Molecular Squares, Coordination Polymers and Mononuclear Complexes Supported by 2,4-Dipyrazolyl-6*H*-1,3,5-triazine and 4,6-Dipyrazolylpyrimidine Ligands

Izar Capel Berdiell, Sarah E. Farmiloe, Rafal Kulmaczewski and Malcolm A. Halcrow*

^aSchool of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom. E-mail: m.a.halcrow@leeds.ac.uk

Supporting Information

		Page
Experiment	tal details	S 4
Scheme S1	Synthesis of L^1-L^4 .	S 4
Table S1	Experimental data for the organic ligand crystal structure determinations.	S 7
Table S2	Experimental data for the metal complex crystal structure determinations.	S 8
Figure S1	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of L^2 .	S11
Figure S2	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of L^3 .	S12
Figure S3	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of L^4 .	S13
Figure S4	View of the asymmetric unit of $L^2 \cdot MeCN$.	S14
Figure S5	Packing diagram of L^2 ·MeCN.	S14
Figure S6	View of the asymmetric unit of $L^4 \cdot 2CHCl_3$.	S15
Figure S7	Packing diagram of L^4 ·2CHCl ₃ .	S15
Table S3	C–HN hydrogen bond parameters in the crystal structures of L^2 ·MeCN and L^4 ·2CHCl ₃ .	S16
Figure S8	View of the molecule in the asymmetric unit of α - L^7 .	S16
Table S4	Hydrogen bond parameters in the crystal structure of α - L^7 .	S16
Figure S9	Packing diagrams of α - L^7 .	S17
Figure S10	Packing diagrams of β - L^7 .	S18
Definitions	of the structural parameters discussed in the paper	S19
Scheme S2	The Ψ parameter.	S19
Scheme S3	Angles used in the definitions of the coordination distortion parameters Σ and Θ .	S19
Figure S11	The {[BF ₄ \subset Fe ₄ (L^1) ₄ (μ - L^1) ₄] \cdot 0.5(C ₃ H ₄ N ₂ BF ₃)} ⁷⁺ assembly in [{Fe(L^1)} ₄ (μ - L^1) ₄][BF ₄] ₈ - ¹ / ₂ PzBF ₃ · <i>n</i> MeCN	S20
Table S5	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot nMeCN$	S21
Table S6	Intermolecular dipoledipole interactions in $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot nMeCN$	S22
Table S7	Intermolecular $\pi \pi$ interactions in $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu - L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} PzBF_3 \cdot nMeCN$	S22
Figure S12	Space filling views of the assembly in $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} PzBF_3 \cdot nMeCN$	S23
Figure S13	Intermolecular $\pi \pi$ interactions in [{Fe(L^1)} ₄ (μ - L^1) ₄][BF ₄] ₈ · $\frac{1}{2}$ PzBF ₃ · n MeCN.	S24
Figure S14	Electrospray mass spectrum of $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} PzBF_3$	S25
Figure S15	¹ H NMR spectrum of $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} PzBF_3$.	S25
Figure S16	Solid state and solution magnetic data for $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3]$	S26
Figure S17	View of a polymer chain in $[Ag(\mu - L^2)]ClO_4$.	S27
Figure S18	View of a polymer chain in $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$.	S28
Table S8	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Ag(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$ and $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$.	S29
Table S9	Anion π contacts in [Ag(μ -L ²)]ClO ₄ and [Ag(NCMe)(μ -L ²)]ClO ₄ .	S29
Figure S19	Packing diagram of $[Ag(\mu-L^2)]CIO_4$, showing the intermolecular anion π interactions.	S30
Figure S20	Packing diagram of $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu - L^2)]ClO_4$, showing its intra-chain anion π contacts.	S31
Figure S21	The molecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$	S32
Table S10	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$.	S33
Table S11	Intermolecular dipoledipole interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$.	S33

Figure S22	The molecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot 3.2 MeNO_2 \cdot 1.2 H_2O.$	S34
Table S12	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot 3.2MeNO_2 \cdot 1.2H_2O$.	S35
Table S13	Intermolecular dipoledipole interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot 3.2MeNO_2 \cdot 1.2H_2O.$	S35
Figure S23	The molecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$	S36
Table S14	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S37
Table S15	Intermolecular dipoledipole interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S37
Figure S24	The molecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S38
Table S16	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S39
Table S17	Intermolecular dipoledipole interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S39
Figure S25	Intermolecular interactions in solvated and unsolvated $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$.	S40
Figure S26	Intermolecular interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ ·MeNO ₂ and $[Ag_4(\mu-L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·MeNO ₂ .	S41
Figure S27	Space-filling views of the supramolecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$.	S42
Figure S28	Space-filling views of the assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot 3.2MeNO_2 \cdot 1.2H_2O$	S43
Figure S29	Space-filling views of the supramolecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ · MeNO ₂ .	S44
Figure S30	Space-filling views of the supramolecular assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S45
Figure S31	Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$.	S46
Figure S32	Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·3.2MeNO ₂ ·1.2H ₂ O.	S47
Figure S33	Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4]$ [SbF ₆] ₄ ·MeNO ₂ .	S48
Figure S34	Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][CIO_4]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$.	S49
Figure S35	The asymmetric unit of $[Ag(NCMe)(L^3)]ClO_4$.	S50
Table S18	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Ag(NCMe)(L^3)]CIO_4$.	S50
Figure S36	The asymmetric units of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$, $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH	S51
Figure S37	The asymmetric units of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2} EtOH$ and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2} Me_2CO$	S52
Table S19	Selected bond lengths and angles for mononuclear $[Fe(NCE)_2(L^5)_2]$ (E = S, Se).	S53
Table S20	Hydrogen bond parameters for mononuclear $[Fe(NCE)_2(L^5)_2]$ (E = S, Se).	S54
Figure S38	The asymmetric unit of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^6)_2]$.	S55
Table S21	Selected bond lengths and angles for mononuclear $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^b)_2]$.	S55
Figure S39	Packing diagram of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^2)_2]$, showing the intermolecular $\pi\pi$ interactions	S56
Figure S40	Packing diagram of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^3)_2]$ ·MeOH, showing the intermolecular $\pi\pi$ interactions	S57
Table S22	Intermolecular $\pi \dots \pi$ interactions in [Fe(NCS) ₂ (L^5) ₂] and [Fe(NCSe) ₂ (L^6) ₂].	S58
Table S23	Intermolecular $\pi \dots \pi$ interactions in the [Fe(NCSe) ₂ (L^5) ₂] solv crystals.	S58
Figure S41	The asymmetric units of $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu - L^6)]$, $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu - L^7)]$ and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(\mu - L^7)]$.	S59
Table S24	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^6)]$, $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^7)]$ and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(\mu-L^7)]$.	S60
Table S25	Hydrogen bond parameters for $[Fe(NCE)_2(L^7)_2]$ (E = S, Se).	S60
Figure S42	A polymer chain in $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu - L^6)]$ surrounded by its nearest neighbours in the lattice.	S61
Figure S43	Packing diagram of $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu - L^6)]$ showing the interdigitation of the polymer chains.	S62

Figure S44	Magnetic data for $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$, $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·Me ₂ CO.	S63
Figure S45	Magnetic data for [Fe(NCS) ₂ (μ -L ⁶)], [Fe(NCS) ₂ (μ -L ⁷)] and [Fe(NCSe) ₂ (μ -L ⁷)].	S63
Figure S46	Magnetic data showing the reproducible annealing behaviour of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ solv.	S64
Figure S47	X-ray powder diffraction data for $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH, before and after annealing.	S65
Figure S48	X-ray powder diffraction data for the other iron complexes of L^5 - L^7 .	S66
Figure S49	The asymmetric unit of $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$.	S68
Table S26	Selected bond lengths and angles for $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$.	S69
Table S27	Hydrogen bond parameters for $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$.	S69
Figure S50	Packing diagram of $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$, showing the hydrogen bonding between the polymer chains.	S 70
Figure S51	Packing diagram of $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$, showing the hydrogen-bonded layers in the lattice.	S 71
Figure S52	X-ray powder diffraction data for $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$.	S71
References		S72

Experimental

Synthetic procedures and characterisation data for the metal complexes, and descriptions of other experimental procedures, are given in the Experimental section of the main article. Synthetic procedures and characterisation data for the previously unreported ligands L^2-L^4 are given below (Scheme S1).

Synthesis of 2,4-di(4-chloropyrazol-1-yl)-6*H*-1,3,5-triazine (L^2). 4-Chloro-1*H*-pyrazole (1.00 g, 9.8 mmol) and NaH (60 wt % suspension in mineral oil; 0.41 g, 10.2 mmol) were dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (50 cm³) under an N₂ atmosphere, and stirred until the solution turned clear. Solid 2,4-dichloro-1,3,5-triazine (0.73 g, 4.9 mmol) was then added, and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 16 hrs. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the residue was redissolved in the minimum amount of chloroform. The product solution was washed with water (3 x 40 cm³), then dried over MgSO₄. The chloroform was then removed to give the product as white powder, which was analysed without further purification. Yield 0.85 g, 62 %. ES⁺-MS *m*/*z* 282.0009 (calcd for [H L^5]⁺ 282.0056). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (s, 2H, Pz H^3), 8.66 (s, 2H, Pz H^5), 9.08 (s, 1H, Trz H^6). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 116.1 (2C, Pz C^4), 127.6 (2C, Pz C^5), 144.9 (2C, Pz C^3), 162.0 (1C, Trz C^6), 169.8 (2C, Trz $C^{2/4}$).

Synthesis of 2,4-di(3,5-dimethylpyrazol-1-yl)-6H-1,3,5-triazine (*L*³). Method as for L^2 , using 3,5-dimethyl-1*H*-pyrazole (0.94 g, 9.8 mmol). The product required purification by flash silica chromatography in ethyl acetate eluent. Yield 0.73 g, 56 %. ES⁺-MS *m/z* 270.1476 (calcd for [HL⁶]⁺ 270.1462), 292.1288 (calcd for [NaL⁶]⁺ 292.1281), 561.2674 (calcd for [Na(L^6)₂]⁺ 561.2670). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.33 and 2.75 (both s, 6H, CH³), 6.09 (s, 2H, Pz H⁴), 9.01 (s, 1H, Trz H⁶) ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 13.0, 15.8 (both 2C, CH₃), 112.2 (2C, Pz C⁴), 144.6 (2C, Pz C⁵), 153.7 (2C, Pz C³), 163.4 (1C, Trz C⁶), 168.5 (2C, Trz C^{2/4}).

Synthesis of 2,4-di(4,5,6,7-tetrahydroindazol-2-yl)-6H-1,3,5-triazine (L^4). Method as for L^2 , using 4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1H-indazole (1.20 g, 9.8 mmol). The product was purified by flash silica chromatography in ethyl acetate eluent. Yield 0.64 g, 41 %. ES⁺-MS m/z 322.1784 (calcd for $[HL^7]^+$ 322.1775), 344.1601 (calcd for $[NaL^7]^+$ 344.1594), 665.3299 (calcd for $[Na(L^7)_2]^+$ 665.3296). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.83 (m, 4H, Ind CH_2^5 and CH_2^6), 2.64 (t, 4H, 5.9 Hz) and 2.83 (t, 4H, 6.2 Hz; Ind CH_2^4 and CH_2^7), 8.33 (s, 2H, Ind H^3), 8.93 (s, 1H, Trz H^6) ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 20.7, 22.9, 23.0, 24.0 (all 2C, Ind C^{4-7}), 121.6 (2C, Ind C^{3a}), 126.4 (2C, Ind C^3), 157.2 (2C, Ind C^{7a}), 161.8 (1C, Trz C^6), 169.2 (2C, Trz $C^{2/4}$).



Scheme S1 Synthesis of L^1 - L^4 . Conditions: thf, 298 K, 16 hrs.

Single Crystal Structure Analyses

Diffraction data for [Fe(NCS)₂(μ - L^7)] were recorded at station I19 of the Diamond synchrotron ($\lambda = 0.6889$ Å). All other crystallographic data were measured with an Agilent Supernova dual-source diffractometer using monochromated Cu- K_{α} ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) or Mo- K_{α} ($\lambda = 0.7107$ Å) radiation. The diffractometer was fitted with an Oxford Cryostream low-temperature device. Experimental details of the structure determinations in this study are given in Table S1. All the structures were solved by direct methods (*SHELXS97*¹), and developed by full least-squares refinement on F^2 (*SHELXL97*¹). Crystallographic figures were prepared using *XSEED*,² and octahedral coordination volumes (V_{Oh}) were calculated with *Olex2*.³

Unless otherwise stated, all crystallographically ordered non-H atoms in each structure were refined anisotropically, and H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model.

Structure refinements of L^2 ·MeCN, $[Ag(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$, $[Ag(\mu-L^2)(NCMe)]ClO_4$, $[Ag(NCMe)L^3]ClO_4$, $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$, $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^6)]$ and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^6)_2]$. No disorder is present in these structures and no restraints were applied to their refinements.

