim.tkgristmill.com), 2019.
- [18] K. Morokuma, J. Chem. Phys. **1971**, 55, 1236-1244.
- [19] a) T. Ziegler, A. Rauk, Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1558-1565; b) T. Ziegler, A. Rauk, Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1755-1759.
- [20] L. L. Zhao, M. von Hopffgarten, D. M. Andrada, G. Frenking, WIREs Comput Mol Sci. 2018, 8, e1345.
- [21] F. M. Bickelhaupt, N. M. M. Nibbering, E. M. Van Wezenbeek, E. J. Baerends, J. Chem. Phys. 1992, 96, 4864-4873.
- [22] a) S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104; b) S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456-1465.
- [23] G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. Fonseca Guerra, S. J. A. van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders, T. Ziegler, J. Comput. Chem. 2001, 22, 931-967.
- [24] J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B* **1986**, 33, 8822-8824.
- [25] E. Van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends, J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 1142-1156.
- [26] J. Krijn, E. J. Baerends, Fit-Functions in the HFS-Method; Internal Report (in Dutch) 1984.
- [27] E. Van Lenthe, E. J. Baerends, J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 4597-4610.