Structure refinement of L^{4} **·2CHCl₃.** The asymmetric unit contains two molecules of L^{4} and four chloroform solvent molecules. Two of the solvent molecules are disordered over two sites, whose occupancies refined to 0.61:0.39 and 0.64:0.36. These were treated with the fixed restraints C–Cl = 1.72(2) and Cl...Cl = 2.81(2) Å. All non-H atoms except the minor disorder sites were refined anisotropically.

Structure refinements of α - L^7 . No disorder is present in these structures and no restraints were applied to the refinements. H atoms were located in the Fourier map and refined, with U_{iso} constrained to 1.2x U_{eq} {C} for the aromatic C–H groups, or 1.5x U_{eq} {N} for the amino group.

Structure refinement of $[Fe_4(L^1)_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8$ ⁴/₂PzBF₃·*n*MeCN ($n \approx 5.9$). One uncoordinated pyrazole substituent is disordered over two sites, with a refined occupancy ratio of 0.67:0.33. The major orientation was refined without restraints, but the C–C and C–N bond lengths in the minor orientation were restrained to a common value of 1.38(2) Å to ensure a reasonable geometry. This disorder is coupled to the presence or absence of a half-occupied a half-occupied pyrazole-derived fragment, positioned to hydrogen bond to the major ligand disorder site. That moiety was modelled as the 1*H*-pyrazole \rightarrow BF₃ adduct, which refined acceptably without restraints.

Three of the BF₄⁻ ions are also disordered over two equally occupied orientations, using the refined restraints B–F = 1.39(2) and F...F = 2.27(2) Å. Nine acetonitrile molecules were located in the Fourier map, whose occupancies ranged from 0.2 to 1.0. The two lowest-occupancy MeCN sites were modelled with fixed restraints C–C = 1.45(2), C–N = 1.15(2) and 1,3-C...N = 2.63(2) Å; the 0.2-occupied MeCN site is co-localized with the half-occupied 1*H*-pyrazole \rightarrow BF₃ adduct. All non-H atoms with occupancy >0.5 were refined anisotropically, while H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The highest residual Fourier peak of +1.2 eÅ⁻³ is associated with the pyrazole \rightarrow BF₃ half-molecule, and may imply additional, weakly occupied solvent in this region of the lattice.

Structure refinement of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$. The asymmetric unit contains one-quarter of a formula unit, with a quarter of the cyclic tetramer encircling the $\overline{4}$ site $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and two complete ClO_4^- half-anions spanning C_2 axes $\frac{1}{2}$, 0, z and $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, z. No restraints were applied to the model in the final least squares cycles. All fully occupied non-H atoms plus the Cl half-atoms were refined anisotropically.

Structure refinement of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][CIO_4]_4$ ·3.2MeNO₂·1.2H₂O. The asymmetric unit contains half a cyclic tetrameric complex, spanning the crystallographic C_2 axis $\frac{1}{2}$, y, $\frac{3}{4}$; two half anions, also on crystallographic C_2 axes; another whole perchlorate ion on a general crystallographic position; and three partially occupied or disordered solvent sites, one of which is also close to a crystallographic C_2 axis. While all the perchlorate ions are ordered, all the partial nitromethane molecules required fixed restraints to refine to reasonable geometries. These were C–N = 1.45(2), N–O = 1.22(2), O...O = 2.09(2) and C...O = 2.30(2) Å. An antibumping restraint involving a disordered solvent site was also included, to address a CheckCif alert.

H atoms associated with the partially occupied water molecule are not included in the model, but are accounted for in the molecular weight and density calculations. The highest residual Fourier peak of +2.4 $e^{A^{-3}}$ is 0.9 Å from Cl(44), and may represent a small amount of unmodelled disorder in that half-anion. Attempts to include this peak in the model as part of a 10 % disorder site were unsuccessful, however.

Structure refinement of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4]$ [SbF₆]₄·MeNO₂. There is no internal crystallographic symmetry in this assembly, which lies on a general crystallographic site. No disorder is present in the model, and no restraints were applied to its refinement. The highest residual Fourier peak and trough of +1.7 and -1.7 eÅ⁻³ lie 0.9 Å from Ag(2) and Sb(99), respectively.

Structure refinement of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·MeNO₂. These crystals diffracted more weakly than the other silver compounds, possibly because of their needle morphology, and data were only obtained to 84 % completeness to $2\theta = 144^\circ$.

The asymmetric unit of this crystal contains half a formula unit, that is: half a tetrameric complex molecule surrounding the crystallographic C_2 axis $\frac{1}{2}$, y, $\frac{3}{4}$; four ClO₄⁻ half-anions, either ordered or disordered about C_2 axes; and one nitromethane half-molecule, also spanning a C_2 axis. One half-anion is further disordered over two orientations, whose occupancy ratio refined to 0.33:0.17. The disordered half-anion was refined using the fixed restraints Cl–O = 1.43(2) and O...O = 2.34(2) Å, while the solvent half-molecule also required the following fixed restraints to achieve a sensible geometry: C–N = 1.45(2), N–O = 1.22(2), O...O = 2.09(2) and C...O = 2.30(2) Å. All crystallographically ordered non-H atoms, plus disordered half-occupied Cl atoms, were refined anisotropically. The highest residual Fourier peak of +1.3 eÅ⁻³ lies on the C_2 axis within the disordered half-anion.

Structure refinements of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH. No disorder is present in these structures and no restraints were applied to the refinements. The methanol hydroxyl H atom was located in the Fourier map and refined, subject to the restraint O–H = 0.90(2) Å and the constrain U_{iso} {H} = 1.5x U_{eq} {O}.

Structure refinements of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·½EtOH and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·½Me₂CO. The asymmetric unit of these crystals contains one molecule of the complex, and half a molecule of solvent near the crystallographic inversion centre. One Se atom in both these structures is disordered over two positions, which were refined without restraints with refined occupancies close to 0.5:0.5. The solvent half-molecuyles are in turn disordered over two orientations whose occupancies sum to 0.5. These were treated with fixed C–C, C–O, 1,3-C...C and/or 1,3-C...O distance restraints; the acetone solvent disorder sites also required SIMU displacement ellipsoid restraints to refine reasonably. All crystallographically ordered non-H atoms, plus the disordered Se atoms, were refined anisotropically.

Structure refinement of [Fe(OH₂)₂(μ - L^5)][ClO₄]₂. The asymmetric unit contains two iron half-atoms lying on special positions: Fe(1), lying on the crystallographic C_2 axis $\frac{1}{2}$, y, $\frac{1}{4}$; and Fe(2), on the inversion centre $\frac{1}{2}$, 1, $\frac{1}{2}$. There is also one whole organic bridging ligand, one aqua ligand per iron atom, and two disordered perchlorate anions which were modelled over two orientations with the refined restraints Cl–O = 1.43(2) Å and O...O = 2.34(2) Å. Fully occupied non-H atoms plus the partial Cl atoms were refined anisotropically, and C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding model.

Three of the four H atoms on the two aqua ligands were located in the Fourier map and refined positionally, with the fixed restraints O–H = 0.90(2) and H...H = 1.37(2) Å and the constraint U_{iso} {H} = 1.5x U~eq~{O}. The fourth H atom H(20B), bound to O20, was not located but is accounted for in the molecular weight and density calculations. Potential H-bond acceptors for this H atom are disordered anion O(28A^{xxxi})/O(29B^{xxxi}) or O(27A^{xxxii}) (Figure S49; symmetry codes: (xxxi) x, 2–y, $\frac{1}{2}+z$; (xxxii) 1–x, 1+y, $\frac{1}{2}-z$).

Structure refinements of [Fe(NCS)₂(μ - L^7)] **and [Fe(NCSe)**₂(μ - L^7)]. Crystals of [Fe(NCS)₂(μ - L^7)] were small and weakly diffracting, and were analysed at the Diamond synchrotron. Despite the use of synchrotron radiation the dataset is only 85.5 % complete, and only 50 % of those data are observed. Hence, although the residuals are good, the precision of this refinement is lower than for the other complexes in this study.

No disorder is present in either of these structures and no restraints were applied to the refinements. The amino group H atoms were located in the Fourier map and refined positionally, subject to the distance restraint N–H = 0.90(2) Å and the constraint U_{iso} {H} = 1.5x U_{eq} {N}.

CCDC-1550948 and 1957573-1957592 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper (Tables S1 and S2). These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

¥	L^2 ·MeCN	$L^4 \cdot 2 CHCl_3$	α - L^7	β - L^7
molecular formula	$C_{11}H_8Cl_2N_8$	$C_{19}H_{21}Cl_6N_7$	$C_{10}H_9N_7$	$C_{10}H_9N_7$
$M_{ m r}$	323.15	560.13	227.24	227.24
crystal class	orthorhombic	triclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic
space group	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	C2/c	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$
a / Å	3.9058(4)	9.3073(3)	17.0960(11)	3.8429(1)
b / Å	16.7955(15)	11.3030(3)	10.5273(4)	14.8655(6)
c / Å	20.5409(9)	23.5856(8)	13.4500(6)	18.0160(10)
lpha / °	_	88.648(2)	_	_
eta / °	_	86.046(3)	121.235(4)	_
γ/°	-	87.961(2)	_	-
\dot{V} / Å ³	1347.48(19)	2473.19(13)	2069.78(18)	1029.19(8)
Z	4	4	8	4
T /K	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)
μ / mm $^{-1}$	4.411 ^c	6.530 ^c	0.100^{d}	0.822 ^c
$D_{\rm c}$ / gcm ⁻³	1.593	1.504	1.458	1.467
measured reflections	3249	19525	5264	_
independent reflections	2346	9320	2471	_
$R_{\rm int}$	0.026	0.052	0.019	_
parameters	192	609	181	_
restraints	0	24	0	_
$R_1 [F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.033	0.077	0.040	_
wR_2 , all data ^b	0.088	0.220	0.106	_
goodness of fit	1.065	1.819	1.099	_
$\Delta ho_{ m min/max}$ / $e { m \AA}^{-3}$	-0.29/+0.25	-0.83/+1.08	-0.22/+0.31	_
Flack parameter	0.346(19) ^e	_	_	_
CCDC	1957574	1957573	1957575	_
${}^{a}R = \Sigma \left[\left F_{o} \right - \left F_{c} \right \right] / \Sigma \left F_{o} \right $ ${}^{b}wR = \left[\Sigma w(F_{o})^{2} + V_{c}^{2} \right] / \Sigma \left[F_{o} \right] $	$(-F_{c}^{2}) / \Sigma w F_{0}^{4}]^{1/2}$	Collected with $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radi	ation. ^d Collected v	with Mo- K_{α} radiation.
^e This crystal was refined as a racemic twin.	- / · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Table S1 Experimental data for the organic ligand crystal structure determinations. Data are included for a second polymorph of L^7 , whose unit cell was determined but which diffracted too weakly for a full structure refinement.

	$[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8-$ ·½PzBF3·nMeCN ($n \approx 5.9$)	$[Ag(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$	$[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$	$[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$	$[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4-$ ·3.2MeNO ₂ ·1.2H ₂ O
molecular formula	C _{85.3} H _{75.7} B _{8.5} F _{33.5} Fe ₄ N _{62.9}	C ₉ H ₅ AgCl ₃ N ₇ O ₄	$C_{11}H_8AgCl_3N_8O_4$	$C_{52}H_{60}Ag_4Cl_4N_{28}O_{16}$	C55.2H72Ag4Cl4N31.2O23.4
$M_{ m r}$	2933.77	489.42	530.47	1906.56	2123.52
crystal class	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	tetragonal	monoclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$	$P42_1c$	C2/c
a / Å	16.1862(6)	8.0112(2)	13.5601(2)	13.9144(2)	20.8911(9)
b / Å	17.2352(5)	10.5868(3)	8.2545(1)	_	27.7398(10)
c / Å	23.7427(7)	16.9917(3)	15.4017(3)	17.8688(3)	15.8143(7)
lpha / °	97.839(2)	_	_	_	_
eta / °	91.234(3)	95.332(2)	95.121(1)	-	107.315(5)
γ/°	105.400(3)	-	_	-	-
$V/ \text{\AA}^3$	6314.5(4)	1434.88(6)	1717.06(5)	3459.59(9)	8749.3(6)
Ζ	2	4	4	2	4
T / K	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)
μ / mm^{-1}	4.676 ^c	16.771 ^c	14.097 ^c	11.110 ^c	1.089 ^d
$D_{\rm c}$ / gcm ⁻³	1.543	2.266	2.052	1.830	1.612
measured reflections	54575	6035	6561	6825	31510
independent reflections	23884	2729	3338	3221	10539
$R_{\rm int}$	0.033	0.029	0.026	0.028	0.061
parameters	1754	217	245	241	578
restraints	72	0	0	0	37
$R_1 [F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]^a$	0.078	0.030	0.028	0.036	0.074
wR_2 , all data ^b	0.235	0.080	0.077	0.092	0.211
goodness of fit	1.029	1.051	1.024	1.051	1.039
$\Delta ho_{ m min/max}$ / $e { m \AA}^{-3}$	-0.71/1.21	-0.82/1.10	-0.56/0.57	-0.93/1.17	-1.16/2.37
Flack parameter	_	_	_	$0.170(10)^{\rm f}$	_
CCDC	1550948	1957577	1957576	1957578	1957579

Table S2 Experimental data for the metal complex crystal structures in this work.

able S2 continued.						
	$[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4-$ ·MeNO ₂	$[Ag_4(\mu-L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4-$ ·MeNO ₂	$[Ag(NCMe)L^3]ClO_4$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]- \\ \cdot MeOH$	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \\ \cdot MeOH$
molecular formula	$C_{53}H_{63}Ag_4F_{24}N_{29}O_2Sb_4$	C ₆₉ H ₇₉ Ag ₄ Cl ₄ N ₂₉ O ₁₈	C ₁₅ H ₁₈ AgClN ₈ O ₄	$C_{22}H_{16}FeN_{14}S_2$	$C_{23}H_{20}FeN_{14}OS_2$	C ₂₃ H ₂₀ FeN ₁₄ OSe
$M_{ m r}$	2512.80	2175.89	517.69	596.46	628.50	722.30
crystal class	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_{1}/n$	C2/c	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$
a / Å	22.9855(3)	20.7165(11)	7.7857(3)	8.1002(4)	13.1916(3)	12.9026(2)
b / Å	14.9629(3)	33.8226(13)	11.0383(5)	11.5650(6)	14.3276(3)	14.5475(2)
c / Å	23.3614(3)	15.6449(6)	12.5260(5)	14.0754(7)	15.8098(4)	16.1511(2)
lpha / °	-	_	74.006(4)	101.393(4)	_	_
eta / °	95.028(1)	131.404(3)	71.927(4)	93.048(4)	110.841(2)	109.427(2)
γ/°	-	_	71.187(4)	91.065(4)	-	-
$V/ Å^3$	8003.8(2)	8222.3(6)	950.11(7)	1290.19(11)	2792.61(11)	2858.97(7)
Ζ	4	4	2	2	4	4
T / K	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)
μ / mm ⁻¹	19.250 ^c	9.462 ^c	10.188 ^c	6.565 ^c	6.123 ^c	7.528 ^c
$D_{\rm c}$ / gcm ⁻³	2.085	1.758	1.810	1.535	1.495	1.678
measured reflections	33503	10874	7034	9319	11284	14817
independent reflections	15778	6881	3554	4858	5480	5531
$R_{\rm int}$	0.046	0.055	0.026	0.026	0.027	0.025
parameters	1062	578	267	352	374	383
restraints	0	26	0	0	1	1
$R_1 [F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]^a$	0.044	0.064	0.031	0.030	0.038	0.030
wR_2 , all data ^b	0.120	0.168	0.079	0.075	0.101	0.079
goodness of fit	1.044	1.062	1.101	1.018	1.029	1.051
$\Delta ho_{ m min/max}$ / $e{ m \AA}^{-3}$	-1.70/1.70	-0.88/1.29	-0.81/0.91	-0.30/0.26	-0.48/0.66	-0.42/0.59
CCDC	1957580	1957581	1957582	1957583	1957584	1957585
$= \Sigma[F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c}] / \Sigma F_{\rm o} $	${}^{b}wR = [\Sigma w(F_{o}{}^{2} - F_{c}{}^{2}) /$	$(\Sigma w F_o^4]^{1/2}$ °Coll	ected with Cu- K_{α} radiat	ion. ^d Collected	with Mo- K_{α} radiation.	

^eCollected with synchrotron radiation. ^fThis crystal was refined as a racemic twin.

Table S2 continued.							
	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]- \frac{1}{2}EtOH$	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] - \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^6)]$	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^6)_2]$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^7)]$	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(\mu-L^7)]$	$[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)]$ - $[ClO_4]_2$
molecular formula	C ₂₃ H ₁₉ FeN ₁₄ O _{0.5} Se ₂	$C_{23.5}H_{19}FeN_{14}O_{0.5}Se_2$	$C_{13}H_{10}FeN_8S_2$	$C_{24}H_{20}FeN_{14}Se_2$	C ₁₂ H ₉ FeN ₉ S ₂	C ₁₂ H ₉ FeN ₉ Se ₂	$C_{10}H_{12}C_{12}FeN_6O_{10}$
$M_{ m r}$	713.29	719.30	398.26	718.31	399.25	493.05	503.01
crystal class	monoclinic	monoclinic	tetragonal	triclinic	tetragonal	tetragonal	monoclinic
space group	$P2_{1}/n$	$P2_{1}/n$	$I4_1/a$	$P\overline{1}$	$I4_{1}/a$	$I4_1/a$	C2/c
a / Å	12.6992(7)	12.7269(5)	16.1912(6)	8.1773(4)	16.0404(2)	16.4591(3)	20.888(2)
b / Å	14.4699(6)	14.6440(6)	_	12.1684(6)	_	_	9.323(2)
<i>c</i> / Å	16.2226(9)	16.1908(7)	25.146(3)	14.1965(8)	25.1086(3)	25.0804(6)	19.916(2)
lpha / °	_	-	—	77.437(4)	_	_	_
eta / °	108.645(6)	109.447(4)	_	83.062(4)	_	_	113.519(13)
γ/°	_	_	_	89.966(4)	_	_	_
$V/ \text{\AA}^3$	2824.6(3)	2845.4(2)	6592.1(8)	1368.22(12)	6460.30(14)	6794.3(2)	3556.3(10)
Ζ	4	4	16	2	16	16	8
T / K	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	120(2)	100(2)	120(2)	125(2)
μ / mm ⁻¹	7.598 ^c	7.549°	9.830°	7.834 ^c	1.114 ^e	12.161 ^c	10.228°
$D_{ m c}$ / gcm ⁻³	1.677	1.679	1.605	1.744	1.642	1.928	1.879
measured reflections	11028	10897	7784	10627	13505	7393	6362
independent reflections	5540	5537	3232	5148	2629	3326	3454
$R_{\rm int}$	0.026	0.032	0.022	0.034	0.068	0.031	0.039
parameters	387	393	218	372	223	223	284
restraints	6	18	0	0	2	2	44
$R_1 [F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)]^a$	0.032	0.049	0.056	0.042	0.056	0.046	0.088
wR_2 , all data ^b	0.077	0.136	0.157	0.117	0.175	0.128	0.250
goodness of fit	1.031	1.041	1.076	1.032	0.904	1.011	1.038
$\Delta ho_{ m min/max}$ / $e{ m \AA}^{-3}$	-0.38/0.36	-0.63/0.64	-0.40/0.64	-0.68/1.25	-0.41/0.33	-0.86/0.84	-0.94/0.67
CCDC	1957586	1957587	1957588	1957589	1957590	1957591	1957592
^a $R = \Sigma[F_{\rm o} - F_{\rm c}] / \Sigma $	$F_{\rm o}$ $^{\rm b}wR = [\Sigma w(F_{\rm o})]$	$({}_{0}^{2} - F_{c}^{2}) / \Sigma w F_{0}^{4}]^{1/2}$	^c Collected with	Cu- K_{α} radiation.	^d Collected with	Mo- K_{α} radiation.	
^e Collected with synchrot	tron radiation.	^f This crystal was ref	ined as a racemic tw	in.			



Figure S1 ¹H (top) and ¹³C (bottom) NMR spectra of the new ligand L^2 (CDCl₃).



Figure S2 ¹H (top) and ¹³C (bottom) NMR spectra of the new ligand L^3 (CDCl₃).



Figure S3 ¹H (top) and ¹³C (bottom) NMR spectra of the new ligand L^4 (CDCl₃).



Figure S4 View of the asymmetric unit of L^2 ·MeCN. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, except for H atoms which have arbitrary radii. Colour code C, white; H, grey; Cl, yellow; N, blue.



Figure S5 Packing diagram of L^2 ·MeCN, viewed approximately parallel to the (100) crystal vector with the unit cell *c* axis horizontal. Colour code C, white; H, grey; Cl, yellow; N, blue.

The { L^2 ·MeCN} assemblies form canted stacks along the crystallographic *a* direction. Adjacent molecules in the stacks are related by translation along *a*, are coplanar by symmetry and separated by 3.270(5) Å.



Figure S6 View of the asymmetric unit of $L^4 \cdot 2$ CHCl₃. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, except for H atoms which have arbitrary radii. H(57A) is hidden from view behind Cl(60A).



Figure S7 Packing diagram of $L^4 \cdot 2$ CHCl₃, viewed parallel to the (010) crystal vector with the unit cell *c* axis horizontal. Colour code C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Cl, yellow; N, pale or dark blue.

The { $L^7 \cdot 2CHCl_3$ } assemblies associate into canted stacks along the crystallographic *a* direction (one stack is highlighted with dark colouration). Adjacent molecules in the stacks have a dihedral angle of 1.30(10)° between their heterocyclic cores, and are separated by 3.36(3) or 3.39(3) Å.

E Zerrer (ii,). See rigures s i una se for	the atom	numbering bener	ines:	
	D–H	HA	DA	D-HA
L^2 ·MeCN				
C(17)–H(17)N(21)	0.95	2.38	3.322(4)	173.4
L^4 ·2CHCl ₃				
C(49)–H(49)N(27)	1.00	2.38	3.220(9)	141.7
C(49)-H(49)N(32)	1.00	2.30	3.170(9)	145.2
C(53)–H(53)N(5)	1.00	2.46	3.258(10)	135.9
C(53)–H(53)N(17)	1.00	2.28	3.194(9)	151.6
C(57A)-H(57A)/C(57B)-H(57B)N(3)	1.00	2.34/2.48	3.165(16)/ 3.23(3)	138.8/130.8
C(57A)-H(57A)/C(57B)-H(57B)N(8)	1.00	2.38/2.14	3.277(18)/ 3.05(3)	149.5/152.0
C(61A)-H(61A)/C(61B)-H(61B)N(29)	1.00	2.45/2.41	3.230(17)/3.16(5)	134.1/131.0
C(61A)-H(61A)/C(61B)-H(61B)N(41)	1.00	2.29/2.38	3.200(19)/3.32(5)	151.0/157.0

Table S3 Metric parameters for the C–H...N hydrogen bonds in the crystal structure of L^2 ·MeCN and L^4 ·2CHCl₃ (Å, °). See Figures S4 and S6 for the atom numbering schemes.



Figure S8 View of the molecule in the crystal structure of α - L^7 . Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, except for H atoms which have arbitrary radii. Symmetry codes: (xi) –*x*, *y*, $^{1}/_{2}$ –*z*; (xii) *x*, 1–*y*, $^{-1}/_{2}$ +*z*; (xiii) *x*, 1–*y*, $^{1}/_{2}$ –*z*.

Colour code C, white; H, grey; N, blue.

Table S4 Hydrogen bond parameters for the crystal structure of α - L^7 (Å, °). See Figure S8 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry codes: (xi) –*x*, *y*, ¹/₂–*z*; (xii) *x*, 1–*y*, $^{-1}/_{2}+z$.

	D–H	HA	DA	D-HA
$N(17)-H(17A)N(2^{xi})$	0.872(18)	2.231(19)	3.0986(15)	173.2(17)
$N(17)-H(17B)N(13^{xii})$	0.901(19)	2.210(19)	3.1088(16)	175.1(16)



Figure S9 Packing diagrams of α - L^7 . Top: a hydrogen bonded chain of molecules. Bottom, the packing of those chains in the lattice, viewed parallel to the (001) crystal vector, with the unit cell *a* axis horizontal. One hydrogen-bonded chain in the bottom view is highlighted with dark colouration.

Colour code C, white or dark grey; H, grey; N, pale or dark blue.

Stacked molecules within the chains are related by a crystallographic inversion centre, are coplanar by symmetry and separated by 3.481(1) Å.



Figure S10 Packing diagrams of β - L^7 . Top: a hydrogen bonded chain of molecules. Bottom, the packing of those chains in the lattice, viewed parallel to the (010) crystal vector, with the unit cell *c* axis horizontal. One hydrogen-bonded chain in the bottom view is highlighted with dark colouration.

Colour code C, white or dark grey; H, grey; N, pale or dark blue.

These diagrams are generated from a preliminary, isotropic refinement of this polymorph. The structure has not been deposited with the CCDC.

There is no acceptor group within hydrogen-bonding distance of the free N–H group in this structure. The hydrogen-bonded chains form canted π ... π stacks along the crystallographic *a* direction.

Definitions of the structural parameters discussed in the paper

 Ψ is the dihedral angle between the least squares planes of two bidentate heterocyclic ligands ('L') in a [ML₂X₂]-type complex (Scheme S2). Ψ is usually close to 90° in low-spin iron(II) complexes, but can deviate significantly from ideality in their high-spin forms.⁴

In this study Ψ is calculated using only the two heterocyclic rings that are coordinated to the metal ion in question, rather than the entire ligand molecule, to allow for bending of the heterocycle conformations in some of these structures. Ψ is also used to describe the [Fe(NCE)₂(μ -L)] and [Ag(μ -L)]X coordination polymers, and the [{Fe(L)}₄(μ -L)₄]X₈ and [Ag₄(μ -L)₄]X₄ molecular squares, as shown in the Scheme.

 V_{Oh} is the volume (in Å³) of the FeN₆ coordination octahedron in the complex molecule, which is typically <10 Å³ in low-spin iron(II) compounds and ≥ 12 Å³ in their high-spin form.⁵

 Σ and Θ are defined as follows:

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{12} |90 - \beta_i| \qquad \qquad \Theta = \sum_{j=1}^{24} |60 - \gamma_j|$$

where β_i are the twelve *cis*-N–Fe–N angles about the iron atom and γ_i are the 24 unique N–Fe–N angles measured on the projection of two triangular faces of the octahedron along their common pseudo-threefold axis (Scheme S3). Σ is a general measure of the deviation of a metal ion from an ideal octahedral geometry, while Θ more specifically indicates its distortion towards a trigonal prismatic structure. A perfectly octahedral complex gives $\Sigma = \Theta = 0$.

 Σ and Θ were originally introduced to quantify small differences in the coordination geometries of high-spin iron(II) complexes of polydentate ligands.⁶ More recently, they were popularized by Guionneau *et al.* as a way of confirming the spin state of a metal ion in a crystal structure; and to quantify the magnitude of the structural changes taking place during spin-crossover.⁵ Typical values for these parameters for [Fe(NCE)₂L₂]-type complexes are tabulated in ref. 5.

 V_{Oh} , Σ and Θ are only quoted for the iron complexes in this study.



Scheme S2 The Ψ parameter.⁸



Scheme S3 Angles used in the definitions of the coordination distortion parameters Σ and Θ .⁹



Figure S11 The molecular assembly in $[\{Fe(L^1)\}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot n$ MeCN, with a partial numbering scheme. Both orientations of the disordered pyrazolyl substituent and anion, and the partial MeCN solvent overlying the pyrazole \rightarrow BF₃ half-molecule, are shown. Anions and solvent that do not interact directly with the complex, and all C-bound H atoms, are omitted. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, except for the minor disorder sites mentioned which are de-emphasized for clarity. Symmetry code: (xiv) 1–x, 1–y, 1–z.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; B, pink; F, cyan; Fe, green; N, blue. The view is the same as in Figure 1 of the main article.

, 01,							
Fe(1)–N(7)	2.263(3)	Fe(2)–N(9)	2.289(3)	Fe(3)–N(25)	2.325(4)	Fe(4)–N(41)	2.263(4)
Fe(1)–N(12)	2.097(4)	Fe(2)–N(17)	2.112(4)	Fe(3)–N(33)	2.109(4)	Fe(4)–N(49)	2.137(4)
Fe(1)–N(57)	2.210(3)	Fe(2)–N(23)	2.296(3)	Fe(3)–N(39)	2.256(4)	Fe(4)–N(55)	2.276(3)
Fe(1) - N(65)	2.089(3)	Fe(2)–N(28)	2.118(4)	Fe(3)–N(44)	2.113(4)	Fe(4)–N(60)	2.116(3)
Fe(1) - N(71)	2.158(4)	Fe(2)–N(87)	2.175(4)	Fe(3)–N(103)	2.177(4)	Fe(4)–N(119)	2.179(4)
Fe(1)–N(76)	2.114(4)	Fe(2)–N(92)	2.107(4)	Fe(3)–N(108)	2.112(4)	Fe(4)–N(124)	2.125(4)
N(7)–Fe(1)–N(12)	74.21(13)	N(9)-Fe(2)-N(17)	74.01(14)	N(25)–Fe(3)–N(33)	72.68(13)	N(41)-Fe(4)-N(49)	73.64(14)
N(7)–Fe(1)–N(57)	95.05(13)	N(9)–Fe(2)–N(23)	96.04(12)	N(25)-Fe(3)-N(39)	93.27(12)	N(41)–Fe(4)–N(55)	94.69(13)
N(7)–Fe(1)–N(65)	91.97(13)	N(9)-Fe(2)-N(28)	93.02(13)	N(25)-Fe(3)-N(44)	89.94(13)	N(41)-Fe(4)-N(60)	91.68(13)
N(7)–Fe(1)–N(71)	98.54(14)	N(9)-Fe(2)-N(87)	161.77(14)	N(25)-Fe(3)-N(103)	100.19(14)	N(41)-Fe(4)-N(119)	160.56(13)
N(7)-Fe(1)-N(76)	165.31(14)	N(9)–Fe(2)–N(92)	94.61(13)	N(25)-Fe(3)-N(108)	165.87(14)	N(41)-Fe(4)-N(124)	94.11(14)
N(12)-Fe(1)-N(57)	95.92(13)	N(17)-Fe(2)-N(23)	91.25(13)	N(33)–Fe(3)–N(39)	96.31(13)	N(49)–Fe(4)–N(55)	91.45(13)
N(12)-Fe(1)-N(65)	163.13(14)	N(17)-Fe(2)-N(28)	158.74(13)	N(33)–Fe(3)–N(44)	159.93(15)	N(49)-Fe(4)-N(60)	158.13(14)
N(12)-Fe(1)-N(71)	97.12(14)	N(17)-Fe(2)-N(87)	92.55(14)	N(33)-Fe(3)-N(103)	99.69(14)	N(49)-Fe(4)-N(119)	92.60(14)
N(12)-Fe(1)-N(76)	92.75(15)	N(17)–Fe(2)–N(92)	101.83(15)	N(33)-Fe(3)-N(108)	94.18(15)	N(49)-Fe(4)-N(124)	104.19(14)
N(57)–Fe(1)–N(65)	75.32(13)	N(23)-Fe(2)-N(28)	73.10(13)	N(39)–Fe(3)–N(44)	74.23(14)	N(55)–Fe(4)–N(60)	73.32(13)
N(57)-Fe(1)-N(71)	163.27(14)	N(23)-Fe(2)-N(87)	96.52(13)	N(39)-Fe(3)-N(103)	161.55(14)	N(55)-Fe(4)-N(119)	99.42(13)
N(57)-Fe(1)-N(76)	92.97(14)	N(23)-Fe(2)-N(92)	165.01(14)	N(39)-Fe(3)-N(108)	93.37(15)	N(55)–Fe(4)–N(124)	163.71(14)
N(65)-Fe(1)-N(71)	94.43(14)	N(28)–Fe(2)–N(87)	103.25(14)	N(44)–Fe(3)–N(103)	93.10(15)	N(60)–Fe(4)–N(119)	105.11(14)
N(65)-Fe(1)-N(76)	101.97(15)	N(38)–Fe(2)–N(92)	95.84(14)	N(44)-Fe(3)-N(108)	103.87(15)	N(60)-Fe(4)-N(124)	92.74(14)
N(71)-Fe(1)-N(76)	76.01(16)	N(87)–Fe(2)–N(92)	75.81(14)	N(103)-Fe(3)-N(108)	76.43(17)	N(119)-Fe(4)-N(124)	75.68(14)
Ψ [Fe(1)]	80.65(8)	Ψ[Fe(2)]	84.40(8)	Ψ [Fe(3)]	79.04(8)	Ψ [Fe(4)]	79.48(9)
$V_{\rm Oh} [{\rm Fe}(1)]$	12.845(14)	$V_{\rm Oh}$ [Fe(2)]	13.258(14)	$V_{\mathrm{Oh}}[\mathrm{Fe}(3)]$	13.233(14)	$V_{\mathrm{Oh}}[\mathrm{Fe}(4)]$	13.196(13)
Σ [Fe(1)]	95.2(5)	Σ [Fe(2)]	102.0(5)	Σ [Fe(3)]	100.7(5)	Σ [Fe(4)]	103.4(5)
Θ [Fe(1)]	240	Θ [Fe(2)]	288	Θ [Fe(3)]	269	Θ [Fe(4)]	312

Table S5 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot n$ MeCN. See Figure S11 for the atom numbering scheme, while definitions of Ψ , V_{Oh} , Σ and Θ are given on page S19.

Despite showing small differences, the V_{Oh} , Σ and Θ values for Fe(1)-Fe(4) all lie within the ranges expected for a high-spin complex of the [Fe(NN)₃]²⁺ type, where NN is a diimine chelate.¹²

	D-H	НА	DA	D-HA
Anion π contacts – encapsulated anion				
C(8)F(137)	_	_	2.613(4)	_
C(24)F(136)	_	_	2.604(4)	_
C(40)F(135)	_	_	2.642(4)	_
C(56)F(134)	-	-	2.627(5)	_
Anion π contacts – peripheral anions				
C(6)F(165)	_	_	2.808(4)	_
C(10)F(164)	_	_	2.889(4)	_
C(22)F(147A)/F(147B)	_	_	2.791(6)/2.760(8)	_
C(26)F(146A)/F(146B)	_	_	2.745(7)/2.791(7)	_
C(54)F(156)	_	_	3.010(4)	_
C(58)F(155)	_	_	2.775(4)	_
$X{N(85)-C(90)}N(169)^{a}$	_	_	2.924	_
$C(102)F(142^{xiv})$	_	-	2.744(5)	-
$n\pi$ contacts – lattice solvent				
$X \{N(37)-C(42)\}N(184)^{a}$	-	-	2.911	-
Hydrogen bond				
N(174)-H(174)N(13A)	0.88	2.09	2.940(10)	161.7

Table S6 Second sphere dipole...dipole interactions in the crystal structure of $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot nMeCN$ (Å, °). See Figure S11 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry code: (xiv) 1–*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*.

^aThe closest contact in this host:guest interaction is to the centroid 'X' of the triazinyl ring listed (Figure S11).

Table S7 Metric parameters for the intermolecular π π interactions in [{Fe(L^1)}_4(μ - L^1)_4][BF ₄]_8· $\frac{1}{2}$ PzBF ₃ ·nMeCN (Å, °). See Figure S11 for the atom numbering
scheme, and Figure S13 for a plot of these interactions. Symmetry codes: (ii) x , 1+ y , z ; (xv) 1+ x , 1+ y , z ; (xvi) – x , 1– y , 1– z ; (xvii) 2– x , 1– y , – z

	Dihedral angle	Interplanar distance	Horizontal offset
$[N(80)-C(84)][N(123^{ii})C(127^{ii})]$ (I)	8.3(3)	3.352(16)	1.3
$[N(69)-C(74), N(80)-C(84)][N(112A^{xv})C(116A^{xv})]/[N(112B^{xv})C(116B^{xv})]$ (II)	5.0(5)/2.9(13)	3.30(4)/3.34(15)	0.8/1.4
$[N(96)-C(100)][N(112A^{xvi})C(116A^{xvi})]/[N(112B^{xvi})C(116B^{xvi})]$ (III)	15.2(5)/13.3(14)	3.25(2)/3.23(9)	1.1/1.8
$[N(117)-C(122), N(128)-C(132)][N(117^{xvii})-C(122^{xvii}), N(128^{xvii})-C(132^{xvii})]$ (IV)	0	3.27(2)	2.5

S22



Figure S12 Space-filling views of the supramolecular assembly in $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot nMeCN$, showing the encapsulated BF_4^- ion and the peripherally bound guest species. Only one orientation is shown of disordered residues in the model, and the half-occupied pyrazole $\rightarrow BF_3$ residue is not included. For clarity, in-cavity and peripheral anions forming secondary interactions with the molecular square are shown with dark and pale colouration, respectively. The MeCN molecule forming the short $n...\pi$ interaction (which is 70 % occupied in the crystal) is plotted in dark grey.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale gray; B, pink; F, pale or dark cyan; Fe, green; N, blue.



Figure S13 Partial packing diagram of $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3 \cdot n MeCN$, showing the association of the complex molecules by intermolecular $\pi \dots \pi$ interactions. The encapsulated BF₄ ions are shown but other anions, solvent molecules and the pyrazole \rightarrow BF₃ residue are omitted for clarity.

The interactions and interacting molecules are colour coded, according to the numbered interactions in Table S7: (I) red; (II) yellow; (III) dark blue; (IV) purple.



Figure S14 Electrospray mass spectrum of $[Fe_4(L^1)_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8$. The molecular ion is at m/z = 1225 ($[Fe_4(L^1)_8(BF_4)_6]^{2+}$). Other peak assignments are in the Experimental Section.



Figure S15 ¹H NMR spectrum of $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3$ (CD₃NO₂).

Since only one L^1 environment is present in the spectrum, the terminal and bridging L^1 ligands in the molecule must undergo rapid chemical exchange in solution.



Figure S16 Magnetic susceptibility data for $[{Fe(L^1)}_4(\mu-L^1)_4][BF_4]_8 \cdot \frac{1}{2}PzBF_3$ in the solid (top) and in CD₃NO₂ solution (bottom).

The room temperature magnetic moment is consistent with a molecule containing four high-spin iron(II) centres, which each contribute 3.5 ± 0.2 cm³mol⁻¹K to the $\chi_M T$ value.⁷ The decrease in $\chi_M T$ below 50 K in the solid state data arises from zero-field splitting of the high-spin iron sites (*cf* Figures S19 and S20).⁸



Figure S17 A fraction of a polymer chain in $[Ag(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$, showing the full atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2-x}, -\frac{1}{2+y}, \frac{1}{2-z}$; (xviii) $\frac{3}{2-x}, -\frac{1}{2+y}, \frac{1}{2-z}$; (xx) $\frac{1}{2-x}, -\frac{1}{2+y}, \frac{1}{2-z}$.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.



Figure S18 A fraction of a polymer chain in $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]CIO_4$, showing the full atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2-x}, -\frac{1}{2+y}, \frac{1}{2-z}$; (ii) x, 1+y, z; (iii) x, -1+y, z; (xx) $\frac{1}{2-x}, \frac{1}{2+y}, \frac{1}{2-z}$.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.

[A - (15)]ClO		$[A - (NOM -)(-, L^5)]Olo$	
$[Ag(\mu-L^2)]CIO_4$		$[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]CIO_4$	a (=a (a)
Ag(1) - N(4)	2.403(3)	Ag(1)-N(4)	2.479(2)
$Ag(1)-N(6^{xviii})$	2.490(3)	$Ag(1)-N(6^{1})$	2.553(2)
Ag(1)-N(9)	2.403(3)	Ag(1)–N(9)	2.445(2)
$Ag(1)-N(15^{xviii})$	2.301(3)	$Ag(1) - N(15^{i})$	2.433(2)
Ag(1)–O(21)	2.643(4)	Ag(1)–N(20)	2.414(3)
		Ag(1)–O(26)	2.670(2)
Ag(1)Ag(1 ^{xviii})	6.7596(4)	$Ag(1)Ag(1^{i})$	6.9050(3)
N(4)-Ag(1)-N(6 ^{xviii})	107.44(10)	$N(4)-Ag(1)-N(6^{i})$	87.53(7)
N(4)-Ag(1)-N(9)	67.84(10)	N(4)-Ag(1)-N(9)	66.06(8)
$N(4) - Ag(1) - N(15^{xviii})$	126.85(10)	$N(4)-Ag(1)-N(15^{i})$	105.11(8)
N(4)-Ag(1)-O(21)	127.03(10)	N(4)-Ag(1)-N(20)	105.04(9)
$N(6^{aa})-Ag(1)-N(9)$	91.77(9)	N(4)-Ag(1)-O(26)	138.02(7)
$N(6^{aa})$ -Ag(1)-N(15 ^{xviii})	67.76(10)	$N(6^{v})-Ag(1)-N(9)$	126.70(8)
$N(6^{aa}) - Ag(1) - O(21)$	117.60(11)	$N(6^{v}) - Ag(1) - N(15^{i})$	64.79(8)
$N(9) - Ag(1) - N(15^{xviii})$	157.03(10)	$N(6^{v}) - Ag(1) - N(20)$	146.63(8)
N(9)-Ag(1)-O(21)	83.79(11)	$N(6^{v})-Ag(1)-O(26)$	90.52(7)
$N(15^{aa}) - Ag(1) - O(21)$	96.25(10	$N(9) - Ag(1) - N(15^{i})$	163.04(9)
		N(9) - Ag(1) - N(20)	86.39(9)
Ψ	107.22(4)	N(9) - Ag(1) - O(26)	82.19(8)
		$N(15^{v})-Ag(1)-N(20)$	82.00(9)
		$N(15^{v}) - Ag(1) - O(26)$	111.80(8)
		N(20)–Ag(1)–O(26)	99.32(8)
		Ψ	77.04(4)

Table S8 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the coordination polymers $[Ag(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$ and $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$. See Figures S17and S18 for the atom numbering schemes, and page S19 for a definition of Ψ . Symmetry code: (xviii) ${}^{3/2}-x$, ${}^{1/2}+y$, ${}^{1/2}-z$; (i) ${}^{1/2}-x$, ${}^{-1/2}+y$, ${}^{1/2}-z$.

Table S9 Anion... π contacts in the coordination polymers $[Ag(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$ and $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$ (Å). See Figures S17and S18 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2}-x$, $-\frac{1}{2}+y$, $\frac{1}{2}-z$; (ii) x, 1+y, z.

	DA
$[Ag(\mu-L^5)]ClO_4$ C(5)O(22 ⁱ)	2.858(5)
$[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^5)]ClO_4$ C(5)O(27 ⁱⁱ)	3.086(4)



Figure S19 Packing diagram of $[Ag(\mu - L^2)]ClO_4$, showing the association of the polymer chains into 2D sheets through Ag...O and anion... π interactions. The view is along the $(1\bar{1}2)$ vector, with the unit cell *b* axis horizontal.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.



Figure S20 Packing diagram of $[Ag(NCMe)(\mu-L^2)]ClO_4$, highlighting that this coordination polymer packs as discrete chains in its lattice, with only intra-chain anion... π interactions. One chain is highlighted with dark colouration, for clarity. The view is along the (101) vector, with the unit cell *b* axis horizontal.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, pale or dark blue; O, red.



Figure S21 View of the molecular square assembly in solvent-free $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$, showing the full atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, except for symmetry equivalent sites for the anions which are de-emphasised for clarity, and all H atoms are omitted. Symmetry codes: (iv) 1–y, x, 1–z; (v) y, 1–x, 1–z; (xxi) 1–x, 1–y, z; (xxii) 1–x, –y, z.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.

Anion... π interactions are drawn to the centroid 'X' of the triazine ring acceptor, or to an individual C atom, whichever is the shorter distance (Table S11).

The encapsulated anions and their disorder sites are all equivalent by symmetry, and are held in place by one short anion... π interaction and two weak C–H...O contacts (Table S11). The C–H...O interactions are not shown in this Figure, since H atoms are omitted from the diagram (Figure S25).

Table S10 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the unsolvated form of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][CIO_4]_4$. See Figure S21 for the atom numbering scheme, while the definition of Ψ is given on page S19. Symmetry code: (iv) 1-y, x, 1-z.

Ag(1)–N(4)	2.388(4)
$Ag(1)-N(6^{iv})$	2.414(4)
Ag(1)–N(9)	2.225(4)
$Ag(1) - N(16^{iv})$	2.230(3)
$Ag(1)Ag(1^{iv})$	6.7083(5)
$N(4)-Ag(1)-N(6^{iv})$	107.06(13)
N(4) - Ag(1) - N(9)	69.48(13)
$N(4) - Ag(1) - N(16^{iv})$	139.56(13)
$N(6^{iv}) - Ag(1) - N(9)$	143.17(13)
$N(6^{iv}) - Ag(1) - N(16^{iv})$	68.53(13)
$N(9) - Ag(1) - N(16^{iv})$	138.27(13)
	~ /
Ψ	71.10(8)

Table S11 Intermolecular second-sphere interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$ (Å, °). See Figure S21 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry code: (iv) 1–*y*, *x*, 1–*z*.

unioening seneme. Symmetr	<i>y</i> code: (17) 1 <i>y</i>	, , , 1 2.		
	D–H	HA	DA	D-HA
Anion π contacts				
C(3)O(28)	_	_	2.929(12)	_
$X{N(2)-C(7)}O(23)^{a}$	-	-	2.859	_
Hydrogen bonds				
$C(5)-H(5)O(29^{iv})$	0.95	2.32	3.193(14)	154.3
C(5)–H(5)O(31)	0.95	2.31	3.334(10)	1175.7

^aThe closest contact in this host:guest interaction is to the centroid 'X' of the triazinyl ring listed (Figure S21).



Figure S22 View of the molecular square assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot 3.2MeNO_2 \cdot 1.2H_2O$, showing the full atom numbering scheme. The view is the same as in Figure 7 of the main article. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (vi) 1-x, y, $\frac{3}{2}-z$; (ix) 1-x, y, $\frac{1}{2}-z$.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.

The encapsulated anion (which has crystallographic C_2 symmetry) forms two Ag...O interactions, and two short and two long anion... π interactions, to the metallacyclic host (Figure S25, Table S13).

(1) $1-x, y, \frac{3}{2}-z$.			
Ag(1)–N(3)	2.466(5)	Ag(2)–N(5)	2.522(6)
Ag(1)–N(10)	2.246(5)	Ag(2)–N(17)	2.231(6)
Ag(1)–N(23)	2.415(6)	$Ag(2) - N(25^{vi})$	2.474(6)
Ag(1) - N(30)	2.296(6)	$Ag(2) - N(37^{vi})$	2.238(6)
Ag(1)–O(44)	2.746(6)	Ag(2)–O(52)	2.802(7)
Ag(1)Ag(2)	6.8360(8)	Ag(1)Ag(2 ^{vi})	6.7796(8)
N(3)-Ag(1)-N(10)	68.07(18)	N(5)–Ag(2)–N(17)	66.98(19)
N(3)–Ag(1)–N(23)	145.7(2)	N(5)–Ag(2)–N(25 ^{vi})	98.23(19)
N(3) - Ag(1) - N(30)	107.0(2)	$N(5) - Ag(2) - N(37^{vi})$	128.2(2)
N(3)–Ag(1)–O(44)	86.20(18)	N(5)–Ag(1)–O(52)	83.00(19)
N(10) - Ag(1) - N(23)	140.3(2)	$N(17) - Ag(2) - N(25^{vi})$	152.9(2)
N(10) - Ag(1) - N(30)	136.43(19)	$N(17) - Ag(2) - N(37^{vi})$	139.3(2)
N(10)–Ag(1)–O(44)	91.17(18)	N(17)–Ag(1)–O(52)	81.5(2)
N(23)-Ag(1)-N(30)	67.11(19)	N(25 ^{vi})–Ag(2)–N(37 ^{vi})	67.71(19)
N(23)–Ag(1)–O(44)	76.52(19)	$N(25^{vi})$ -Ag(1)-O(52)	74.0(2)
N(30)-Ag(1)-O(44)	132.34(19)	N(37 ^{vi})–Ag(1)–O(52)	132.74(19)
$\Psi[Ag(1)]$	114.21(12)	$\Psi[Ag(2)]$	50.48(14)

Table S12 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·3.2MeNO₂·1.2H₂O. See Figure S22 the atom numbering scheme, while the definition of Ψ is given on page S19. Symmetry code: (vi) 1–x, y, $\frac{3}{2-z}$.

Table S13 Anion... π contacts in [Ag₄(μ -L³)₄][ClO₄]₄·3.2MeNO₂·1.2H₂O (Å). See Figure S22 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry code: (vi) 1–*x*, *y*, ³/₂–*z*.

	DA
C(4)O(45)	2.844(10)
C(8)O(48)	2.998(8)
$C(24)O(45^{vi})$	3.066(11)

There are no noteworthy C-H...O hydrogen bonds in this structure.


Figure S23 View of the molecular square assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][SbF_6]_2$ ·MeNO₂, showing the full atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and all H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (ii) x, 1+y, z; (iii) x, -1+y, z; (xxiii) $\frac{1}{2}+x$, $\frac{1}{2}-y$, $\frac{1}{2}+x$, $\frac{1}{2}-y$, $-\frac{1}{2}+x$.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; F, cyan; N, blue; Sb, pink.

Anion... π interactions are drawn to the centroid 'X' of the triazine ring acceptor, or to an individual C atom, whichever is the shorter distance (Table S15).

In contrast to the perchlorate salts with this arrangement of guest anions, the SbF₆⁻ ions in this structure are not disordered. Each in-cavity SbF₆⁻ ion forms two short anion... π interactions, to triazinyl acceptors on opposite sides of the host cavity. A weak C–H...F interaction between C(28) and F(103) is not shown, since H atoms are omitted from the diagram (Figure S26).

Table S14 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ ·MeNO₂. See Figure S23 for the atom numbering scheme, while the definition of Ψ is given on page S19.

tom numbering scheme, win	ie the definition of F is gr	ven on page 319.	
Ag(1) - N(7)	2.486(5)	Ag(3)–N(29)	2.448(4)
Ag(1) - N(12)	2.196(4)	Ag(3)–N(39)	2.247(5)
Ag(1)–N(69)	2.425(4)	Ag(3)–N(47)	2.510(5)
Ag(1)–N(79)	2.201(5)	Ag(3)–N(52)	2.222(4)
Ag(2) - N(9)	2.360(5)	Ag(3) - F(98)	2.759(4)
Ag(2) - N(19)	2.239(4)	Ag(4) - N(49)	2.307(4)
Ag(2)–N(27)	2.328(4)	Ag(4)–N(59)	2.267(5)
Ag(2)–N(32)	2.263(4)	Ag(4)–N(67)	2.324(4)
-		Ag(4)–N(72)	2.246(5)
Ag(1)Ag(2)	6.7062(6)	Ag(2)Ag(3)	6.5880(5)
Ag(1)Ag(4)	6.6918(6)	Ag(3)Ag(4)	6.7605(6)
N(7)–Ag(1)–N(12)	69.06(16)	N(29)-Ag(3)-N(39)	68.05(15)
N(7)-Ag(1)-N(69)	96.53(14)	N(29)–Ag(3)–N(47)	94.60(15)
N(7)-Ag(1)-N(79)	148.09(16)	N(29)–Ag(3)–N(52)	149.58(15)
N(12)-Ag(1)-N(69)	142.28(16)	N(29)–Ag(3)–F(98)	80.37(14)
N(12)-Ag(1)-N(79)	138.91(17)	N(39)–Ag(3)–N(47)	137.28(16)
N(69)–Ag(1)–N(79)	69.06(15)	N(39)–Ag(3)–N(52)	141.66(16)
N(9)-Ag(2)-N(19)	69.53(17)	N(39)–Ag(3)–F(98)	87.92(14)
N(9)-Ag(2)-N(27)	124.18(15)	N(47)–Ag(3)–N(52)	67.09(15)
N(9)-Ag(2)-N(32)	140.01(16)	N(47)–Ag(3)–F(98)	128.88(13)
N(19)–Ag(2)–N(27)	135.19(16)	N(52)–Ag(3)–F(98)	92.35(14)
N(19)–Ag(2)–N(32)	130.46(17)	N(49)-Ag(4)-N(59)	69.86(16)
N(27)–Ag(2)–N(32)	69.84(15)	N(49)–Ag(4)–N(67)	134.36(15)
		N(49)–Ag(4)–N(72)	142.57(16)
		N(59)–Ag(4)–N(67)	127.97(16)
		N(59)–Ag(4)–N(72)	121.81(17)
		N(67)-Ag(4)-N(72)	70.12(15)
$\Psi[Ag(1)]$	55.04(9)	Ψ [Ag(3)]	55.55(8)
Ψ [Ag(2)]	100.63(8)	$\Psi[Ag(4)]$	96.86(8)

Table S15 Intermolecular second-sphere interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ ·MeNO₂ (Å, °). See Figure S23 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry codes: (ii) *x*, 1+*y*, *z*; (xxiii) ¹/₂+*x*, ¹/₂-*y*, ¹/₂+*z*.

	D-H	HA	DA	D-HA
Anion π contacts				
$X{C(5)-C(10)}F(110^{xxiii})^{a}$	_	_	2.834	_
C(10)F(105)	_	_	2.880(6)	_
$X{C(65)-C(70)}F(87)^{a}$	_	_	2.797	_
C(30)F(96)	_	_	2.803(7)	_
C(46)F(112)	_	_	2.855(6)	_
$X{C(65)-C(70)}F(104)^{a}$	_	_	2.843	_
$X{C(65)-C(70)}F(88)^{a}$	_	_	2.769	_
C(66)F(93 ⁱⁱ)	_	_	2.750(7)	_
C(70)F(97 ⁱⁱ)	_	_	2.841(7)	_
Hydrogen bond				
C(28)–H(28)F(103)	0.95	2.38	3.281(7)	158.0

^aThe closest contact in this host:guest interaction is to the centroid 'X' of the triazinyl ring listed (Figure S23).



Figure S24 View of the molecular square assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·MeNO₂, showing the full atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, except for symmetry equivalent or disorder sites for the anions which are de-emphasised for clarity, and all H atoms are omitted. Symmetry codes: (vi) 1–*x*, *y*, ³/₂–*z*; (ix) 1–*x*, *y*, ¹/₂–*z*.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.

Each encapsulated anion forms one strong and one weak anion... π interaction, in both of its symmetryequivalent disorder sites (Table S17). An additional weak C–H...O interaction between C(6) and O(64) is not shown, since H atoms are omitted from the diagram (Figure S26).

atom numbering scheme, while th	e definition of Ψ is given on page :	S19. Symmetry code: (vi) 1-	$-x, y, \frac{3}{2}-z.$
Ag(1)–N(5)	2.466(7)	Ag(2)–N(7)	2.447(6)
Ag(1)–N(10)	2.219(6)	Ag(2)–N(19)	2.225(6)
Ag(1)–N(29)	2.566(7)	$Ag(2) - N(31^{vi})$	2.378(6)
Ag(1)–N(34)	2.195(6)	$Ag(2) - N(43^{vi})$	2.287(6)
Ag(1)–O(57A)/O(57B)	2.74(2)/2.75(2)		
Ag(1)Ag(2)	6.9287(11)	$Ag(1)Ag(2^{vi})$	7.0322(9)
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(10)	70.3(2)	N(7)-Ag(2)-N(19)	71.0(2)
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(29)	89.18(19)	$N(7)-Ag(2)-N(31^{vi})$	107.0(2)
N(5)-Ag(1)-N(34)	148.5(2)	$N(7)-Ag(2)-N(43^{vi})$	126.5(2)
N(5)-Ag(1)-O(57A)/O(57B)	91.8(6)/84.5(5)	$N(19)-Ag(2)-N(31^{vi})$	151.6(2)
N(10)–Ag(1)–N(29)	120.1(2)	N(19)-Ag(2)-N(43 ^{vi})	134.3(2)
N(10)–Ag(1)–N(34)	140.2(2)	$N(31^{x})-Ag(2)-N(43^{vi})$	70.7(2)
N(10)-Ag(1)-O(57A)/O(57B)	86.6(5)/93.6(5)		
N(29)–Ag(1)–N(34)	69.3(2)		
N(29)-Ag(1)-O(57A)/O(57B)	151.8(6)/141.2(5)		
N(34)-Ag(1)-O(57A)/O(57B)	96.9(4)/97.9(5)		
$\Psi[Ag(1)]$	66.50(15)	$\Psi[Ag(2)]$	76.39(13)

Table S16 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][CIO_4]_4$ ·MeNO₂. See Figure S24 for the atom numbering scheme, while the definition of Ψ is given on page S19. Symmetry code: (vi) 1–x, y, $\frac{3}{2-7}$.

Table S17 Intermolecular second-sphere interactions in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot MeNO_2$ (Å, °). See Figure S24 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry code: (vi) 1–*x*, *y*, ³/₂–*z*.

_	D–H	НА	DA	D-HA	
Anion π contacts					
C(4)O(58A)	-	_	3.065(14)	_	
C(6)O(55)	_	_	3.092(18)	_	
C(8)O(53)	_	_	2.871(15)	_	
C(30)O(62)	_	_	2.89(3)	_	
C(30)O(64 ^{vi})	-	_	3.038(16)	-	
Hydrogen bonds					
C(6)–H(6)O(64)	0.95	2.45	3.371(15)	164.5	



Figure S25 Views of the assemblies in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$ (left) and $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·3.2MeNO₂·1.2H₂O (right), showing their full complement of secondary interactions. Different disorder orientations of the anions in the left hand diagram, and the secondary interactions to them, are distinguished by pale or dark colouration. Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; N, blue; O, pale or dark red.

The right hand view is the same as in Figure S22, but is included here again for completeness.

Anion... π interactions in the left hand diagram are drawn to the centroid of the triazine ring acceptor, or to an individual C atom, whichever is the shorter distance (Table S11). All anion... π interactions in the right hand diagram are formed to an individual C atom.



Figure S26 Views of the assemblies in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ · MeNO₂ (left) and $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4$ · MeNO₂ (right), showing their full complement of secondary interactions. Different disorder orientations of the anions in the right hand diagram, and the secondary interactions to them, are distinguished by pale or dark colouration. Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; F, cyan; N, blue; O, pale or dark red; Sb, pink.

Anion... π interactions in the left hand diagram are drawn to the centroid of the triazine ring acceptor, or to an individual C atom, whichever is the shorter distance (Table S15). All anion... π interactions in the right hand diagram are formed to an individual C atom.



Figure S27 Space-filling views of the host:guest assembly in solvent-free $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4$. For clarity, in-cavity and peripheral perchlorate ions forming secondary interactions with the molecular square are shown with dark and pale colouration, respectively. Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; N, blue; O, pale or dark red.

This assembly has crystallographic S_4 symmetry, and so gives identical views from either side of its open face (*cf* Figure S28). The anions are all crystallographically disordered about C_2 axes, but this disorder is not shown in the Figure.



Figure S28 Space-filling views of the host:guest assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][ClO_4]_4 \cdot 3.2 MeNO_2 \cdot 1.2 H_2O$. Details as for Figure S27. Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; N, blue; O, pale or dark red.

The two views on the left show the opposite sides on the open face of the molecular square. Some of the exocyclic perchlorate ions bridge to neighbouring metallocycles in the lattice (not shown). The lattice solvent, which does not form a second sphere interaction with the complex, is not included.



Figure S29 Space-filling views of the host:guest assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu - L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ ·MeNO₂. For clarity, in-cavity and peripheral anions forming secondary interactions with the molecular square are shown with dark and pale colouration, respectively.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; B, pale or dark pink; F, pale or dark cyan; N, blue.

All the exocyclic hexafluoroantimonate ions bridge to neighbouring metallocycles in the lattice (not shown). The lattice solvent, which does not form a second sphere interaction with the complex, is not included.



Figure S30 Space-filling views of the host:guest assembly in $[Ag_4(\mu-L^4)_4][CIO_4]_4$ ·MeNO₂. For clarity, in-cavity and peripheral perchlorate ions forming secondary interactions with the molecular square are shown with dark and pale colouration, respectively.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; N, blue; O, pale or dark red.

All the anions are all crystallographically disordered about C_2 axes, which is not shown in the Figure. Moieties that do not form second-sphere interactions with the complex are also not included.



Figure S31 Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4]$ [ClO₄]₄, showing a layer of complex molecules in the lattice. The view is along the (001) crystal vector, with the unit cell *a* axis horizontal. Different disorder orientations of the ClO₄⁻ anions are distinguished with pale or dark colouration (Figures S21 and S25).

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; N, blue; O, pale or dark red.

Each of the peripheral anions is disordered over two sites, each of them positioned to form an anion... π contact to just one neighbouring cation. Hence, the anions do not form bridging anion... π interactions in this lattice.



Figure S32 Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4]$ [ClO₄]₄·3.2MeNO₂·1.2H₂O, showing the arrangement of the cations into chains *via* anion... π contacts to bridging perchlorate ions. The view is along the (010) crystal vector, with the unit cell *c* axis horizontal. One supramolecular chain is highlighted with dark colouration, and the solvent molecules are de-emphasised for clarity.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N{complex}, blue; O{anion}, red; MeNO₂, maroon.



Figure S33 Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu-L^3)_4][SbF_6]_4$ ·MeNO₂, showing the arrangement of the cations into layers *via* anion... π contacts to bridging SbF₆⁻ ions. The view is along the (101) crystal vector, with the unit cell *b* axis vertical. Solvent molecules are de-emphasised for clarity.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; F, cyan; N, blue; Sb, pink; MeNO₂, maroon.



Figure S34 Packing diagram of $[Ag_4(\mu - L^4)_4][ClO_4]_4$ ·MeNO₂, showing a layer of complex molecules in the lattice. The view is along the (010) crystal vector, with the unit cell *c* axis horizontal. Different disorder orientations of the ClO₄⁻ anions are distinguished with pale or dark colouration (Figures S24 and S26), and the solvent molecules are de-emphasised for clarity.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Ag, green; Cl, pale or dark yellow; N, blue; O, pale or dark red; MeNO₂, maroon.

Both orientations of the disordered, peripheral half-anion are shown, and the pale/dark colouration is used to distinguish the symmetry equivalents of those two disorder sites about their crystallographic C_2 axis. Each of the four sites of that anion is positioned to interact with just one neighbouring cation, through an Ag...O interaction and/or an anion... π contact. Hence, these anions do not form bridging interactions between cations in this lattice.



Figure S35 View of the asymmetric unit of $[Ag(NCMe)L^3]ClO_4$, showing the full atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Colour code: C, white; Ag, green; Cl, yellow; N, blue; O, red.

Table S18 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for mononuclear $[Ag(NCMe)L^3]ClO_4$. See Figure S35 for the atom numbering scheme.

Ag(1)–N(2) Ag(1)–N(9)	2.392(2) 2.377(2)	Ag(1)–N(16) Ag(1)–N(22)	2.511(2) 2.154(3)
N(2)–Ag(1)–N(9)	66.75(8)	N(9)-Ag(1)-N(16)	131.39(8)
N(2)-Ag(1)-N(16)	64.64(8)	N(9)-Ag(1)-N(22)	122.27(10)
N(2)-Ag(1)-N(22)	167.71(10)	N(16) - Ag(1) - N(22)	105.92(10)

There are no anion... π contacts in [Ag(NCMe)L⁶]ClO₄.



Figure S36 Views of the asymmetric units of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ (top), $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH (middle) and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH (bottom), showing the full atom numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and C-bound H atoms are omitted for clarity. The views of the methanol solvates are the same as in Fig. 1 of the main article.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, blue; O, red; S, purple; Se, pink.



Figure S37 Views of the asymmetric units of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}EtOH$ (top) and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$ (bottom), showing the atom numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and C-bound H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, blue; O, red; Se, pink.

	$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH	$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}EtOH$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$
Fe(1)–N(2)	2.1056(16)	2.103(2)	2.105(2)	2.101(2)	2.108(4)
Fe(1) - N(5)	2.0679(16)	2.054(2)	2.055(2)	2.057(2)	2.057(4)
Fe(1)–N(9)	2.2092(15)	2.2023(18)	2.2064(19)	2.209(2)	2.216(3)
Fe(1)–N(15)	2.1916(15)	2.1841(19)	2.1772(19)	2.185(2)	2.185(4)
Fe(1)–N(25)	2.1976(14)	2.2138(17)	2.2325(17)	2.234(2)	2.233(3)
Fe(1)–N(31)	2.1693(15)	2.1847(19)	2.1695(19)	2.167(2)	2.166(4)
N(2)–Fe(1)–N(5)	93.98(6)	93.55(9)	94.14(9)	93.72(10)	93.38(17)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(9)	94.28(6)	92.12(7)	91.12(7)	90.40(8)	90.87(14)
N(2)–Fe(1)–N(15)	166.98(6)	165.56(7)	164.92(7)	164.14(8)	164.35(13)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(25)	103.46(6)	100.98(7)	100.58(7)	102.72(8)	103.12(14)
N(2)–Fe(1)–N(31)	89.48(6)	89.24(8)	90.28(8)	90.56(9)	91.30(16)
N(5)–Fe(1)–N(9)	97.37(6)	101.20(8)	101.07(8)	101.13(9)	100.34(14)
N(5)–Fe(1)–N(15)	87.31(6)	90.69(8)	89.93(8)	89.44(9)	89.30(16)
N(5)-Fe(1)-N(25)	96.75(6)	93.59(8)	92.12(7)	92.20(9)	92.07(15)
N(5)–Fe(1)–N(31)	170.53(6)	166.34(8)	164.92(8)	164.96(9)	164.93(14)
N(9)–Fe(1)–N(15)	72.71(5)	73.51(7)	73.85(7)	73.73(8)	73.48(14)
N(9)-Fe(1)-N(25)	156.43(6)	159.60(7)	161.72(7)	160.69(8)	160.75(17)
N(9)–Fe(1)–N(31)	91.15(5)	92.05(7)	93.24(7)	93.24(8)	93.90(13)
N(15)-Fe(1)-N(25)	89.23(5)	92.51(7)	93.75(7)	92.68(8)	92.17(14)
N(15)-Fe(1)-N(31)	91.30(6)	89.88(7)	89.50(7)	90.35(8)	90.04(15)
N(25)-Fe(1)-N(31)	73.85(5)	72.75(6)	72.88(7)	72.79(8)	72.91(14)
Fe(1)-N(2)-C(3)	177.98(16)	169.06(18)	163.12(17)	163.05(19)	163.6(3)
Fe(1)-N(5)-C(6)	141.10(15)	163.4(2)	161.0(2)	162.3(2)	164.6(4)
$V_{ m Oh}$	12.910(6)	12.932(7)	12.950(7)	12.952(8)	12.973(17)
Ψ	104.84(4)	99.27(4)	93.18(4)	95.54(5)	96.1(9)
Σ	75.7(2)	71.3(3)	70.1(3)	71.0(3)	71.5(5)
Θ	204	217	220	229	228

Table S19 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the mononuclear complexes [Fe(NCE)₂(L^5)₂] (E = S, Se). See Figures S36 and S37 for the atom numbering scheme, while definitions of V_{Oh} , Ψ , Σ and Θ are given on page S19.

Table S20 Hydrogen bo	ond parameters for the	solvate crystals of	the mononuclear con	mplexes
$[Fe(NCE)_2(L^5)_2] (E = S,$	Se) (Å, °). See Figur	es S36 and S37 for t	the atom numbering	scheme.

[10(1001)2(11)2](11 = 0, 50)(11, -).500 1120	103 D50 and D5	7 Ioi the atom	numbering scheme.	
	D-H	HA	DA	D-HA
$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot MeOH O(41)-H(41)S(4)$	0.999(19)	2.45(2)	3.433(2)	167(3)
$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot MeOH$ O(41)-H(41)N(29)	0.93(2)	2.09(3)	2.998(3)	164(5)
$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]^{1/2}EtOH$ O(41A)-H(41A)/O(41B)-H(41B)N(29)	0.84	2.27/2.37	3.062(9)/2.977(13)	157.6/129.6



Figure 38 View of the asymmetric unit of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^6)_2]$, showing the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Colour code: C, white; Fe, green; N, blue; Se, pink.

Table S21 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the mononuclear complex [Fe(NCSe)₂(L^6)₂]. See Figure S38 for the atom numbering scheme, while definitions of V_{Oh} , Ψ , Σ and Θ are given on page S14.

ie 558 for the atom num	idening scheme, while u	\mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}	given on page 514.
Fe(1) - N(2)	2.115(3)	Fe(1)–N(15)	2.157(3)
Fe(1) - N(5)	2.085(3)	Fe(1)–N(26)	2.271(3)
Fe(1)–N(9)	2.305(3)	Fe(1)–N(32)	2.151(3)
N(2)–Fe(1)–N(5)	88.59(11)	N(5)-Fe(1)-N(32)	175.03(11)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(9)	106.30(10)	N(9)-Fe(1)-N(15)	72.35(10)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(15)	174.19(11)	N(9)-Fe(1)-N(26)	155.59(9)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(26)	90.30(10)	N(9)-Fe(1)-N(32)	87.65(10)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(32)	94.76(10)	N(15)–Fe(1)–N(26)	92.82(10)
N(5)-Fe(1)-N(9)	87.88(10)	N(15)-Fe(1)-N(32)	90.84(10)
N(5)-Fe(1)-N(15)	85.72(T11)	N(26)-Fe(1)-N(32)	72.95(10)
N(5)-Fe(1)-N(26)	110.77(11)	Fe(1)-N(2)-C(3)	170.7(3)
		Fe(1)-N(5)-C(6)	158.8(3)
$V_{ m Oh}$	13.101(11)	Σ	90.7(4)
Ψ	109.94(7)	Θ	222



Figure S39 Partial packing diagram of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$, showing association of the molecules into stacks *via* intermolecular $\pi...\pi$ interactions [(I) and (II)]. Neighbouring stacks are linked by a pairwise $\pi...\pi$ interaction (III) involving the other ligand in the molecule. The molecules in the main stack are highlighted with dark colouration. The labels on the stacking interactions (shown in red) correspond to those in Table S22.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, pale or dark blue; S, purple.

The same packing motif occurs in $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^6)_2]$, which is isomorphous with this compound.



Figure S40 Partial packing diagram of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH, showing association of the molecules into tetrads through $\pi...\pi$ stacking. The four stacked molecules are highlighted with dark colouration. The labels on the stacking interactions (shown in red) correspond to those in Table S23.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, pale or dark blue; O, red; S, purple.

Each molecule is also involved in a second π ... π tetrad, perpendicular to the one in the Figure.

The same packing motif occurs in $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot MeOH$, $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}EtOH$ and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$ which are isomorphous with this compound.

(XXVIII) 2-x, -y, 1-z.			
	Interplanar distance	Dihedral angle	Horizontal offset
$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$			
[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(8 ^{xxv})-N(13 ^{xxv}), N(19 ^{xxv})-C(23 ^{xxv})] (I)	3.021(6)	0	3.70
[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(8xxvi)-N(13xxvi), N(19xxvi)-C(23xxvi)] (II)	3.363(7)	0	3.29
$[C(24)-N(29), N(35)-C(39)][C(24^{xvi})-N(29^{xvi}), N(35^{xvi})-C(39^{xvi})] $ (III)	3.227(6)	0	1.25
$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^6)_2]^a$			
[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(8 ^{xxvii})-N(13 ^{xxvii}), N(19 ^{xxvii})-C(23 ^{xxvii})] (I)	3.348(5)	0	4.28
[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(8 ^{xxviii})-N(13 ^{xxviii}), N(19 ^{xxviii})-C(23 ^{xxviii})] (II)	3.323(3)	0	2.65
$[C(24) N(20) N(35) C(20)] [C(24^{xyi}) N(20^{xyi}) N(25^{xyi}) C(20^{xyi})] (III)$	3 307(17)	0	1.20

Table S22 Intermolecular π ... π interactions in the solvent-free mononuclear complexes [Fe(NCS)₂(L^5)₂] and [Fe(NCSe)₂(L^6)₂] (Å, °). See Figure S39 for the atom numbering, and the labelling of these interactions. Symmetry codes: (xvi) –*x*, 1–*y*, 1–*z*; (xxv) 1–*x*, –*y*, 2–*z*; (xxvi) 2–*x*, –*y*, 2–*z*; (xxvii) 1–*x*, –*y*, 1–*z*; (xxviii) 2–*x*, –*y*, 1–*z*.

 $\frac{[C(24)-N(29), N(35)-C(39)]...[C(24^{xvi})-N(29^{xvi}), N(35^{xvi})-C(39^{xvi})] (III)}{a} 3.397(17) 0 1.30$ ^aThe symmetry codes for this compound are different, because its dataset was merged to a different origin by the diffractometer software.

Table S23 Intermolecular π ... π interactions in the solvate crystals of the mononuclear complexes [Fe(NCE)₂(L^5)₂] (E = S, Se) (Å, °). See Figure S40 for the atom numbering, and the labelling of these interactions. Symmetry codes: (x) 1–x, 2–y, 1–z; (xxix) –¹/_{2+x}, ³/₂–y, ¹/_{2+z};

	Interplanar distance	Dihedral angle	Horizontal offset
$[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH			
$[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(24^{xxix})-N(29^{xxix}), N(35^{xxix})-C(39^{xxix})]$ (IV)	3.450(8)	9.67(9)	1.20
$[C(24)-N(29), N(35)-C(39)][C(24^{x})-N(29^{x}), N(35^{x})-C(39^{x})] (V)$	3.393(9)	0	3.67
$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH			
$[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(24^{xxix})-N(29^{xxix}), N(35^{xxix})-C(39^{xxix})]$ (IV)	3.463(9)	10.14(9)	1.13
$[C(24)-N(29), N(35)-C(39)][C(24^{x})-N(29^{x}), N(35^{x})-C(39^{x})] (V)$	3.431(9)	0	3.69
$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·½EtOH			
$[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(24^{xxix})-N(29^{xxix}), N(35^{xxix})-C(39^{xxix})]$ (IV)	3.442(10)	8.92(11)	1.11
$[C(24)-N(29), N(35)-C(39)][C(24^{x})-N(29^{x}), N(35^{x})-C(39^{x})] (V)$	3.477(11)	0	3.53
$[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$			
[C(8)-N(13), N(19)-C(23)][C(24 ^{xxix})-N(29 ^{xxix}), N(35 ^{xxix})-C(39 ^{xxix})] (IV)	3.434(18)	7.6(2)	1.22
$[C(24)-N(29), N(35)-C(39)][C(24^x)-N(29^x), N(35^x)-C(39^x)]$ (V)	3.50(2)	0	3.57



Figure S41 View of the asymmetric units of isostructural $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^6)]$ (top), $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^7)]$ (middle) and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(\mu-L^7)]$ (bottom), showing the atom numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and C-bound H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (vii) $^{1/4+y}$, $^{5/4-x}$, $^{1/4+z}$; (viii) $^{5/4-y}$, $^{-1/4+z}$, $^{-1/4+z}$.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, blue; S, purple; Se, pink.

Table S24 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the isostructural coordination polymers
$[Fe(NCE)_2(\mu-L)]$ (E = S, Se; $L = L^6, L^7$). See Figure S41 for the atom numbering scheme, while definitions of
$V_{\text{Oh}}, \Psi, \Sigma$ and Θ are given on page S19. Symmetry code: (vii) $\frac{1}{4}+y, \frac{5}{4}-x, \frac{1}{4}+z$.

	$[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^6)]$	[Fe(NCS) ₂ (μ - L^7)]	[Fe(NCSe) ₂ (μ - L^7)]
Fe(1)–N(2)	2.051(4)	2.036(6)	2.073(5)
Fe(1) - N(5)	2.139(4)	2.113(6)	2.131(5)
Fe(1)–N(9)	2.231(3)	2.202(4)	2.195(4)
$Fe(1)-N(13^{vii})$	2.245(3)	2.206(4)	2.201(4)
Fe(1)–N(16)	2.194(3)	2.178(5)	2.160(5)
$Fe(1)$ – $N(21^{vii})$	2.184(4)	2.181(5)	2.162(5)
Fe(1)Fe(1 ^{vii})	6.3590(7)	6.3435(2)	6.3467(3)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(5)	87.87(15)	86.1(2)	85.1(2)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(9)	115.32(12)	105.67(17)	105.23(16)
$N(2)$ -Fe(1)- $N(13^{vii})$	89.19(13)	96.73(18)	95.06(17)
N(2)-Fe(1)-N(16)	170.43(12)	175.6(2)	174.0(2)
$N(2)$ -Fe(1)- $N(21^{vii})$	96.21(15)	94.4(2)	94.0(2)
N(5)-Fe(1)-N(9)	83.32(12)	89.68(17)	89.38(16)
$N(5)-Fe(1)-N(13^{vii})$	114.05(11)	106.14(17)	106.41(16)
N(5)–Fe(1)–N(16)	88.10(13)	89.69(19)	88.97(19)
$N(5)-Fe(1)-N(21^{vii})$	172.19(12)	179.5(2)	179.1(2)
$N(9)$ -Fe(1)- $N(13^{vii})$	151.19(12)	153.46(18)	155.37(17)
N(9)–Fe(1)–N(16)	72.78(10)	73.25(15)	74.15(15)
$N(9)$ -Fe(1)- $N(21^{vii})$	88.91(11)	90.23(16)	90.55(16)
$N(13^{xx})$ -Fe(1)-N(16)	84.53(11)	85.42(15)	87.08(16)
$N(13^{xx})$ -Fe(1)-N(21 ^{vii})	72.77(11)	73.77(17)	73.96(15)
N(16)–Fe(1)–N(21 ^{vii})	88.84(13)	89.83(18)	91.91(19)
Fe(1)-N(2)-C(3)	152.3(3)	140.2(4)	141.4(4)
Fe(1)-N(5)-C(6)	160.2(3)	153.0(5)	155.7(4)
Vob	12,692(12)	12,722(18)	12,769(17)
Ψ	88 25(8)	88 72(11)	88 81(12)
$\frac{1}{\Sigma}$	109 3(4)	85 4(6)	84 5(6)
<u> </u>	271	201	197

Table S25 Hydrogen bond parameters for the coordination polymers $[Fe(NCE)_2(\mu-L^7)]$ (E = S, Se) (Å, °). See Figure S41 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry code: (viii) ${}^{5}/_{4}-y$, ${}^{-1}/_{4}+x$, ${}^{-1}/_{4}+z$.

	inamoering seneme	· Symmetry code: (·····) / + , , / + , , / +	· ·
	D-H	HA	DA	D-HA
[Fe(NCS) ₂ (μ - L^7)]				
N(14)–H(14A)N(2)	0.90(2)	2.11(3)	2.978(6)	164(7)
N(14)-H(14B)N(5 ^{viii})	0.89(2)	2.16(2)	3.038(6)	171(7)
$[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu - L^7)]$				
N(14)–H(14A)N(2)	0.89(2)	2.12(3)	2.986(6)	164(8)
N(14)-H(14B)N(5 ^{viii})	0.90(2)	2.16(3)	3.046(6)	170(8)



Figure S42 A [Fe(NCS)₂(μ - L^6)] coordination polymer chain surrounded by three of its four nearest neighbours in the lattice (Figure S43), showing the absence of π ... π interactions between nearest neighbour chains. The central chain is shown with dark colouration, while the neighbour chains are de-emphasised for clarity.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, pale or dark blue; S, purple.

The apparently overlapping L^6 ligands on different polymer chains are in fact separated by the length of an NCS ligand, and have no $\pi...\pi$ interaction between them.



Figure S43 Packing diagram of $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu - L^6)]$, showing the interdigitation of the coordination polymer chains. The view is along the (001) crystal vector.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, blue; S, purple.

This four-fold molecular interdigitation resembles the "terpyridine embrace" packing motif, that is often adopted by complexes of terpyridine and terpy-analogue ligands.⁹ However, unlike most terpyridine embrace crystals, nearest neighbour polymer chains are offset such that there are no intermolecular π ... π interactions between them.



Figure S44 Variable temperature magnetic susceptibility data for: $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot MeOH$ (black); $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ (purple); and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$ (yellow). Scan rate 5 Kmin⁻¹. Data for $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot MeOH$ are shown in Figure 6 of the main article.



Figure S45 Variable temperature magnetic susceptibility data for: $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^6)]$ (black); $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^6)_2]$ (red); $[Fe(NCS)_2(\mu-L^7)]$ (green); and $[Fe(NCSe)_2(\mu-L^7)]$ (blue). Scan rate 5 Kmin⁻¹.

All these compounds are high-spin between 5-300 K. The low-temperature decrease in $\chi_M T$ below 50 K reflects zero-field splitting of the high-spin iron(II) centres, and does not imply the onset of thermal spincrossover.⁸



Figure S46 Magnetic data showing the reproducible annealing behaviour of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·solvent. The graphs show three different samples, each of which were annealed at 370 K for 24 hrs. Scan rate 5 Kmin⁻¹.

Black curve: $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH after heating at 370 K for 24 hrs, measured in cooling and warming mode (these data are also in Figure 6 of the main article).

Red curve: a second sample of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH after heating at 370 K for 24 hrs, measured in cooling mode only.

Green curve: $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2] \cdot \frac{1}{2}Me_2CO$ after heating at 370 K for 24 hrs, measured in cooling mode only.

These data prove the reproducibility of the annealed spin-crossover phase of this material, and that it doesn't depend on the solvent present in the initial sample. They also imply the incompleteness of the spin transition does not reflect incomplete desolvation of the samples, but is an inherent feature of the material.



Figure S47 Measured (black) and simulated (red) X-ray powder diffraction data for freshly prepared $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH (top), and the same material after annealing for 24 hrs at 370 K (bottom).

The simulations are based on the crystal structures of $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$ ·MeOH (dark red) and the solvent-free phase of $[Fe(NCS)_2(L^5)_2]$ with the S atoms replaced by Se (pale red; Figure S48).

The annealed sample $[Fe(NCSe)_2(L^5)_2]$, which is solvent-free by microanalysis and exhibits spin-crossover on cooling (Figure 6, main article and Figure S46), is poorly crystalline but doesn't apparently correspond to either structure type.



Figure S48 Measured (black) and simulated (red) X-ray powder diffraction data for the iron complexes of L^5-L^7 .

These data are discussed at the end of the Figure, on the next page.





Agreement between experiment and simulation is excellent for all the compounds, except for a peak at $2\theta = 9.9^{\circ}$ for [Fe(NCSe)₂(μ - L^7)] which does not have a counterpart in the simulation. That might imply [Fe(NCSe)₂(μ - L^7)] contains a minor fraction of the mononuclear [Fe(NCSe)₂(L^7)₂] phase (which is the product obtained from the corresponding reaction of L^6).



Figure S49 View of the asymmetric unit of the coordination polymer $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][CIO_4]_2$, showing the atom numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are at the 50 % probability level, and C-bound H atoms are omitted for clarity. Symmetry codes: (ii) x, 1+y, z; (iii) x, -1+y, z; (ix) 1–x, y, $^{1/2}-z$; (x) 1–x, 2–y, 1–z; (xxx) 1–x, -1+y, $^{1/2}-z$; (xxxii) x, 2–y, $^{1/2}+z$; (xxxii) 1–x, 1+y, $^{1/2}-z$; (xxxiii) x, 2–y, $^{-1/2}+z$.

Colour code: C, white; H, pale grey; Cl, yellow; Fe, green; N, blue; O, red.

Atom H(20B) was not located during the structure refinement, and may be disordered between the hydrogen bond acceptor groups $O(28A^{xxxi})/O(29B^{xxxi})$, and $O(27A^{xxxii})$ (Table S27).

symmetry codes. (1x) 1-x, y	$, \frac{1}{2} - \chi, (X) = 1 - \chi, 2 - y, 1 - \chi.$		
Fe(1)–N(4)	2.219(6)	Fe(2)–N(8)	2.207(6)
Fe(1)–N(10)	2.119(6)	Fe(2)–N(15)	2.178(6)
Fe(1)–O(19)	2.086(6)	Fe(2)–O(20)	2.086(6)
Fe(1)Fe(2)	6.3787(13)		
$N(4)$ -Fe(1)- $N(4^{ix})$	92.6(3)	N(8)-Fe(2)-N(8 ^x)	180
N(4) - Fe(1) - N(10)	74.0(2)	N(8)-Fe(2)-N(15)	74.3(2)
$N(4)$ -Fe(1)- $N(10^{ix})$	94.4(2)	N(8)-Fe(2)-N(15 ^x)	105.7(2)
N(4)-Fe(1)-O(19)	167.5(2)	N(8)–Fe(2)–O(20)	89.5(3)
N(4)-Fe(1)-O(19 ^{ix})	89.7(2)	N(8)-Fe(2)-O(20 ^x)	90.5(3)
$N(10)$ -Fe(1)- $N(10^{ix})$	163.4(4)	$N(15)$ -Fe(2)- $N(15^{x})$	180
N(10)–Fe(1)–O(19)	93.7(3)	N(15)–Fe(2)–O(20)	87.0(3)
N(10)-Fe(1)-O(19 ^{ix})	98.0(3)	N(15)-Fe(2)-O(20 ^x)	93.0(3)
O(19)–Fe(1)–O(19 ^{ix})	90.7(3)	$O(20)$ -Fe(2)- $O(20^{x})$	180
V _{Oh} [Fe(1)]	12.73(3)	V _{Oh} [Fe(2)]	12.86(2)
Ψ [Fe(1)]	96.94(8)	Ψ [Fe(2)]	180
Σ [Fe(1)]	68.1(9)	Σ [Fe(2)]	76.8(9)
Θ [Fe(1)]	195	Θ [Fe(2)]	147

Table S26 Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the coordination polymer [Fe(OH₂)₂(μ -L⁵)][ClO₄]₂. See Figure S49 for the atom numbering scheme, while definitions of V_{Oh} , Ψ , Σ and Θ are given on page S19. Symmetry codes: (ix) 1–*x*, *y*, $\frac{1}{2}-z$: (x) 1–*x*. 2–*y*. 1–7.

Table S27 Hydrogen bond parameters for the coordination polymer $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$ (Å, °). See Figure S49 for the atom numbering scheme. Symmetry code: (iii) x, -1+y, z; (xxx) 1-x, -1+y, $\frac{1}{2}-z$; (xxxi) x, 2-y, $\frac{1}{2}+z$; (xxxii) 1-x, 1+y, $\frac{1}{2}-z$.

	D–H	HA	DA	D-HA
O(19)–H(19A)O(24A ⁱⁱⁱ)/O(23B ^{xxx})	0.89(2)	0.89(2)/1.98(7)	2.913(15)/2.735(16)	154(10)/142(9)
O(19)-H(19B)O(29A)/O(30B)	0.89(2)	1.94(4)/1.89(4)	2.804(11)/2.757(13)	163(10)/164(9)
O(20)–H(23A)O(23A)/O(23B)	0.89(2)	2.13(5)/2.13(11)	2.967(15)/2.651(15)	156(11)/117(9)
O(20)–H(23A)O(25B)	0.89(2)	2.14(3)	3.037(18)	178(12)
O(20)-H(23B)O(28A ^{xxxi})/O(29B ^{xxxi}) ^a	-	-	2.714(15)/2.945(18)	_
O(20)–H(23B)O(27A ^{xxxii})	-	—	3.003(11)	_

^aAtom H(20B) was not located during the structure refinement.



Figure S50 Packing diagram of $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$, showing a hydrogen-bonded layer of polymer chains. The view is along the (100) crystal vector, with *c* horizontal. Both orientations of each disordered anion are shown, and are de-emphasised for clarity.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, pale or dark blue; O, red; ClO₄⁻, yellow.



Figure S51 Packing diagram of $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$, showing the association of the polymer chains into sheets *via* intermolecular hydrogen bonding. The view is along the (010) crystal vector, with *c* horizontal. Other details as for Figure S50.

Colour code: C, white or dark grey; H, pale grey; Fe, green; N, pale or dark blue; O, red; ClO₄⁻, yellow.



Figure S52 Measured (black) and simulated (red) X-ray powder diffraction data for $[Fe(OH_2)_2(\mu-L^5)][ClO_4]_2$.
References

- 1 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. Sect. C.: Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 3.
- 2 L. J. Barbour, J. Supramol. Chem., 2001, 1, 189.
- 3 O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Cryst., 2009, 42, 339.
- 4 H. J. Shepherd, T. Palamarciuc, P. Rosa, P. Guionneau, G. Molnár, J.-F. Létard and A. Bousseksou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3910.
- 5 P. Guionneau, M. Marchivie, G. Bravic, J.-F. Létard and D. Chasseau, Top. Curr. Chem., 2004, 234, 97.
- 6 J. K. McCusker, A. L. Rheingold and D. N. Hendrickson, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 2100.
- 7 C. J. O'Connor, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1982, 29, 203.
- 8 R. Boča, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2004, 248, 757.
- 9 I. Dance and M. Scudder, *CrystEngComm*, 2009, **11**, 2233.